Positive polynomials and the moment problem for cylinders with compact cross-section

Victoria Powers

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Emory University,
Atlanta, GA 30322

June 24, 2003

1 Introduction

Given a semialgebraic set K in \mathbb{R}^n defined by finitely many polynomial inequalities $\{g_1 \geq 0, \ldots, g_s \geq 0\}$, $g_i \in \mathbb{R}[X] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, let T be the preorder in $\mathbb{R}[X]$ generated by the g_i 's. We consider three properties:

$$(\dagger) \qquad \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[X], \quad f > 0 \text{ on } K \Rightarrow f \in T.$$

$$(\ddagger) \qquad \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[X], \quad f > 0 \text{ on } K \Rightarrow \exists q \in T \text{ such that } \forall \text{ real } \epsilon > 0, f + \epsilon q \in T.$$

(*)
$$\{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$$
 solves the moment problem for K

By the latter, we mean that the linear functionals on $\mathbb{R}[X]$ which come from integration with respect to a positive Borel measure on K are characterized as those which are non-negative on T. For details, see, e.g., [6].

Clearly, (†) implies (‡), and Kuhlmann and Marshall [2] have shown that (‡) implies (*). Schmüdgen [8] showed that if K is compact, then (*) and (†) hold, regardless of the choice of generators $\{g_i\}$. The proof of this result, which uses functional analysis, is not constructive. Recently, Schweighofer [10] has given a constructive proof of Schmüdgen's theorem with degree bounds on the output data.

If K is not compact, these properties do not hold in general and can depend on the choice of generators. Scheiderer [7] has shown that (†) does not hold if K is not compact and dim $K \geq 3$, or if dim K = 2 and K contains a 2-dimensional cone. In [2] and [6], it is shown that if dim $K \geq 2$ and K contains an open cone, then (*) does not hold.

In [6], the question of whether (*) holds is settled for closed semialgebraic subsets of smooth affine curves; roughly speaking, the answer depends on the behaviour of the real points at infinity. Finally, in [2], the case of non-compact closed semialgebraic subsets of \mathbb{R} is settled. In this case, (*) and (†) are equivalent and hold only if a particular set of generators is chosen.

In this paper, we study these properties for the following general case, which is not covered above: **cylinders with compact cross-section**, i.e., closed semi-algebraic sets of the form $K \times U$ where $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact, and $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is not compact. We extend the Schweighofer algorithm to show in this case that (†) holds for f with a certain boundedness property. As a corollary, we obtain property (‡) holds and hence (*). This settles Open Problem 1 in [2]. In [9], (*) is also proven in this case, using entirely different methods.

Acknowledgements: This paper was written while the author was spending a sabbatical year at Universidad Complutense, Madrid. She warmly thanks Prof. Carlos Andradas for his hospitality and the D.G.I. de España for financial support. The author also thanks Markus Schwieghofer, Salma Kuhlmann and Bruce Reznick for helpful discussions about the work in this paper. Finally, thanks to the referee who read a first verions of this paper quickly and carefully and offered many helpful comments and suggestions for improvement.

2 Notation and Background

Fix $n \geq 1$ and let $\mathbb{R}[X]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables: $\mathbb{R}[X] := \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. We will write $\mathbb{R}[t]$ for the polynomial ring in one variable and $\mathbb{R}[X, t]$ for $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n, t]$. $\sum \mathbb{R}[X]^2$ denotes the set of sums of squares in $\mathbb{R}[X]$ and we say f is **sos** if $f \in \sum \mathbb{R}[X]^2$. If $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a finite set of polynomials in k variables, let K_S denote the basic closed semialgebraic set in \mathbb{R}^k generated by S, i.e.,

$$K_S = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid g_1(\alpha) \ge 0, \dots, g_s(\alpha) \ge 0 \}.$$

Let T_S be the associated preorder in the appropriate real polynomial ring, i.e., T_S consists of finite sums of elements of the form

$$\sigma g_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots g_s^{\epsilon_s},$$

where σ is so and $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

In addition to the three properties above, we can consider

$$(\dagger') \qquad \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[X], \quad f \ge 0 \text{ on } K \Rightarrow f \in T.$$

In other words, we replace > 0 by ≥ 0 in the definition of (†). In general, (†') does not hold, even in the compact case. For example, in $\mathbb{R}[t]$, it is easy to see that 1-t is not in the preorder generated by $\{(1-t^2)^3\}$ even though $1-t\ge 0$ on $[-1,1]=K_{\{(1-x^2)^3\}}$.

The case of non-compact closed semialgebraic subsets of \mathbb{R} has been settled completely by Kuhlmann and Marshall [2]. We recall their results.

Definition 1. Suppose $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a closed semialgebraic set. Define a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}[t]$ as follows:

- 1. If $a \in K$ and $(-\infty, a) \cap K = \emptyset$, then $t a \in S$.
- 2. If $a \in K$ and $(a, \infty) \cap K = \emptyset$, then $a t \in S$.
- 3. If $a, b \in K$, a < b, and $(a, b) \cap K = \emptyset$, then $(t a)(t b) \in S$
- 4. S contains no other elements

S is called the **natural set of generators for** K

It is easy to see that if K and S are as in the definition, then $K_S = K$. The following is [2, 2.1]:

Theorem 1. Suppose $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed semialgebraic, K is not compact, and $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}[t]$ is such that $K_S = K$. Then (*) holds iff (\dagger) holds iff S contains the natural set of generators for K. Furthermore, if (\dagger) holds for S, then (\dagger') holds also.

3 Extending the Schweighofer algorithm

We want to study the properties (\dagger) , (\dagger) , and (*) for basic closed semialgebraic sets of the form $K_S \times K_U$, where $\emptyset \neq K_S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact and $K_U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is not compact. By Theorem 1 above, we will need to use the natural set of generators for K_U . We will show that (\dagger) holds for all f which satisfy a certain boundedness condition and as a corollary, we obtain (\dagger) for all f and hence (*) for all semialgebraic sets of this type.

Let us fix $S = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[X]$ such that $K_S \neq \emptyset$ is compact. Also, fix finite $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}[t]$ such that K_U is not compact and U contains the natural set of generators for K_U . Let $K := K_{S \cup U} = K_S \times K_U$ and let $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}[X, t]$ be the preorder generated by $S \cup U$.

For $b \in \mathbb{R}$, define $e_b : \mathbb{R}[X,t] \to \mathbb{R}[X]$ by $e_b(f)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = f(x_1,\ldots,x_n,b)$ and write f_b for $e_b(f)$. Given f > 0 on K, then for each $b \in K_U$, we have $f_b > 0$ on K_S . Since K_S is compact, we can apply the Schweighofer construction to find a representation of f_b in T_S . The idea is to "glue together" these representations in order to obtain a representation of f in f. To do this, we need a universal bound on the degree of the representation for all f_b 's, which will require an additional assumption on f.

The central idea of the algorithm in the compact case is to reduce to the case of a homogeneous polynomial positive on a standard simplex and then apply Pólya's Theorem. In particular, a constructive version of Pólya's Theorem from [5] is used.

Definition 2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, define

$$c(\alpha) := \frac{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k)!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_k!}.$$

Given a polynomial g in k variables of degree d, let a_{α} denote the coefficient of g corresponding to the monomial with exponent α . Then set

$$L(g) := \max\left(\frac{a_{\alpha}}{c(\alpha)}\right),$$

where the max is taken over $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|\alpha| \leq d$. We call $\{\frac{a_{\alpha}}{c(\alpha)}\}$ the **normalized** coefficients of g.

The following version of Pólya's Theorem is [5, Theorem 1]:

Theorem 2. Suppose that $F \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ is homogeneous of degree d and F > 0 on

$$\Delta_n := \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in [0, \infty)^n \mid u_1 + \dots + u_n = 1\}.$$

Then for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$N > \frac{d(d-1)}{2} \frac{L(F)}{\min\{F(u) \mid u \in \Delta_n\}} - d,$$

 $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N F(X)$ has only positive coefficients.

Definition 3. Suppose $f \in \mathbb{R}[X,t]$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let m be the maximum degree of f in t. We say f is **fully** m-**ic on** K if for all $u \in K$, f(u,t) has degree m. In other words, if $h(X)t^m$ is the leading term of f as a polynomial in t, then f is fully m-ic on K iff h(X) has no zeros in K.

Proposition 1. Let $K_S \times K_U$ be as above and suppose $f \in \mathbb{R}[X, t]$ with f > 0 on $K_S \times K_U$. Let m be the degree of f in t and suppose f is fully m-ic on K_S . For each $b \in K_U$, set $L_b := L(f_b)$ and $\mu_b := \min\{f_b(u) \mid u \in K_S\}$.

- 1. There exists $g(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ with $\deg g = m$ such that for all $b \in K_U$, $L_b \leq g(b)$.
- 2. $\frac{L_b}{\mu_b}$ and $\frac{g(b)}{\mu_b}$ are bounded on K_U .

Proof. K_U contains $(-\infty, a]$ or $[a, \infty)$ for some a.

1. Assume K_U contain $[a, \infty)$. Write $f = \sum c(\alpha) a_{\alpha,j} X^{\alpha} t^j$, where the sum is over $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $|\alpha| + j \leq \deg f$. Then in f_b , the normalized coefficient of X^{α} is $\{\sum_j a_{\alpha,j} b^j\}$. Thus L_b is the maximum over α of $|\sum_j a_{\alpha,j} b^j|$. Since $a_{\alpha,j} = 0$ for j > m, for each α there exists $r(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for sufficiently large b, $|\sum_j a_{\alpha,j} b^j| \leq r(\alpha) b^m$. Then for some $r_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w \in \mathbb{N}$, $L_b \leq r_1 b^m$ for $b \in K_U$,

b > w. If K_U does not contain an interval $(-\infty, a']$, then let $s = \max\{L_b \mid b \leq w\}$ and $g(t) = r_1 t^m + s$ satisfies $L_b \leq g(b)$ for all $b \in K_U$. If K_U does contain some $(-\infty, a']$, then m must be even and and for sufficiently large |b|, $|\sum_j a_{\alpha,j} b^j| \leq r(\alpha)b^m$. In this case, let $s = \max\{L_b \mid |b| \leq w\}$ and $g(t) = r_1 t^m + s$.

The proof for K_U containing $(-\infty, a]$ and not an interval unbounded from above is similar to the proof of the first case.

2. Again assume K_U contains $[a, \infty)$. Write f as a polynomial in t:

$$f(X,t) = h(X)t^m + \sum_{j < m} h_j(X)t^j.$$

Since h(X) has no zeros on K_S , we must have h(X) > u on K_S for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Also, since K_S is compact, for each j < m there is $M_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h_j(X) < M_j$ on K_S . Then, on K_S ,

$$f_b(X) \ge u * b^m - \sum_j M_j b^j > r b^m$$

for some constant r and for b sufficiently large. Then, since $\deg g=m$, it follows easily that $\frac{g(b)}{\mu_b}$ is bounded. Finally, $L_b \leq g(b)$ for all $b \in K_U$ implies $\frac{L_b}{\mu_b}$ is bounded. If K_U contains only $(-\infty, a]$, then the proof is similar.

Our goal is to prove the following:

Theorem 3. With K and T as above, property (†) holds for any $f \in \mathbb{R}[X, t]$ which is fully m-ic on K_S . In other words, for such f, f > 0 on K implies $f \in T$.

As in [10], we make some convenient assumptions about S. First, we assume that $K_S \subseteq (-1,1)^n$; an easy scaling argument shows that this case implies the general case. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ so that $K_S \subseteq [-1+2\epsilon, 1-2\epsilon]^n$ and scale each g_i by a positive factor so that $2n\epsilon - (g_1 + \cdots + g_m) > 0$ on K_S . Now we define M := 2n + m + 1 polynomials $\{h_i\}$ in $\mathbb{R}[X]$ as follows:

$$h_1 = 1 - \epsilon + x_1, \dots, h_n = 1 - \epsilon + x_n,$$

 $h_{n+1} = 1 - \epsilon - x_1, \dots, h_{2n} = 1 - \epsilon - x_n,$
 $h_{2n+1} = g_1, \dots, h_{2n+m} = g_m,$
 $h_M = 2n\epsilon - (g_1 + \dots + g_m).$

Note that $\sum h_i = 2n$ and each h_i is in T_S : h_1, \ldots, h_{2n} and h_M by Schmüdgen's Theorem and the remaining trivially. For ease of exposition, for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^M$, we write H^{β} for $h_1^{\beta_1} \ldots h_M^{\beta_M}$. By the previous remark, for $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^M$, $aH^{\alpha} \in T_S$.

Let $\mathbb{R}[Y]$ denote $\mathbb{R}[y_1,\ldots,y_M]$ and let $\mathbb{R}[Y,t]$ denote $\mathbb{R}[y_1,\ldots,y_M,t]$. Define $\phi:\mathbb{R}[Y]\mapsto\mathbb{R}[X]$ by $\phi(y_i)=h_i$ and $\bar{\phi}:\mathbb{R}[Y,t]\to\mathbb{R}[X,t]$ similarly (with $\bar{\phi}(t)=t$). We also have the maps e_b on $\mathbb{R}[Y,t]$ and $\mathbb{R}[X,t]$. These are all homomorphisms and it is easy to see that the following diagram commutes:

Define $Z := \frac{y_1 + \dots + y_M}{2n} \in \mathbb{R}[Y]$ and note that $Z \in \ker \phi$ and $\deg Z = 1$. Z is useful for homogenizing or raising the degree of a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[Y]$ without changing its image under ϕ .

Here is a rough outline of the Schweighofer algorithm: Given p > 0 on K_S , construct a homogeneous $Q \in \mathbb{R}[Y]$ such that $\phi(Q) = p$ and Q > 0 on Δ_M . Using Theorem 2, find N so that Z^NQ equals a polynomial with only positive coefficients. Then, applying ϕ to both sides of this equation, we obtain $p = \sum a_{\alpha}H^{\alpha}$ and hence a representation of p in T_S (modulo representations of the h_i 's).

Definition 4. Given $g \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ with deg g = d, write $g = G_0 + \cdots + G_d$, where G_i is the homogeneous part of g of degree i. For any $k \geq d$, define homogeneous $P^{(k)}(g) \in \mathbb{R}[Y]$ of degree k by

$$P^{(k)}(g) := \sum_{i=0}^{d} G_i \left(\frac{1}{2} y_1 - \frac{1}{2} y_{n+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} y_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} y_{2n} \right) \cdot Z^{k-i}$$

Note that for all $k \geq d$, $\phi(P^{(k)}(g)) = g$. The construction now proceeds by adding an element of ker ϕ to some $P^{(k)}(p)$ in order to make it positive on Δ_M . We need to extract this part of the construction; the next result and its proof are completely contained in the proof of [10, Lemma 9].

Lemma 1. We make the assumptions and definitions as above for compact K_S . Then there are constants $1 \leq c_0, c_2$ and a homogeneous polynomial $R_0 \in \ker \phi$ of degree d_0 such that the following holds: Given $d \geq 1$ and suppose $p \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ with $\deg p = d$ such that L(p) = 1 and p > 0 on K_S . Let $l = \max\{d_0, d\}$, let μ be the minimum of p on K_S , and set $R = R_0 \cdot Z^{l-d_0}$. Then for

$$\lambda = c_2 d^2 n^d \left(\frac{d^2 n^d}{\mu} \right)^{c_0},$$

we have

$$P^{(l)}(p) + \lambda R \ge \frac{\mu}{2(2n)^l}$$
 on Δ_M

We need two generalizations of the lemma, which are easily obtained:

Corollary 1. We make all the assumptions and definitions as in Lemma 1, except we only assume $1 \le \deg p \le d$. Then the conclusion of Lemma 1 holds.

Proof. Let $u = \deg p$, if we apply Lemma 1 to p we obtain

$$P^{(\tilde{l})}(p) + \tilde{\lambda}R \ge \frac{\mu}{2(2n)^l},$$

where $\tilde{l} = \max\{d_0, u\} \leq l$ and

$$\tilde{\lambda} = c_2 u^2 n^u \left(\frac{u^2 n^u}{\mu}\right)^{c_0}.$$

It is easy to see that $P^{(l)}(p) = P^{(\tilde{l})}(p) \cdot Z^{l-\tilde{l}}$ and $\lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda}$. This implies $P^{(l)}(p) + \lambda R \geq P^{(\tilde{l})}(p) + \tilde{\lambda} R \geq \frac{\mu}{2(2n)^l}$ on Δ_M .

We need the corollary without the assumption that L(p) = 1.

Corollary 2. We make the assumptions and definitions as above for compact K_S . Then there are constants $1 \le c_0, c_2$, and a homogeneous polynomial $R_0 \in \ker \phi$ of degree d_0 such that the following holds: Given $d \ge 1$ and suppose $p \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ of degree $\le d$ with p > 0 on K_S . Let $l = \max\{d_0, d\}$, $\mu = \min\{p(u) \mid u \in K_S\}$ and set $R = R_0 \cdot Z^{l-d_0}$. Then for

$$\lambda = c_2 d^2 n^d \left(d^2 n^d \frac{L(p)}{\mu} \right)^{c_0},$$

we have

$$P^{(l)}(p) + L(p) \cdot \lambda \cdot R \ge \frac{\mu}{2(2n)^l}$$
 on Δ_M

Proof. Let $p' = \frac{p}{L(p)}$, then obviously L(p') = 1. It is easy to see that $P^{(k)}(p') = \frac{P^{(k)}(p)}{L(p)}$ and the minimum of p' on K_S is $\frac{\mu}{L(p)}$. Applying Corollary 1, we obtain

$$\frac{P^{(k)}(p)}{L(p)} + \lambda R > \frac{1}{L(p)} \frac{\mu}{2(2n)^l}$$

on Δ_M and, multiplying by L(p), we obtain the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 3: We are given $f \in \mathbb{R}[X,t]$ with f > 0 on K such that $\deg_t f = m$ and f is fully m-ic on K_S . Let d be the maximum degree in X of f. For each $b \in K_U$, let μ_b denote the minimum of f_b on K_S and write L_b for $L(f_b)$. Let c_0, c_2, R_0 and d_0 be as in Corollary 2 and set $l = \max\{d_0, d\}$ and $R = R_0 \cdot Z^{l-d_0}$.

Decompose $f = F_0 + \cdots + F_d$, where F_i is the part of f which is degree i in X. Define $Q \in \mathbb{R}[Y, t]$ by

$$Q = \sum_{i=0}^{d} F_i \left(\frac{1}{2} y_1 - \frac{1}{2} y_{n+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} y_n - \frac{1}{2} y_{2n}, t \right) \cdot Z^{l-i}$$

Note that $e_b(F_i)$ is the degree i part of f_b (or zero if there is no degree i part), hence $e_b(Q) = P^{(l)}(f_b)$. Also, $\bar{\phi}(Q) = \sum F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) = f$.

By Proposition 1, there exists $g(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$, $\deg g = m$, so that $L_b \leq g(b)$ for all $b \in K_U$. Also by the proposition, we can find $W \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $b \in K_U$, $\frac{L_b}{\mu_b} \leq W$ and $\frac{g(b)}{\mu_b} \leq W$. Let

$$\lambda = c_2 d^2 n^d \left(d^2 n^d W \right)^{c_0}$$

and define

$$\tilde{Q} := Q + g(t) \cdot \lambda \cdot R.$$

Write \tilde{Q}_b for $e_b(\tilde{Q})$, then $\bar{\phi}(\tilde{Q}) = \bar{\phi}(Q) = f$ and $\tilde{Q}_b = P^{(l)}(f_b) + g(b) \cdot \lambda \cdot R$. For each $b \in K_U$, let

$$\lambda_b = c_2 d^2 n^d \left(d^2 n^d \frac{L_b}{\mu_b} \right)^{c_0},$$

Note that $\lambda \geq \lambda_b$ for all b.

Applying Corollary 2 for each b, we then have

(1)
$$P^{(l)}(f_b) + L_b \cdot \lambda_b \cdot R \ge \frac{\mu_b}{2(2n)^l} \text{ on } \Delta_M$$

Since $\lambda_b \leq \lambda$ and $L_b \leq g(b)$, (1) implies

(2)
$$\tilde{Q}_b = P^{(l)}(f_b) + g(b) \cdot \lambda \cdot R \ge \frac{\mu_b}{2(2n)^l} \text{ on } \Delta_M$$

Claim 1: There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for each $b \in K_U$, $(y_1 + \cdots + y_M)^N \tilde{Q}_b$ has only positive coefficients.

Proof of claim: By Theorem 2, $(\sum y_i)^{N_b} \tilde{Q}_b$ has only positive coefficients for

$$N_b \ge \frac{l(l-1)}{2} \cdot \frac{L(\tilde{Q}_b)}{\min{\{\tilde{Q}_b(u) \mid u \in \Delta_M\}}}.$$

From the proof of [10, Lemma 9], we have $L(P^{(l)}(f_b)) \leq \frac{(d+1)}{2^l} L_b$ and $L(R) \leq \frac{L(R_0)}{(2n)^{l-d_0}}$, hence

$$L(\tilde{Q}_b) \le \frac{d+1}{2^l} L_b + g(b) \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{L(R_0)}{(2n)^{l-d_0}}.$$

By (2), the minimum of \tilde{Q}_b on Δ_M is $\geq \frac{\mu_b}{(2n)^{l-d_0}}$. Recall we have $\frac{L_b}{\mu_b} \leq W$ and $\frac{g(b)}{\mu_b} \leq W$, hence

$$\frac{L(\tilde{Q}_b)}{\min{\{\tilde{Q}_b(u) \mid u \in \Delta_M\}}} \le \frac{(2n)^{l-d_0}}{\mu} \left(\frac{d+1}{2^l} L_b + g(b) \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{L(R_0)}{(2n)^{l-d_0}}\right) \\
\le W\left(\frac{n^l}{(2n)^{d_0}} (d+1) + \lambda L(R_0)\right)$$

This implies that if $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$N \ge \frac{l(l-1)}{2} W\left(\frac{n^l}{(2n)^{d_0}}(d+1) + \lambda \cdot L(R_0)\right),$$

then $N_b \leq N$ and the claim holds.

Consider $(\sum y_i)^N \tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{R}[Y, t]$ and write this as a polynomial in y_1, \ldots, y_M with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}[t]$:

$$(\sum y_i)^N \tilde{Q} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^M} A_{\alpha}(t) Y^{\alpha}.$$

Applying $\bar{\phi}$ to both sides yields an expression

(4)
$$(2n)^N f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^M} A_{\alpha}(t) \cdot H^{\alpha}.$$

Claim: For each α , $A_{\alpha}(b) > 0$ for all $b \in K_U$.

Proof of claim: By the previous claim, $(y_1 + \cdots + y_M)^N \tilde{Q}_b$ has only positive coefficients. Applying e_b to both sides of (3) yields $(\sum y_i)^N \tilde{Q}_b = \sum A_{\alpha}(b) \cdot Y^{\alpha}$, which implies $A_{\alpha}(b) > 0$ for each α .

Since $A_{\alpha} > 0$ on K_U , by Theorem 1, A_{α} is in T_U , the preorder in $\mathbb{R}[t]$ generated by U. Substituting representations of the A_{α} 's in T_U into (4) yields a representation of f in T, proving Theorem 3.

Corollary 3. Given the above notations and assumptions. Then (\ddagger) holds for K and T, i.e., given f > 0 on $K_S \times K_U$, there exists $q \in T$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, $f + \epsilon q \in T$.

Proof. Assume f has degree m in t and let $q = t^{2m}$. Clearly, $f + \epsilon q$ is fully 2m-ic on K_U . Therefore, we are done by Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. Let K, T be as above. Then property (*) holds, i.e., $S \cup U$ solves the moment problem for K.

Remark 1. Theorem 4 is also proven in [3] using different methods.

References

- [1] T. Jacobi and A. Prestel, Distinguished representations of strictly positive polynomials, J. reine angew. Math. **532** (2001), 223-235.
- [2] S. Kuhlmann and M. Marshall, Positivity, sums of squares, and the multidimensional moment problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 4285-4301.
- [3] S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, and N. Schwartz, *Positivity, sums of squares, and the multidimensional moment problem II*, preprint.
- [4] G. Pólya, Über positive Darstellung von Polynomen Vierteljschr, Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich **73** (1928), 141-145, in Collected Papers **2** (1974), MIT Press, 309-313.
- [5] V. Powers and B. Reznick, A new bound for Pólya's Theorem with applications to polynomials positive on polyhedra, J. Pure and Applied Alg. 164 (2001), 221-229.
- [6] V. Powers and C. Scheiderer, The moment problem for non-compact semial-gebraic sets, Adv. Geo. 1 (2001), 71-88.
- [7] C. Scheiderer, Sums of squares of regular functions on real algebraic varieties, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (1999), 1030-1069.
- [8] K. Schmüdgen, The K-moment problem for compact semialgebraic sets, Math. Ann. 289 (1991), 203-206.
- [9] K. Schmüdgen, On the moment problem of closed semialgebraic sets, preprint.
- [10] M. Schweighofer, On the complexity of Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz, preprint.