

Sums of powers in rings and the real holomorphy ring

Jutta and Martin Kneser in Dankbarkeit gewidmet

By *Eberhard Becker* at Dortmund and *Victoria Powers* at Atlanta

Introduction

The real holomorphy ring of a formally real field K is by definition the intersection of all valuation rings of K with a formally real residue class field. It is used extensively in the study of formally real fields, especially for quadratic forms and sums of $2n$ -th powers, and in real algebraic geometry, see for example [Sch], [B1]–[B4], [KS], III, § 12. Several authors have begun a theory of the real holomorphy ring of a commutative ring, for instance K. G. Valente [V], N. Schwartz [Sch], M. Marshall [M], and M. Prechtel [P]. Also, M. Knebusch and C. Scheiderer introduce in their book [KS] a relative real holomorphy ring (although they do not call it this).

Following these examples we define the real holomorphy ring of a commutative ring R with 1 as the subring $H(R)$ of elements which are globally finite on $\text{Sper } R$. With this definition we can carry much of the field theory over to $H(R)$. We obtain particularly complete results in the case where each element in $1 + \Sigma R^2$ is a unit in R : We show that $H(R)$ is a Prüfer ring in R , and establish a correspondence between the ideal – and unit – theory of $H(R)$ and the units of R which are sums of $2n$ -th powers. This correspondence allows us to study quantitative problems on sums of $2n$ -th powers in R .

When the elements of $1 + \Sigma R^2$ are not units our results are not as complete, but there are still new and interesting phenomena: We show in §1 that we have a representation $H(R) \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$, where X is a suitable compact topological space. In general, one cannot interpret X using places and valuation theory as in the case where $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$. In the general case, the sums of $2n$ -th powers are replaced by the elements of R which are non-negative with respect to all orderings of level n in R .

Special attention is given to affine algebras A and their quotient algebras A_S over suitable fields k . For example, if $k = \mathbb{R}$, the holomorphy ring $H(A)$ is investigated by appealing to the geometric properties of A . Setting $H^{n+1}(A) = H(H^n(A))$, $H^1(A) = H(A)$ we prove $H^{d+1}(A) = H^d(A)$ if $\dim A = d$.

This article was written while the second author held an Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellowship at the University of Regensburg. She gratefully thanks the Humboldt Foundation for support, as well as Professor M. Knebusch and his assistants for their warm hospitality during her stay in Regensburg.

§ 1. The real holomorphy ring

Throughout the paper, R denotes a commutative ring with 1. The real spectrum of R , denoted $\text{Sper } R$, is the set of (prime) orderings of R , i.e. the subsets $P \subseteq R$ that satisfy the following properties (see [B4], [BCR], Ch. 7, [KS], III, [L], § 4):

$$P + P \subseteq P, \quad P \cdot P \subseteq P, \quad P \cup -P = R, \quad P \cap -P \in \text{Spec } R.$$

Alternatively, the elements of $\text{Sper } R$ can be defined as pairs (\wp, \bar{P}) , where $\wp \in \text{Spec } R$ and \bar{P} is an ordering of $k(\wp) :=$ the quotient field of R/\wp . The identification is given by

$$(\wp, \bar{P}) \leftrightarrow P := \{a \in R \mid a + \wp \in \bar{P}\},$$

in which case $\wp = P \cap -P =: \text{supp}(P)$. We write $\text{Min Sper } R$ (respectively $\text{Max Sper } R$) for the elements of $\text{Sper } R$ minimal (resp. maximal) with respect to inclusion. Of course, if K is a field then $\text{Min Sper } K = \text{Max Sper } K = \text{Sper } K$. If $P \subseteq Q$ we say Q is a *specialization* of P and P is a *generalization* of Q .

An element $a \in R$ induces a mapping

$$\text{Sper } R \rightarrow \coprod_{P \in \text{Sper } R} k(\text{supp}(P)),$$

where $P \mapsto a + \text{supp}(P) =: a(P)$.

We write $a(P) > 0$ (resp. $a(P) \geq 0$, $a(P) = 0$), if $P = (\wp, \bar{P})$ satisfies $a + \wp >_{\bar{P}} 0$ (resp. $a + \wp \geq_{\bar{P}} 0$, $a + \wp = 0$). As is well-known, the family $\{D(a)\}_{a \in R}$ with

$$D(a) = \{P \in \text{Sper } R \mid a(P) > 0\}$$

defines a subbasis of a compact topology of $\text{Sper } R$. Note: Here, and throughout the paper, the term "compact" does not imply Hausdorff.

Definition. Given $X \subseteq \text{Sper } R$.

(i) We say $a \in R$ is *bounded on* X if there exists some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(k - a)(P) \geq 0$ and $(k + a)(P) \geq 0$ for all $P \in X$.

(ii) Set $H(X) := \{a \in R \mid a \text{ bounded on } X\}$.

(iii) The *real holomorphy ring of* R is $H(\text{Sper } R)$, denoted $H(R)$.

Remark. A more general notion of the relative real holomorphy ring of an extension of rings $f: B \rightarrow R$ is defined in [Sch], 7.3, [P], 1.1 and [KS], III, § 11. Note that in our

case $B = \mathbb{Z}$. Most of the basic results on the real holomorphy ring can be found in [KS], III, §11.

Definition. Suppose $P \in \text{Sper } R$. If a is bounded on $\{P\}$, then we say a is *bounded with respect to P* .

One can show directly that $H(X)$ is a ring or deduce it from Corollary 1.2.

Proposition 1.1. Given $X \subseteq \text{Sper } R$.

(i) Suppose X is compact. Then $a \in R$ is bounded on X iff a is bounded with respect to each $P \in X$.

(ii) If \bar{X} is the closure of X , then $H(\bar{X}) = H(X)$.

Proof. (i) We prove the nontrivial direction. Suppose a is bounded with respect to each $P \in X$. Then, given P , there exists $r_P \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(r_P \pm a)(P) \geq 0$. Replacing r_P by $r_P + 1$, we can assume $(r_P \pm a)(P) > 0$. Thus $(r_P^2 - a^2)(P) > 0$ and hence $X \subseteq \bigcup_P D(r_P^2 - a^2)$.

Since X is compact we have $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(r_i^2 - a^2)$ for some $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $r = \max\{r_i\}$, then clearly $X \subseteq D(r^2 - a^2)$. Hence, given any P , since $(r^2 - a^2)(P) > 0$ and $r > 0$ it follows that $(r - a)(P) > 0$ and $(r + a)(P) > 0$.

(ii) $H(\bar{X}) \subseteq H(X)$ is clear. Given $a \in H(X)$ and $Q \in \bar{X}$. Pick $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n \pm a)(P) > 0$ for all $P \in X$. Suppose $(n + a)(Q) < 0$, then $(-n - a)(Q) > 0$ and so $Q \in D(-n - a)$. But then $D(-n - a) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ which contradicts $(n + a)(P) > 0$ for all $P \in X$. Thus $(n + a)(Q) \geq 0$, and similarly $(n - a)(Q) \geq 0$. Hence a is bounded with respect to Q and so a is bounded on \bar{X} by (i). \square

Definition. For $P \in \text{Sper } R$ we set:

(i) $P^+ := P \setminus (-P) = \{a \in R \mid a(P) > 0\}$,

(ii) $A(P) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is bounded with respect to } P\}$.

Since $A(\bar{P})$ is a ring (in fact, a valuation ring), it follows from the identity

$$A(P) = \{a \in R \mid a(P) \in A(\bar{P})\}$$

that $A(P)$ is a ring.

For $\wp \in \text{Spec } R$, let π_\wp denote the canonical map $R \rightarrow k(\wp)$. As usual, we say \wp is *real* if $k(\wp)$ is formally real.

Corollary 1.2. (i) $H(R) = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Sper } R} A(P)$,

(ii) $H(R) = \bigcap_{\substack{\wp \in \text{Spec } R \\ \wp \text{ real}}} \pi_\wp^{-1} H(k(\wp))$,

(iii) $H(R)$ is integrally closed in R .

Proof. (i) follows from 1.1, since $\text{Sper } R$ is compact. Then

$$H(R) = \bigcap_{\wp \text{ real}} \left(\bigcap_{\bar{P} \in \text{Sper } k(\wp)} \pi_{\wp}^{-1}(A(\bar{P})) \right) = \bigcap_{\wp \text{ real}} \pi_{\wp}^{-1} H(k(\wp)),$$

which proves (ii). It is well-known that (iii) holds for fields, and thus it holds generally by (ii). \square

Definition. (i) $T(R) := \bigcap_{P \in \text{Sper } R} P$, the set of *totally positive* elements of R ,

(ii) $T^+(R) := \bigcap_{P \in \text{Sper } R} P^+$, the set of *strictly totally positive* elements of R .

For a further description of $H(R)$, we note the following characterization of elements in $T(R)$ (resp. $T^+(R)$), essentially due to Stengle, see [St], [B4], §4, [KS], III, §9, [L], §7. Clearly $\Sigma R^2 \subseteq T(R)$.

Proposition 1.3. *Given $a \in R$. Then*

(i) $a \in T(R)$ iff there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t, t' \in \Sigma R^2$ with $at = a^{2k} + t'$,

(ii) $a \in T^+(R)$ iff there exists $t, t' \in \Sigma R^2$ with $at = 1 + t'$.

Remark. In (ii), t can be replaced by $1 + s$ for some $s \in \Sigma R^2$ as follows: Given $at = 1 + t'$, $t, t' \in \Sigma R^2$, then $a^2 t = a(1 + t')$ and thus

$$a(1 + t + t') = at + a(1 + t') = 1 + (t' + a^2 t),$$

and $s = t' + a^2 t$ does the job.

From the definition of the real holomorphy ring and the proof of 1.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a \in H(R) &\Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } k \pm a \in T(R) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } k \pm a \in T^+(R). \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition follows immediately from 1.3:

Proposition 1.4. $H(R) = \{a \in R \mid \exists k, l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } t, t' \in \Sigma R^2 \text{ with}$

$$(k^2 - a^2)t = (k^2 - a^2)^{2l} + t'\}$$

$$= \{a \in R \mid \exists k, l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } t, t' \in \Sigma R^2 \text{ with } (k^2 - a^2)t = 1 + t'\}.$$

Note that in the above equations, we can replace the condition on $k^2 - a^2$ by the same conditions on $k - a$ and $k + a$ separately, see the proof of 1.1.

The description of $H(R)$ before 1.4 shows that $H(R) \cap T(R)$ and $H(R) \cap T^+(R)$ are archimedean partial orderings of $H(R)$. Further, using 1.3 we get:

Corollary 1.5. (i) *If $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, then*

$$\varphi(H(R)) \subseteq H(S), \quad \varphi(T(R)) \subseteq T(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(T^+(R)) \subseteq T^+(S).$$

(ii) *The mappings $R \mapsto (H(R), H(R) \cap T(R))$ and $R \mapsto (H(R), H(R) \cap T^+(R))$ are covariant functors from the category of commutative rings to the category of archimedean partially ordered rings.*

The holomorphy ring $H(K)$ of a field K admits a topological representation $H(K) \rightarrow C(M, \mathbb{R})$, where M is the space of real places of K , see [S], [B3], §1, [KS], III, §12. In general, there is a corresponding representation for $H(R)$, however the representation space cannot necessarily be interpreted as a space of "places". Such an interpretation is possible in the case where the elements $1 + \sum R^2$ are units in R , see §4.

The representation of $H(R)$ is a consequence of the Representation Theorem of Kadison-Dubois. We use the version in [BS]. As stated above,

$$Q := H(R) \cap T(R) \quad \text{and} \quad Q^+ := (H(R) \cap T^+(R)) \cup \{0\}$$

are archimedean partial orderings of $H(R)$. According to [BS], the topological space

$$X := \text{Hom}((H(R), Q^+), (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+))$$

is non-empty and compact. For the representation

$$\Phi : H(R) \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R}), \quad a \mapsto (\varphi \mapsto \varphi(a))$$

we apply the results from [BS], see also [B3], 1.1:

Proposition 1.6. (i) $\Phi^{-1}(C_+(X, \mathbb{R})) = \{a \in H(R) \mid \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$

$$(1 + na) \in Q^+\} = Q.$$

(ii) $\ker \Phi$ is a radically closed ideal of $H(R)$.

(iii) $\mathbb{Q} \cdot \Phi(H(R))$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark. Instead of the partial ordering Q^+ we could have used Q in the above. However this would not yield a different representation.

We now take a look at some examples.

Examples 1.7. (i) Suppose K is a formally real field in which all orderings are archimedean. Then clearly $H(K) = K$. The identity $H(R) = R$ also holds if R is an integral domain, R/\mathbb{Z} an integral extension. In this case, $\text{Sper } R = \{(0, P) \mid P \in \text{Sper } K\}$, where K is

the quotient field of R . Since K is an algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q} , K has only archimedean orderings, thus $H(R) = R$ and $T(R) = R \cap \Sigma K^2 = T^+(R) \cup \{0\}$.

(ii) For any ring R and its polynomial ring we have

$$H(R[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = H(R).$$

This can be shown using the surjection $\text{Sper } R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \text{Sper } R$, see e.g. [V], 5.2. In particular, we have

$$H(R[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = R$$

for $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) Let X be a topological space and $R = C(X, \mathbb{R})$. Then $T(R) = C_+(X, \mathbb{R})$ and hence (cf. [M], 4.1)

$$H(C(X, \mathbb{R})) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is bounded}\} =: C^b(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

We need some notations from Algebraic Geometry, which will provide a rich source of examples. In this paper, an affine variety V over \mathbb{R} is a reduced affine scheme over \mathbb{R} of finite type, i.e., $V = \text{Spec } A$, where A is a reduced affine \mathbb{R} -algebra. As usual set $\mathbb{R}[V] := A$. Define $V(\mathbb{R}) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, \mathbb{R})$, the set of real points of V . There is a natural embedding

$$V(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \text{Sper } A$$

given by $x \mapsto \{f \mid f(x) \geq 0\}$. The topology of $\text{Sper } A$ induces the subspace topology on $V(\mathbb{R})$, which is contained in the compact Hausdorff space $\text{Max } \text{Sper } A$. By the Artin-Lang Homomorphism Theorem (see, e.g., [BCR], 4.1.12), $V(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $\text{Max } \text{Sper } A$ and $\text{Sper } A$. If we have a representation of A , say $A = \mathbb{R}[X]/\mathfrak{A}$, then the evaluation map gives a natural homeomorphism between $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ and $V(\mathbb{R})$.

An ideal \mathfrak{A} of R is *real* if $\Sigma a_i^2 \in \mathfrak{A}$ implies $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ for all i . R itself is called *real* if the zero ideal is real. Note that an ideal \mathfrak{A} is real iff R/\mathfrak{A} is real and that a prime ideal \wp is real (in the present sense) iff the residue field $k(\wp)$ is formally real. A variety V is *real* if $V(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in V . By the Artin-Lang Theorem, V is real iff $\mathbb{R}[V]$ is a real ring.

Proposition 1.8. *Let V be a real affine variety over \mathbb{R} , then $H(\mathbb{R}[V]) = H(V(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof. By 1.1, $H(V(\mathbb{R})) = H(\overline{V(\mathbb{R})})$. By density, $\overline{V(\mathbb{R})} = \text{Sper } \mathbb{R}[V]$. Hence $H(\mathbb{R}[V]) = H(V(\mathbb{R}))$. \square

Example 1.9. Let V be an affine variety over \mathbb{R} and let $R = \mathbb{R}[V]_S$, where S is a semigroup of functions that do not vanish on $V(\mathbb{R})$. Then $s(P) \neq 0$ for all $P \in \text{Sper } R$ and $s \in S$, hence the inclusion $\mathbb{R}[V] \rightarrow R$ induces a homeomorphism

$$\text{Sper } R \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Sper } \mathbb{R}[V].$$

Then, by 1.8, $H(R) = \{f \in R \mid f \text{ bounded on } V(\mathbb{R})\}$.

Specializing to $R = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we get $H(R) = \mathbb{R}$, as in 1.7. Localizing at

$$S = \{(1 + \sum x_i^2)^k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

it follows that:

$$H(\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_S) = \left\{ \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{(1 + \sum x_i^2)^k} \mid \deg f \leq 2k \right\}.$$

We list some cases in which we can determine explicitly the representation space X of 1.6:

Examples 1.10. (i) If K is a formally real field, then $X = M(K)$, the space of real places of K , see [B1], 2.17 and [BG 2] for a recent survey.

(ii) Suppose $T(R)$ is an archimedean partial ordering of R . Then $H(R) = R$, hence, by 1.5, every homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (relative to $T(R)$ and \mathbb{R}_+) is order preserving. The natural mapping $\text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Sper } R$, given by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, is injective. We have

$$X = \text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Max Sper } R, \text{ cf. [BG 2], 2.9.}$$

Specializing to R satisfying the conditions of example 1.7(i) above, then the homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ must be injective. Let K be the quotient field of R , then we get

$$X = \text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{R}) \cong M(K)$$

(as topological spaces).

(iii) Let Y be a Hausdorff topological space and $R = C(Y, \mathbb{R})$. We saw that

$$H(R) = C^b(Y, \mathbb{R}),$$

and clearly $T(R) = C_+(Y, \mathbb{R})$ and $H(R) \cap T(R) = C_+^b(Y, \mathbb{R}) = \{f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f = g^2 \text{ for some } g \in C^b(Y, \mathbb{R})\}$. Thus $X = \text{Hom}(C^b(Y, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Max } C^b(Y, \mathbb{R})$ and hence $X = \beta Y$, the Stone-Ćech compactification of Y .

(iv) Let $R = \mathbb{R}[T]_{1+T^2}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$H(R) = \left\{ \frac{f}{(1+T^2)^k} \mid f \in \mathbb{R}[T] \text{ and } \deg f \leq 2k \right\} = R \cap V_\infty,$$

where V_∞ is the valuation ring of the degree valuation. Let \mathcal{M}_∞ be the maximal ideal of V_∞ . Similarly, we see that $\text{Spec } H(R) = \{(0), \mathcal{M}_\infty \cap H(R), \mathcal{M}_p \cap H(R), p \text{ an irreducible polynomial in } \mathbb{R}[T], p \neq 1 + T^2\}$, where $\mathcal{M}_p = p\mathbb{R}[T]_{(p)}$ is the maximal ideal of the p -adic valuation ring. The localization $H(R)_\wp, \wp \in \text{Spec } H(R) \setminus \{(0)\}$ is a discrete, trivial on \mathbb{R} , valuation ring. Hence $H(R)$ is a Prufer ring of $\mathbb{R}(T)$ cf. e.g. [G]. Thus we get in a natural way that

$$\begin{aligned} X = \text{Hom}(H(R), \mathbb{R}) &\cong \{\mathcal{M}_\infty \cap H(R), \mathcal{M}_p \cap H(R) \text{ for } p = T - a, a \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &\cong M(\mathbb{R}(T)) \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

see [S].

(v) We reconsider example 1.9. We will use the language of schemes from Algebraic Geometry; for details and results see [H], II. Let R be as in 1.9. Using homogenization of polynomials we have $H(R) = \left\{ \frac{f(x_0, \dots, x_n)}{(\sum_0^n x_i^2)^k} \mid f \text{ is homogeneous and } \deg f = 2k \right\}$. In the projective n -space over \mathbb{R} , $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^n := \text{Proj } \mathbb{R}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, we consider the open affine subvariety $D_+(u)$, where $u = \sum_0^n x_i^2$. Then $H(R)$ is the coordinate ring of $D_+(u)$, i.e.,

$$H(R) = \Gamma(D_+(u)),$$

by [H], II, 2.5. This means that $H(R)$ is an affine \mathbb{R} -algebra with $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ as its space of real points, more precisely, we have the natural homeomorphism $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H(R), \mathbb{R})$ given by $x \mapsto e_x$, where $e_x \left(\frac{f}{u^k} \right) = \frac{f(x_0, \dots, x_n)}{u^k}$, with $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_n]$. We claim that every homomorphism $H(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is order preserving relative to T and \mathbb{R}_+ , i.e., $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ is the representation space. This follows from the above identification of $H(R)$ with $\Gamma(D_+(u))$, since this implies $T = \left\{ \frac{f(x_0, \dots, x_n)}{u^k} \mid f \text{ homogeneous and } f \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right\}$.

We finish this section by developing some machinery we will need later on when computing $H(R)$ for various types of rings. We are interested in reduction results, i.e., results which will allow us to reduce our calculation of $H(R)$ to simpler rings.

Lemma 1.11. *Suppose $R = \varinjlim R_i$, then $H(R) = \varinjlim H(R_i)$.*

Proof. The characterization of $H(R)$ given in 1.4 shows that the natural map $\varinjlim H(R_i) \rightarrow H(R)$ is a surjection. \square

Given an element $f \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $R_f := \left\{ \frac{a}{f^k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. Then the natural map $R \rightarrow R_f$ induces an embedding

$$\text{Sper } R_f \hookrightarrow \text{Sper } R$$

with open image $\{P \mid f(P) \neq 0\}$. We allow f to be nilpotent, in which case $R_f = 0$ and $\text{Sper } R_f = \emptyset$.

If \mathfrak{A} is an ideal in R then $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{A}$ induces a mapping

$$\text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Sper } R$$

with closed image $\{P \mid f(P) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathfrak{A}\}$. We often identify $\text{Sper } R_f$ and $\text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A}$ with their images in $\text{Sper } R$.

Remark. The expressions $H(\text{Sper } R_f)$ and $H(\text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A})$ are now somewhat ambiguous depending on whether we view $\text{Sper } R_f$ (resp. $\text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A}$) as a subset of $\text{Sper } R$ or not. However this is not a problem since $r \in R$ is bounded on $\text{Sper } R_f$ (resp. $\text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A}$) considered as a subset of $\text{Sper } R$ iff $\frac{r}{1} \in R_f$ (resp. $r + \mathfrak{A} \in R/\mathfrak{A}$) is bounded on $\text{Sper } R_f$ (resp. $\text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A}$).

The following is now clear:

Lemma 1.12. *Given an ideal $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq R$, then there is a natural decomposition*

$$\text{Sper } R = \left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{A}} \text{Sper } R_f \right) \cup \text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A}.$$

Definition. Given an ideal \mathfrak{A} in R , the *real radical* of \mathfrak{A} , $\sqrt[\text{re}]{\mathfrak{A}}$, is $\{r \in R \mid r^{2m} + \sigma \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma R^2\}$. The *real radical* of R is $\sqrt[\text{re}]{0}$.

Lemma 1.13. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = \sqrt[\text{re}]{0}$, then $H(R) = \{r \in R \mid \bar{r} \in H(R/\mathfrak{A})\}$, where \bar{r} denotes $r + \mathfrak{A} \in R/\mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof. By [L], 6.5, $\text{Sper } R_f = \emptyset$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{A}$. The lemma now follows using the decomposition in 1.12. \square

Lemma 1.13 allows us to reduce to the case where R is real. For the rest of this section we assume R is a real ring. Then R is a reduced ring and the minimal prime ideals are real [L], 2.9. Given \wp a minimal prime ideal. Then R reduced and \wp minimal implies $k(\wp) = R_\wp$ and the mapping $R \rightarrow R_\wp$ induces a mapping

$$(*) \quad \text{Sper } R_\wp \rightarrow \text{Sper } R.$$

Definition. $P \in \text{Sper } R$ is a *central point* if there exists a minimal prime ideal \wp in R and Q in the image of $(*)$ such that P is a specialization of Q , i.e., $Q \subseteq P$. We write $\text{Sper}_c R$ for the set of central points of $\text{Sper } R$, and set $\text{Max Sper}_c R = \text{Sper}_c R \cap \text{Max Sper } R$.

Proposition 1.14. *Suppose R has only finitely many minimal prime ideals. Then $\text{Sper}_c R$ and $\text{Max Sper}_c R$ are compact.*

Proof. Combining the specialization map $\text{Sper } R \rightarrow \text{Max Sper } R$ with $(*)$ we have, for any minimal prime \wp , a mapping

$$\phi_\wp : \text{Sper } R_\wp \rightarrow \text{Sper } R \rightarrow \text{Max Sper } R.$$

By [L], 4.7, $\text{Sper } R_\wp$ and $\text{Max Sper } R$ are compact and ϕ_\wp is continuous. Hence $\text{im } \phi_\wp$ the image of ϕ_\wp , is a compact subspace of $\text{Max Sper } R$. Note that $\text{im } \phi_\wp \subseteq \text{Sper}_c R$ by definition. It follows that $\text{Max Sper}_c R$ is compact.

Assume $\text{Sper}_c R \subseteq \bigcup U_i$, where U_i are open sets. Then $\text{Max Sper}_c R \subseteq \bigcup U_i$, thus there exist U_1, \dots, U_r such that $\text{Max Sper}_c R \subseteq U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_r$. Since each U_i is open, any generalization of $P \in U_i$ is also in U_i . Thus $\text{Sper}_c R \subseteq \{\text{generalizations of points in } \text{Max Sper}_c R\} \subseteq U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_r$, and hence $\text{Sper}_c R$ is compact. \square

Proposition 1.15. *Suppose R has only finitely many minimal prime ideals. Then $R = H(\text{Sper}_c R)$ iff $R/\wp \subseteq H(R_\wp)$ for all minimal prime ideals \wp in R .*

Proof. This follows from the definition of $\text{Sper}_c R$ plus the fact that if Q specializes P , then $f \geq 0$ on P implies $f \geq 0$ on Q . \square

Definition. (i) The *regular locus* of R , denoted $\text{Reg } R$, is the set of prime ideals \wp such that R_\wp is a regular local ring. The *singular locus* of R , denoted $\text{Sing } R$, is

$$\{\wp \in \text{Spec } R \mid \wp \notin \text{Reg } R\}.$$

(ii) $\text{Reg-Sper } R := \{P = (\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Sper } R \mid \wp \in \text{Reg } R\}$ and

$$\text{Sing-Sper } R := \{P = (\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Sper } R \mid \wp \in \text{Sing } R\}.$$

Proposition 1.16. *Assume R is noetherian. Then $\text{Reg-Sper } R \subseteq \text{Sper}_c R$.*

Proof. Given $P = (\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Reg-Sper } R$. Given a prime ideal $\wp' \subseteq \wp$, then

$$R_{\wp'} = (R_\wp)_{(\wp' R_\wp)}$$

and so \wp' is also regular. In particular, let \wp' be the unique minimal prime ideal contained in \wp . Then $\wp' R_\wp = 0$, since R_\wp is an integral domain, and hence $R_{\wp'}$ is the quotient field of R_\wp . Since R_\wp is regular the natural map $R_\wp \rightarrow (R_\wp)_{(\wp R_\wp)} = k(\wp)$ extends to a place $\lambda: R_{\wp'} \rightarrow k(\wp) \cup \infty$, see e.g. [B1]. Let \bar{Q} be a pullback of \bar{P} to $R_{\wp'}$, then P is a specialization of $Q = (\wp', \bar{Q})$, hence $P \in \text{Sper}_c R$. \square

§ 2. Integral domains and affine algebras

In this section we take a closer look at integral domains and affine algebras. We fix a real *integral domain* A with quotient field F and set $V = \text{Spec } A$. A key question in this case is when does $H(A) = A \cap H(F)$? We give a partial answer to this question.

Note that the inclusion map $i: A \hookrightarrow F$ induces a map

$$\hat{i}: \text{Sper } F \rightarrow \text{Min Sper } A$$

given by $P \mapsto P \cap A$.

Remark. From the definition given in §1 we see that $P \in \text{Sper } A$ is a central point if there exists $Q \in \text{Sper } F$ such that $P \cong Q \cap A$, i.e., $\text{Sper}_c A = \{\text{specializations of elements in image } \hat{i}\}$.

Proposition 2.1. $H(\text{Sper}_c A) = H(\text{Max Sper}_c A) = A \cap H(F)$.

Proof. Given $f \in A$ then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(n \pm f)(\bar{P}) > 0$ for all $\bar{P} \in \text{Sper } F$ iff $(n \pm f)(P) > 0$ for all $P \in \text{im } \hat{i}$. Thus $H(\text{Sper}_c A) = A \cap H(F)$.

Clearly $H(\text{Sper}_c A) \subseteq H(\text{Max Sper}_c A)$. Suppose for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(n \pm f)(Q) > 0$$

for all $Q \in \text{Max Sper}_c A$. Given $P \in \text{Sper}_c A$, let $Q \in \text{Max Sper}_c A$ be a specialization of P . Then $Q \in \text{Max Sper}_c A$, hence $(n \pm f)(P) > 0$. Thus $H(\text{Max Sper}_c A) \subseteq H(\text{Sper}_c A)$. \square

Proposition 2.2. \bar{P} is archimedean for all $P = (\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Max Sper}_c A$ iff $A \subseteq H(F)$.

Proof. This follows from 1.14, 1.1(i) and 2.1. \square

Proposition 2.3. Suppose A is noetherian, $\text{Sing } A$ is closed and $A \subseteq H(F)$. Then the quotient field of $H(A)$ is F and either $H(A) = A$ or $H(A)$ is not noetherian.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcap \wp$, where the intersection is over all $\wp \in \text{Sing } A$, and set $\mathfrak{B} = \sqrt[\text{re}]{\mathfrak{A}}$. Then $\mathfrak{B} \neq (0)$ since $(0) \in \text{Reg } A$. We have $\text{Sper } A = \text{Sper}_c A \cup \text{Sper } A/\mathfrak{B}$. By 2.1, $A \subseteq H(\text{Sper}_c A)$, hence

$$H(A) = \{a \in A \mid \bar{a} \in H(A/\mathfrak{B})\}.$$

Given $b \in \mathfrak{B} \setminus (0)$ and any $a \in A$, then $b, ab \in H(A)$, hence $a \in \text{quot}(H(A))$. Thus $\text{quot}(H(A)) = F$.

Suppose $H(A)$ is noetherian, then \mathfrak{B} is finitely generated as an $H(A)$ -ideal, say $\mathfrak{B} = (b_1, \dots, b_r)$. Pick any $a \in A$. Then $ab_i = \sum \alpha_{i,j} b_j$, where $\alpha_{i,j} \in H(A)$. Thus

$$(*) \quad \sum (-\alpha_{i,j} + a\delta_{i,j}) b_j = 0.$$

Let ϕ be the characteristic polynomial of $(\alpha_{i,j})$, then applying Cramer's rule to (*) we get $\phi(a) b_j = 0$ for all j . Since some $b_j \neq 0$ we get $\phi(a) = 0$, i.e., a is integral over $H(A)$. Hence $a \in H(A)$ by 1.2 and therefore $H(A) = A$. \square

If A is a k -algebra, let $\text{tr}(A|k)$ denote the transcendence degree of A over k .

Proposition 2.4. Suppose A contains a totally-archimedean field k . Then if

$$\text{tr}(F|k) \leq 1,$$

we have $H(A) = A \cap H(F)$.

Proof. A has dimension ≤ 1 . Note that if \wp is a prime ideal in A with height d and $\text{tr}(A/\wp|k) = e$, then $\text{tr}(A|k) \geq d + e$ ([Ku], II, 3.6). Hence if $\wp \neq \{0\}$ is a prime ideal in A , then \wp is maximal and A/\wp is algebraic over k . Thus $A/\wp \subseteq H(A/\wp)$ and so every $a \in A$ is bounded with respect to $P = (\wp, \bar{P})$ if $\wp \neq \{0\}$. This implies $H(A) = H(\text{Sper}_c A)$ and we are done by 2.1. \square

For the rest of this section we assume that A is an affine integral domain over \mathbb{R} and $F = \text{quot}(A)$ is formally real. Let V be the associated real affine variety, as defined in §1.

Definition. Set $V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) = V(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Reg-Sper } A$, the *regular real points* of V ,

$$V_{\text{sing}}(\mathbb{R}) = V(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Sing-Sper } A,$$

the *singular real points* of V , and $V_c(\mathbb{R}) = V(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Sper}_c A$, the *central real points* of V .

Proposition 2.5. (i) $\text{Sper}_c A \subseteq \overline{V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R})}$, $V_c(\mathbb{R}) = \overline{V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R})} \cap V(\mathbb{R})$.

(ii) $H(V_c(\mathbb{R})) = A \cap H(F)$.

Proof. The first statement in (i) is a result by Dubois and Efroymsen rephrased in terms of the real spectrum. The proof follows from the Artin-Lang homomorphism theorem and is given in [B4], 3.2. We deduce $V_c(\mathbb{R}) = V(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Max Sper}_c A \subseteq V(\mathbb{R}) \cap \overline{V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R})}$. On the other hand, $\text{Max Sper}_c A$, being compact, is a closed subspace of $\text{Max Sper } A$. Hence $V(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Max Sper}_c A$ is closed in $V(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq V_c(\mathbb{R})$. This, together with 1.16, yields $\overline{V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R})} \cap V(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq V_c(\mathbb{R})$. From $V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq V_c(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \text{Sper}_c A \subseteq \overline{V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R})}$ we derive

$$H(\text{Sper}_c A) = H(V_c(\mathbb{R})).$$

(ii) now follows from 2.1. \square

Proposition 2.6. $H(A) = A$ iff $V(\mathbb{R})$ is compact.

Proof. Choose a representation $A = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{A}$. Then $V(\mathbb{R}) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \mathfrak{A}\}$. Set $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i^2$. If $H(A) = A$, then $f \in H(A)$ which means that f is bounded on $V(\mathbb{R})$. Hence $V(\mathbb{R})$ is closed and bounded in \mathbb{R}^n , thus compact.

Now suppose $V(\mathbb{R})$ is compact. Given $f \in A$, then f is bounded, since it is a continuous function on a compact set $V(\mathbb{R})$. Thus $f \in H(V(\mathbb{R}))$. Hence, by 1.8, $H(A) = A$. \square

We now look at the classical notion of central points in $V(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition. Suppose \mathcal{V} is a valuation ring in F with maximal ideal $m_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. We say \mathcal{V} has center \wp on A if $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\wp = m_{\mathcal{V}} \cap A$.

Proposition 2.7. A prime ideal $\wp \subseteq A$ is the center of a real valuation ring $V \subseteq F$ iff \wp is the support of a point in $\text{Sper}_c A$.

Proof. Given $P \in \text{Sper}_c A$ with $\wp = \text{supp}(P)$. Then there is some $Q \in \text{Sper } F$ such that $P \cong Q \cap A$. Let (F, \bar{Q}) be a real closure of Q . By [B4], 2.9, there is a place $\lambda: F \rightarrow L \cup \{\infty\}$, for some real closed L , extending $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\wp$. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq F$ be the valuation ring associated to λ . Then $m_{\mathcal{V}} \cap A = \{a \in A \mid \lambda(a) = 0\} = \wp$.

Conversely, suppose $\wp = m_{\mathcal{V}} \cap A$ for some real valuation ring $\mathcal{V} \subseteq F$ with $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Then there is some $Q \in \text{Sper } F$ such that $A(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Let \bar{Q} be the pushdown of Q along \mathcal{V} , i.e., the image of Q in $\mathcal{V}/m_{\mathcal{V}}$. It is well-known that \bar{Q} is an order. We have the canonical map

$$\phi: A \rightarrow A/\wp \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}/m_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Set $P = \phi^{-1}(\bar{Q})$, then $\text{supp}(P) = \wp$ and $P \in \text{Sper}_c A$ since P specializes $Q \cap A$. \square

Definition. We say A is *real complete* if every real valuation ring on F has a center on A .

Theorem 2.8. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) A is real complete.
- (ii) $A \subseteq H(F)$.
- (iii) $V_c(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Max Sper}_c A$.
- (iv) $V_c(\mathbb{R})$ is compact.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) If A is real complete, then $A \subseteq A(P)$ for each $P \in \text{Sper } F$. Hence $A \subseteq H(F)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Assume $A \subseteq H(F)$ and consider $P \in \text{Sper } F$. We have $A \subseteq A(P)$ by assumption which gives us a natural map $\phi : A \hookrightarrow A(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Clearly $\phi \in V(\mathbb{R})$ and it is easy to check that ϕ maps to the maximal specialization of $(0, P) \in \text{Sper } A$ under the natural embedding $V(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \text{Sper } A$. Thus $\text{Max Sper}_c A \subseteq V(\mathbb{R})$ and hence $V_c(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Max Sper}_c A$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) $\text{Max Sper}_c A$ is compact, by 1.14.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Given $f \in A$, then f is finite in each $P \in V_c(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq V(\mathbb{R})$. Then, using 1.1, by compactness we have $f \in H(V_c(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus $A = H(V_c(\mathbb{R}))$ and hence, by 2.5,

$$A \subseteq H(F) \subseteq V$$

for any real valuation ring V . \square

Proposition 2.9. *If $V_{\text{sing}}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, then $H(A) = A \cap H(F)$. In particular,*

$$V_{\text{sing}}(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$$

implies $H(A) = A \cap H(F)$.

Proof. By 2.6, $A = H(V_{\text{sing}}(\mathbb{R}))$. Since $V(\mathbb{R}) = V_c(\mathbb{R}) \cup V_{\text{sing}}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $H(A) = H(V_c(\mathbb{R}))$. Therefore $H(A) = A \cap H(F)$ by 2.5. \square

§ 3. Iteration of the real holomorphy ring

Since $H(R)$ is a ring, we can compute $H(H(R))$, etc. In this section we study the rings $H^n(R) := H(H(\dots H(R)\dots))$, iterated n times.

Examples. (1) By [M], 3.3, [P], 1.7, [Sch], 7.6, if R is any ring with $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$, then $H(H(R)) = H(R)$ and hence $H^n(R) = H(R)$ for all n . In particular this holds for fields.

(2) In $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, set $u = 1 + \sum x_i^2$ and let $R = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_S$, where

$$S = \{u^k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

By 1.9, we have $H(R) = \left\{ \frac{f}{u^k} \mid \deg f \leq 2k \right\}$. By 1.10 (v), $H(R)$ is an affine algebra and if $V = \text{Spec } H(R)$, then $V(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $V(\mathbb{R})$ is compact and thus

$$H(H(R)) = H(R)$$

by 2.6.

Fix k , a totally archimedean field. For any k -algebra R , not necessarily reduced, we write $\text{tr}(R)$ for the transcendence degree of R over k . Our goal is to prove the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose R is a k -algebra such that $\text{tr}(R/\wp) \leq d$ for every real prime $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } R$. Then $H^{d+1}(R) = H^d(R)$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $X \subseteq \text{Sper } R$, \mathfrak{A} is an ideal in R such that $R = H(X)$ and*

$$\text{Sper } R = X \cup \text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A}.$$

Then

- (i) $H(R) = \{r \in R \mid \bar{r} := r + \mathfrak{A} \in H(R/\mathfrak{A})\}$,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq H(R)$,
- (iii) $H(R)_f = R_f$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{A}$,
- (iv) $H(R)/\mathfrak{A} = H(R/\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof. (i) Given $r \in R$, by assumption r is bounded with respect to all $P \in X$. Hence $r \in H(R)$ iff r is bounded with respect to all $P \in \text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A}$, which clearly implies (i).

(ii) For $r \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\bar{r} = 0$, hence $\bar{r} \in H(R/\mathfrak{A})$. Thus $r \in H(R)$ by (i).

(iii) Pick any $r \in R$ and $f \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $rf \in \mathfrak{A}$, hence $rf \in H(R)$. Given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{r}{f^k} = \frac{rf}{f^{k+1}}$$

and so the natural map $H(R)_f \rightarrow R_f$ is a bijection.

(iv) follows easily from (i). \square

Corollary 3.3. *Given the hypotheses of 3.2, then*

$$H^n(R) = \{r \in R \mid \bar{r} \in H^n(R/\mathfrak{A})\} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, this is proven in 3.2 (i). Assume $n > 1$ and the conclusion of 3.2 holds for $H^{n-1}(R)$. Then, since $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq H^{n-1}(R)$ and $H^{n-1}(R)/\mathfrak{A} = H^{n-1}(R/\mathfrak{A})$, using 1.12 we can apply 3.2 to $H^{n-1}(R)$. This yields

$$H^n(R) = H(H^{n-1}(R)) = \{r \in R \mid \bar{r} \in H(H^{n-1}(R/\mathfrak{A}))\} = \{r \in R \mid \bar{r} \in H^n(R/\mathfrak{A})\}.$$

The remaining parts of 3.2 follow easily for $H^n(R)$ and we are done by induction. \square

The following is the key reduction result we need to prove Theorem 3.1:

Proposition 3.4 (Central Reduction Lemma). *Let R be a noetherian real ring of dimension d with $\text{Sing } R$ closed in $\text{Spec } R$. Assume further that $R/\wp \subseteq H(R_\wp)$ for every minimal prime ideal \wp of dimension d . Let*

$$M = \{\wp \in \text{Spec } R \mid \wp \supseteq \mathfrak{q} \text{ for some } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min Spec } R \text{ with } \dim \mathfrak{q} < d\} \cup \text{Sing } R$$

and set $\mathfrak{A} = \sqrt{I}$, where I is the intersection of all primes in M . Then

- (i) $R \subseteq H(\text{Sper } R_f)$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{A}$,
- (ii) $H^n(R) = \{f \in R \mid \bar{f} \in H^n(R/\mathfrak{A})\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iii) $\dim \mathfrak{A} < d$.

Proof. We will use results from the ideal theory of noetherian rings, see e.g. [Ku]. Since R is noetherian, R has finitely many minimal prime ideals, say $\{\wp_1, \dots, \wp_s\}$. Let $C_i = \text{Spec } R/\wp_i \subseteq \text{Spec } R$. Then $\text{Spec } R = \bigcup_{i=1}^s C_i$, $\dim C_i = \dim \wp_i$, and the C_i 's are the irreducible components of $\text{Spec } R$. Renumber so that C_1, \dots, C_k are the components of dimension $< d$ and C_{k+1}, \dots, C_s are the components of dimension d . Then

$$M = \text{Sing } R \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i \right).$$

Let $L = \left\{ (\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Sper } R \mid \wp \in \text{Reg-Spec } R \cap \left(\bigcup_{j=k+1}^s C_j \right) \right\}$. Then clearly

$$(*) \quad \text{Sper } R = L \cup \text{Sper } R/\mathfrak{A}.$$

Claim. $R = H(L)$.

Proof of claim. Given $r \in R$, fix a component $C = \text{Spec } R/\wp$ of dimension d and let $\tilde{C} := \{(\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Sper } R \mid \wp \in C\}$. By assumption, $R/\wp \subseteq H(R_\wp)$, hence by 1.15, \bar{r} is bounded on $\text{Sper}_c R/\wp$. Then, by 1.16, \bar{r} is bounded on $\text{Reg-Sper } R/\wp$. Inside R , this means that r is bounded on $\text{Reg-Sper } R/\wp \subseteq \text{Sper } R$. From

$$\tilde{C} \cap \text{Reg-Sper } R \subseteq \text{Reg-Sper } R/\wp \subseteq \text{Sper } R$$

we see that r is bounded on $\tilde{C} \cap \text{Reg-Spec } R$. Since this holds for all components C of dimension d , the claim is proven.

Using the claim and (*), (i) and (ii) of the proposition follow from 3.2 and 3.3.

It remains to prove that $\dim \mathfrak{A} < d$. Clearly it is enough to prove $\dim I < d$. Suppose $\dim I = d$, then there is some $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } R$ with $\dim \wp = d$ and $I \subseteq \wp$. This means there is some component C of $\text{Spec } R$ with dimension d such that $C \subseteq M$. But the C_i 's are closed, as is $\text{Sing } R$, and C is irreducible, which implies $C \subseteq C_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, or $C \subseteq \text{Sing } R$. Since $\dim C_i < d$, the first is impossible and the second is impossible since

$$\text{Min Spec } R \subseteq \text{Reg } R.$$

Thus $\dim \mathfrak{A} < d$ and we are done. \square

We begin our proof of 3.1 by studying the affine case.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose A is a real affine algebra over a totally archimedean field k with $\dim A = d$. Set $H := H(A)$. Then:*

- (i) *Min Spec H is finite.*
- (ii) *Given $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } H$, then $H_\wp = \text{quot}(H/\wp)$ is a function field with $\text{tr}(H/\wp) \leq d$.*
- (iii) *If $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } H$ such that $\text{tr}(H_\wp) = d$, then \wp has a unique extension to $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min Spec } A$ and $H_\wp = A_\mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. By [Ku], I, 4.9, $\text{Min Spec } A$ is finite and by [Bo], II, Prop. 16, every

$$\wp \in \text{Min Spec } H$$

extends to $\text{Min Spec } A$. (i) now follows easily.

(ii) Given $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } H$, let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min Spec } A$ be such that $\wp = \mathfrak{p} \cap H$. Then there is a mapping

$$k \hookrightarrow H/\wp \hookrightarrow A/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow A_\mathfrak{p}.$$

Because of $\text{char } k = 0$, H_\wp is a function field.

(iii) Pick $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min Spec } A$ such that $\wp = H \cap \mathfrak{p}$. We first prove $H_\wp = A_\mathfrak{p}$. Since H_\wp is a subfield of $A_\mathfrak{p}$ and they have the same transcendence degree over k , the extension $A_\mathfrak{p}|H_\wp$ is algebraic. Hence, given $x \in A$, there is some $s \in H \setminus \wp$ such that $\overline{s}x$ is integral over H_\wp . Thus we have an equation (depending on \mathfrak{p})

$$(1) \quad (sx)^t + a_1(sx)^{t-1} + \cdots + a_t = b \in \mathfrak{p}$$

where $a_i \in H$. We claim we can find an s that works for all possible choices of \mathfrak{p} . First note that since $\text{Min Spec } A$ is finite, there are only finitely many equations. If $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ are

the s 's corresponding to the equations, multiply the i -th equation by a suitable power of $s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_i \cdots s_k$ to obtain a uniform s .

Now we can multiply the equations for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min Spec } A$ over \wp . Thus we end up with an equation

$$(2) \quad (sx)^t + a_1(sx)^{t-1} + \cdots + a_t = b \in \cap \mathfrak{p},$$

for suitable t , where the intersection is over all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min Spec } A$ lying over \wp , $s \in H \setminus \wp$, and $a_i \in H$. Let $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ be the set of elements of $\text{Min Spec } A$ which do not lie over \wp , and set $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcap (q_i \cap H)$. Then $\mathfrak{A} \not\subseteq \wp$, else $q_i \cap H \subseteq \wp$ for some i which implies $q_i \cap H = \wp$, a contradiction. Thus we can find $u \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \wp$. Multiplying (2) by u^t we get, since A is reduced,

$$(qx)^t + b_1(qx)^{t-1} + \cdots + b_k = c \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min Spec } A} \mathfrak{q} = 0,$$

where $b_i \in H$ and $q \in H \setminus \wp$. Hence qx is integral over H . Thus, since H is integrally closed in A by 1.2, $qx \in H$ where $q \in H \setminus \wp$. Hence $\bar{q}\bar{x} \in \bar{H} = H/\wp$ and $\bar{q} \neq 0$. This proves that $H_\wp = A_\wp$.

Now we claim $\mathfrak{p} = \{x \in A \mid sx \in \wp \text{ for some } s \in H \setminus \wp\}$. Given $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, then as shown, there exists $s \in H \setminus \wp$ such that $sx \in H \cap \mathfrak{p} = \wp$. Conversely, if $x \in A$ and $xs \in \wp$ for some $s \in H \setminus \wp$, then $sx \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$ imply $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. Thus the claim is proven from which it follows that \mathfrak{p} is the unique minimal prime lying over \wp .

Proposition 3.6. *If A is an affine algebra over k with $\dim A = d$, then Theorem 3.1 holds for A .*

Proof. Set $H := H(A)$. The proof is by induction on

$$d := \max \{ \text{tr}(A/\wp) \mid \wp \in \text{Min Spec } A \}.$$

If $d = 0$ then for any $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } A$, $A/\wp = A_\wp$ is an algebraic extension of k . Hence $H(A/\wp) = A/\wp$ since k is totally archimedean. Then it follows from 1.2 (ii) that $H = A$ and we are done.

Let $e := \max \{ \text{tr}(H/\wp) \mid \wp \in \text{Min Spec } H \}$. By 3.5 (ii), we know $e \leq d$.

Clearly $H = \varinjlim B$, where $\{B\}$ ranges over all affine subalgebras contained in H . Then by 1.11, $H^d = \varinjlim H^d(B)$. Also, note $\text{tr}(B/\wp) \leq e$ for all such B and any $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } B$. If $e < d$, then by induction $H^d(H) = H^{d-1}(H)$. Hence $H^{d+1} = H^d$.

Now suppose $e = d$.

Claim. In the above limit, we can restrict to subalgebras B such that $B_\wp = H_\mathfrak{q}$ for every $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } B$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min Spec } H$ with $\wp = \mathfrak{q} \cap B$.

Proof of claim. Given $B \subseteq H$ any affine algebra, then

$$\dim B = \max \{ \text{tr}(B/\mathfrak{q}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min Spec } B \} \leq \max \{ \text{tr}(H/\wp) \mid \wp \in \text{Min Spec } H \} \leq d.$$

By 3.5(i), we have $\text{Min Spec } H$ finite. Given $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } H$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min Spec } A$ with $\mathfrak{q} = \wp \cap H$, then H_\wp is a subfield of the function field $A_\mathfrak{q}$. Because $\text{char } k = 0$, H_\wp is finitely generated over k , say of transcendence degree m . Clearly $m \leq d$. Thus we can find $r_i \in H$ and $s_i \in H \setminus \wp$, $i = 1$ to m , such that $H_\wp = k\left(\frac{\bar{r}_1}{\bar{s}_1}, \dots\right)$. Let E be the (finite) set of all such r_i 's and s_i 's corresponding to all \wp and \mathfrak{q} 's as above. Then $B_0 := k[E]$ is an affine subalgebra of $H(R)$.

Now we restrict to all subalgebras $B \subseteq H$ with $B_0 \subseteq B$. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min Spec } H$ such that $\wp = \mathfrak{q} \cap B \in \text{Min Spec } B$, consider the natural mapping

$$B \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow H/\mathfrak{q} \hookrightarrow H_\mathfrak{q}.$$

From this we get an injection $B/\wp \hookrightarrow H/\mathfrak{q}$ and hence the quotient field B_\wp of B/\wp is $H_\mathfrak{q}$. Thus the claim is proven.

Now we need only prove the proposition holds for all subalgebras B of H satisfying the conditions of the claim. If $\dim B < d$, then by induction we have $H^d(B) = H^{d-1}(B)$. Suppose $\dim B = d$, let \wp be a minimal prime of dimension d . Then, by 3.5(iv), there is some minimal prime \mathfrak{q} in H and a minimal prime \mathfrak{p} of A such that $B_\wp = H_\mathfrak{q} = A_\mathfrak{q}$. Also, we have $B/\wp \subseteq H/\mathfrak{q} \subseteq H(A_\mathfrak{p}) = H(B_\mathfrak{p})$. We have shown that all of the assumptions of the Central Reduction Lemma hold for B . Hence $H^d(B) = \{f \in B \mid \bar{f} \in H^d(B/\sqrt[\mathfrak{e}]{\mathfrak{A}})\}$, where \mathfrak{A} is defined as in the lemma. Since $\dim(B/\sqrt[\mathfrak{e}]{\mathfrak{A}}) < d$, by induction we get $H^d(B) = H^{d-1}(B)$ and the proposition is proven. \square

Proof of 3.1. Clearly $R = \varinjlim R_i$, where $\{R_i\}$ is the set of affine subalgebras contained in R . Then by 1.11, $H^d(R) = \varinjlim H^d(R_i)$. Also, note $\text{tr}(R_i/\wp) \leq d$ for all R_i and any $\wp \in \text{Min Spec } R_i$. Thus we may assume R is an affine algebra and hence we are done by 3.6. \square

We end this section with an example where $H(H(R)) \neq H(R)$.

Example. Let $\phi(x, y, z) = (x^2 + y^2)(1 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2) - x^2 y^2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$, and set $B = \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]/(\phi)$. An easy check shows ϕ is irreducible. Note that

$$V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R}) = \{(x, y, z) \in V(\mathbb{R}) \mid x^2 + y^2 \neq 0\} \neq \emptyset,$$

hence (ϕ) is a real prime ideal. Also note $V_{\text{sing}}(\mathbb{R}) = \{(0, 0, z) \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let $F = \text{quot}(B)$.

Let $R = B_x$, then R is an affine algebra with real points

$$W(\mathbb{R}) = \{(x, y, z) \in V(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \neq 0\}.$$

Then W is an open subvariety of V , and $W(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq V_{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence every real point of W is regular. Thus, by Prop. 2.9, $H(R) = R \cap H(F)$.

Consider $t = \frac{1 - y^2 - z^2}{x^2} \in R$. In F we have $(x^2 + y^2)(1 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2) = x^2 y^2$ and then, since $x \neq 0$, $1 - y^2 - z^2 = \frac{x^2 y^2}{x^2 + y^2} + x^2$. Thus

$$t = 1 + \frac{y^2}{x^2 + y^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{(x/y)^2 + 1} \in H(F) \cap R = H(R).$$

Claim 1. $H(R) = B[t]$.

Proof of claim. By the above, $B[t] \subseteq H(R)$. Suppose $f \in H(R)$, we can write $f = h(x, y, z) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{h_i(y, z)}{x^i}$. Since $h(x, y, z) \in B \subseteq B[t]$, without loss of generality we can assume $h(x, y, z) = 0$. Let $K = \{(0, y, z) \mid y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$ and fix $(0, y_0, z_0) \in K$. Then there exists a sequence of points $(x_j, y_j, z_j) \in W(\mathbb{R})$ converging to $(0, y_0, z_0)$. By definition f is bounded on $W(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, for fixed i , since $h_i(y_j, z_j)$ converges to $h_i(y_0, z_0)$, we must have $h_i(y_0, z_0) = 0$ ($\frac{1}{x^i}$ has a "pole" of order i).

Fix $h = h_i$ for some i . We have $h(y, z) = 0$ for all $(0, y, z) \in K$. We claim this implies $1 - y^2 - z^2$ divides h . To see this, consider h as an element of $\mathbb{R}(x)[y]$ and use the division algorithm to write

$$(*) \quad h \cdot f(x) = q(x, y)(1 - x^2 - y^2) + r(x) + s(x)y,$$

where $f(x), r(x), s(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then fix $x_0, -1 < x_0 < 1$ and choose y_0 such that

$$1 - x_0^2 - y_0^2 = 0.$$

Evaluating $(*)$ at $(x_0, \pm y_0)$ we get $r(x_0) + s(x_0)y_0 = r(x_0) - s(x_0)y_0 = 0$, from which follows $r(x_0) = 0$. Since this holds for all $x_0 \in (-1, 1)$, it follows that $r(x) = 0$. Similarly, we have $s(x) = 0$. Thus we have $h \cdot f(x) = q(x, y)(1 - x^2 - y^2)$. Assume $f \neq \text{const}$. Since f does not divide $(1 - x^2 - y^2)$, it follows that f divides $q(x, y)$ and so we get $1 - x^2 - y^2$ divides h . This also holds if $f = \text{const}$.

We have shown that for each i , $\frac{h_i(y, z)}{x^i} = t \left(\frac{g(y, z)}{x^{i-1}} \right)$. Repeating the above argument, by induction we obtain $f \in B[t]$, proving the claim.

$$\text{Now set } D := \mathbb{R} \left[x, y, \frac{y^2}{x^2 + y^2} \right].$$

Claim 2. D isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}[X, Y, Z]/(Y^2 - Z(X^2 + Y^2))$.

Proof of claim. Set $a = Y^2$ and $b = X^2 + Y^2$. Define $\theta: \mathbb{R}[X, Y, Z] \rightarrow D$ by

$$\theta(X) = x, \quad \theta(Y) = y, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(Z) = \frac{y^2}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Clearly, θ is onto. By [Ku], 5.10, the kernel of θ is $(a - Zb)$. (Note that, $\{x^2, x^2 + y^2\}$ is a $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ -regular sequence.) Thus θ is an isomorphism.

From the claims we see that $H(R) = B[t] = \mathbb{R}[x, y, t, z]/\mathfrak{A}$, where

$$\mathfrak{A} = (y^2 - t(x^2 + y^2), z^2 - 1 - x^2 - y^2 - x^2 t).$$

Thus the line $\{(0, 0, t, 1) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq V(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $V(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact and hence, by 2.6, $H(H(R)) \neq H(R)$. Note that $H^3(R) = H^2(R)$ since R has dimension 2.

§ 4. $H(R)$ and sums of higher powers

In the first section we characterized $H(R)$ using $T(R)$ and $T^+(R)$. For formally real fields K the set of quadratic sums $T(K) = \Sigma K^2$ can be replaced by the set of sums of $2n$ -th powers for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see [B3], 2.5, [B1], 3.3. In this section we study this question for rings. We use the idea of orderings of level n as in [BG1] and [Be].

Definition. A subset $P \subseteq R$ is an *ordering of level n on R* if there exists a ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow K$, where K is a field, and an ordering \bar{P} of level n on K with

$$P = \varphi^{-1}(\bar{P}).$$

Alternatively we can define orderings of level n as pairs $P \equiv (\wp, \bar{P})$, \wp a real prime in R and \bar{P} an ordering of level n in $k(\wp)$. For further descriptions and results on orderings of level n , see [BG1].

Note that orderings of level 1 are precisely the orderings defined in §1, i.e., the elements of $\text{Sper } R$.

Definition. (i) For an ordering P of level n , we set $P^+ = P \setminus -P$.

(ii) The set of *totally positive elements of level n in R* is $T_n(R) := \bigcap P$, the intersection over all orderings of level n .

(iii) The set of *strictly totally positive elements of level n* is $T_n^+(R) := \bigcap P^+$, the intersection over all orderings of level n .

Clearly $T_1(R) = T(R)$ and $T_1^+(R) = T^+(R)$. In [Be], R. Berr generalizes Stengle's abstract Positivstellensatz to orderings of level n :

Proposition 4.1 ([Be], 1.6). *Given $a \in R$, then*

(i) $a \in T_n(R) \Leftrightarrow$ *there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t, t' \in \Sigma R^{2n}$ with $at = a^{2nk} + t'$,*

(ii) $a \in T_n^+(R) \Leftrightarrow$ *there exists $t, t' \in \Sigma R^{2n}$ with $at = 1 + t'$.*

Remark. In [W], it is shown that in (ii), t can be replaced by an element of the form $1 + s$, $s \in \Sigma R^{2n}$. This can also be shown as follows: From $at = 1 + t'$ we deduce $a \cdot (at)^{2n-1} = a(1 + t')^{2n-1}$, hence $a^{2n} t^{2n-1} = a(1 + t_1)$, $t_1 \in \Sigma R^{2n}$. Finally,

$$a(1 + t + t_1) = 1 + t' + a^{2^n} t^{2^n - 1}.$$

As in §1, we have

Corollary 4.2. (i) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, then $\varphi(T_n(R)) \subseteq T_n(S)$ and $\varphi(T_n^+(R)) \subseteq T_n^+(S)$.

(ii) The mappings $R \mapsto (H(R), H(R) \cap T_n(R))$ and $R \mapsto (H(R), H(R) \cap T_n^+(R))$ are covariant functors from the category of commutative rings to the category of archimedean partially ordered rings.

Proposition 4.3. (i) $H(R) = \{a \in R \mid \text{there exists } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } k \pm a \in T_n(R)\}$.

(ii) $H(R) = \{a \in R \mid \text{there exists } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } k \pm a \in T_n^+(R)\}$.

Proof. (i) Given $a \in H(R)$. By definition, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \pm a \in T_1(R)$. We want to show $(1+k) \pm a \in T_n(R)$. Let \wp be a real prime ideal in R and set $\bar{a} := a + \wp \in k(\wp)$. Then

$$k \pm \bar{a} \in H(k(\wp)) \cap \Sigma k(\wp)^2.$$

By [B2], (1.6), for a field K and $b \in H(K) \cap \Sigma K^2$ we have

$$1 + b \in H(K)^* \cap \Sigma K^2 \subseteq \bigcap_n \Sigma K^{2^n}.$$

Thus, in our case: $(1+k) \pm \bar{a} \in \Sigma k(\wp)^{2^n}$. Hence, in R ,

$$(1+k) \pm \bar{a} \in \cap P, \quad P \text{ an ordering of level } n, \quad \wp = P \cap -P.$$

Since every real prime ideal is of this form, we have $(1+k) \pm a \in \cap P = T_n(R)$.

(ii) follows from (i) since $1 + T_n \subseteq T_n^+ \subseteq T_n$. \square

Definition. Set

$$\tilde{T}(R) := \{f \in H(R) \mid f(P) \in H(k(\wp))^* \text{ for all } P = (\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Sper } R\}$$

and

$$S(R) = \{f \in R \mid f(P) \neq 0 \text{ for all } P \in \text{Sper } R\}.$$

Note that $H(R)^* \subseteq \tilde{T}(R)$ and $\tilde{T}(R) \subseteq S(R)$.

Lemma 4.4. (i) $\tilde{T}(R) = \{f \in H(R) \mid f \text{ is bounded away from } 0 \text{ on } \text{Sper } R, \text{ i.e., there is some } r \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \text{ such that } |f(P)| > r \text{ for all } P \in \text{Sper } R\}$.

(ii) If $H(R) = R$, then $\tilde{T}(R) = S(R)$.

Proof. (i) Let C denote the right-hand side of the equation, i.e., the elements of $H(R)$ that are bounded away from 0. If F is a field, it is easy to show that for $x \in H(F)$, x is a unit in $H(F)$ iff x is bounded away from 0. This implies $C \subseteq \tilde{T}(R)$.

Now suppose $f \in \tilde{T}(R)$. Then for any $P = (\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Sper } R$, f is bounded away from 0 in $k(\wp)$. By a compactness argument, as in the proof of 1.1, we get that f is globally bounded away from 0, i.e., $f \in C$.

(ii) $\tilde{T}(R) \subseteq S(R)$ is clear. Given $f \in S(R)$. Fix $P = (\wp, \bar{P}) \in \text{Sper } R$ and suppose $f(P)$ is not bounded away from 0 with respect to \bar{P} in $k(\wp)$. Then $f(P) \in I(\bar{P})$, where $I(\bar{P})$ denotes the maximal ideal of the valuation ring $A(\bar{P})$ associated to \bar{P} . Set

$$\mathfrak{p} := I(\bar{P}) \cap H(k(\wp)),$$

then $f(P) \in \mathfrak{p}$. As is well-known, \mathfrak{p} is a real prime ideal in $H(k(\wp))$. Since $H(R) = R$, we have a map $R \rightarrow R/\wp \rightarrow H(k(\wp))/\mathfrak{p}$, which induces $Q = (\mathfrak{q}, \bar{Q}) \in \text{Sper } R$. But $f(P) \in \mathfrak{p}$ implies $f(Q) = 0$, contradicting $f \in S(B)$. Hence f is bounded away from 0 with respect to all $P \in \text{Sper } B$ and thus $f \in \tilde{T}(B)$ by (i). \square

Theorem 4.5. (i) $\tilde{T} \cap T(R) \subseteq T_n(R)$ for all n .

(ii) If B is a subring of R such that $H(B) = B$, then $S(B) \cap T(R) \subseteq T_n(R)$ for all n .

Proof. (i) This is proven using an argument similar to that of 4.3.

(ii) Use (i) and 4.4(i) and note $S(B) \cap T(R) = \tilde{T}(B) \cap T(R) \subseteq \tilde{T}(R) \cap T(R)$. \square

Remark. 4.5 generalizes Theorem 1.6 of [B2] to rings.

Example. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]_{(1+x^2)(2+x^2)}$. Then $\frac{1+x^2}{2+x^2}$ is a unit in $H(R)$ and contained in $T(R)$. It follows from 4.5 that $\frac{1+x^2}{2+x^2} \in \bigcap_n T_n^+(R)$. Because of $T_n^+(R) \subseteq \Sigma \mathbb{Q}(X)^{2n}$, this generalizes the result in [B2], 1.7. See also [R], §5.

The above results, particularly Theorem 4.5, will allow us to analyse the multiplicative semigroup $1 + \Sigma R^{2n}$.

The following result is well-known. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is proven for example in [L], 3.9; the remaining cases were proven by Joly [J].

Proposition 4.6. *The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (i) $\text{Sper } R \neq \emptyset$,
- (ii) $-1 \notin \Sigma R^2$,
- (iii) $-1 \notin \Sigma R^{2n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iv) $-1 \notin \Sigma R^{2n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) are clear. We thus prove (iv) \Rightarrow (i): Assume (iv) holds and set $S := 1 + \Sigma R^{2n}$, then $0 \notin S$. Let \wp be an ideal maximal with respect

to $\wp \cap S = \emptyset$. It is well-known that $\wp \in \text{Spec } R$. We claim \wp is real. The equivalence (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) is known for fields, thus it is enough to show $-1 \notin \Sigma k(\wp)^{2n}$. Assume not, then there exists $s \notin \wp$ and $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$ with $s^{2n} + \Sigma x_i^{2n} \in \wp$. By the maximality of \wp it follows that

$$(\wp + Rs) \cap S \neq \emptyset,$$

i.e., $u + as \in S$ for $u \in \wp, a \in R$. Taking powers, we have $(u + as)^{2n} = u' + a's^{2n} \in S$ with $u' \in \wp, a' \in R$. Multiplying $s^{2n} + \Sigma x_i^{2n} \in \wp$ with a' and adding u' it follows that $u' + a's^{2n} + \Sigma y_i^{2n} \in \wp$, i.e., $\wp \cap S \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. \square

Definition. Given a multiplicative semigroup S in R , then

$$\text{Sat}(S) = \{s \in R \mid ss' \in S \text{ for some } s' \in S\}$$

is called the *saturated hull* of S .

Krull's Theorem on the existence of prime ideals says that

$$\text{Sat}(S) = \bigcap_{\substack{\wp \in \text{Spec } R \\ \wp \cap S = \emptyset}} \wp^c,$$

where $\wp^c = R \setminus \wp$.

Theorem 4.7. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{Sat}(1 + \Sigma R^2) = \text{Sat}(1 + \Sigma R^{2n}) = \bigcap_{\substack{\wp \in \text{Spec } R \\ \wp \text{ real}}} \wp^c.$$

Proof. If $0 \in 1 + \Sigma R^2$, then by 4.6 we have $0 \in 1 + \Sigma R^{2n}$ and $\text{Sper } R = \emptyset$. Thus, in this case, we have $\text{Sat}(1 + \Sigma R^{2n}) = R$ and the theorem is proven. Hence we assume $0 \notin 1 + \Sigma R^2$, thus also $0 \notin 1 + \Sigma R^{2n}$. If \wp is a real prime ideal, then clearly

$$(1 + \Sigma R^{2n}) \cap \wp = \emptyset.$$

Suppose conversely that \wp_0 is a prime ideal with $\wp_0 \cap (1 + \Sigma R^{2n}) = \emptyset$. Then there is a prime ideal \wp over \wp_0 which is maximal with respect to this property and hence, as in the proof of 4.6, \wp is real. Thus we have

$$1 + \Sigma R^{2n} \subseteq \wp^c \subseteq \wp_0^c,$$

and the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 4.8. Suppose $-1 \notin \Sigma R^2$. Then for each n the natural mapping

$$R_{1 + \Sigma R^{2n}} \rightarrow R_{1 + \Sigma R^2}$$

is an isomorphism, and every maximal ideal in $R_{1 + \Sigma R^{2n}}$ is real.

Proof. It is well-known that in general the natural mapping $R_S \rightarrow R_{\text{Sat}(S)}$ is an isomorphism. The ring $R' := R_{1 + \Sigma R^2}$ satisfies $1 + \Sigma R'^2 \subseteq (R')^*$ and in such a ring every maximal ideal is real cf. the following 5.1. \square

By 4.8 we have for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$1 + \Sigma R^{2n} \subseteq \text{Sat}(1 + \Sigma R^{2nm}),$$

i.e., for any $q \in \Sigma R^{2n}$ there exists $x \in R$ with

$$(1 + q)x \in 1 + \Sigma R^{2nm}.$$

We will now show that we can find factors x of a very special type.

Proposition 4.9. *Given $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in T_n(R)$.*

(i) $q^m \in T_{nm}(R)$.

(ii) *There exist $t, t' \in \Sigma R^{2nm}$ with*

$$(1 + q)^m \cdot t = 1 + t'.$$

(iii) *There exists $t, t' \in \Sigma R^{2nm}$ with*

$$[2(1 + q)^m - 1] \cdot t = 1 + t'.$$

Proof. (i) follows from the fact that if K is a field of characteristic 0, then

$$(*) \quad (\Sigma K^{2n})^m \subseteq \Sigma K^{2nm},$$

see [B2], 1.9. (ii) follows easily from (iii). To prove (iii) we note that if \wp is any real prime ideal, then $x := 2(1 + q)^m - 1 \notin \wp$. This together with (*) implies that $x \in T_{nm}^+$. (iii) now follows from 4.1 (ii). \square

Remark. From 4.9 (ii) it is obvious that $\text{Sat}(1 + \Sigma R^{2n}) = \text{Sat}(1 + \Sigma R^2)$.

Example. (i) Let R be a ring with $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R \subseteq \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $\wp = 0$ is the only real prime ideal and hence $\text{Sat}(1 + \Sigma R^2) = R \setminus \{0\}$.

(ii) Let V be an irreducible real affine variety and let $R = \mathbb{R}[V]$. Then by [BCR], 4.4.3 we have

$$\text{Sat}(1 + \Sigma R^2) = \{f \in R \mid f \text{ has no zeros on } \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

§ 5. Rings with $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$

The following proposition is well-known.

Proposition 5.1. *The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (i) $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$,
- (ii) $1 + \Sigma R^{2n} \subseteq R^*$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iii) $1 + \Sigma R^{2n} \subseteq R^*$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iv) every maximal ideal of R is real.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv) is easy, and the implications (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) follow from 4.7 and the fact that $R^* = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Max Spec } R} \mathfrak{p}^c$. \square

We assume for the rest of the paper that $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$.

Examples 5.2. (i) Suppose A is a ring with $\text{Sper } A \neq \emptyset$, then $0 \notin 1 + \Sigma A^2$. Then $R := A_{1 + \Sigma A^2}$ satisfies $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$.

(ii) Suppose V is a real affine variety with coordinate ring $\mathbb{R}[V]$, then

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(V) := \{f \in \mathbb{R}(V) \mid f \text{ is regular at every point } x \in V(\mathbb{R})\} = \mathbb{R}[V]_{1 + \Sigma \mathbb{R}[V]^2}.$$

To prove this, use Stengle's Positivstellensatz, see [BCR], 4.4.5.

(iii) Let Y be a topological space, then $R := C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$.

Proposition 5.3. Let V be an irreducible real affine variety with coordinate ring $\mathbb{R}[V]$, and let F be the quotient field of $\mathbb{R}[V]$.

- (i) $V(\mathbb{R})$ is compact iff $H(\mathbb{R}[V]) = \mathbb{R}[V]$ iff $H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$.
- (ii) $V_c(\mathbb{R})$ is compact iff $\mathbb{R}[V] \subseteq H(F)$ iff $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}[V] \subseteq H(F)$.

Proof. (i) $V(\mathbb{R})$ compact iff $H(\mathbb{R}[V]) = \mathbb{R}[V]$ is 2.6. Suppose $V(\mathbb{R})$ is compact. Let $S = 1 + \Sigma \mathbb{R}[V]^2$, then as in 5.2 (ii), $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}[V] = \mathbb{R}[V]_S$. Hence, by 1.8,

$$H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}[V]) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}[V] \mid f \text{ bounded on } V(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

Since $V(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, it follows that $H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}[V]) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}[V]$.

Now suppose $H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}[V]) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}[V]$, then Σx_i^2 must be bounded on $V(\mathbb{R})$, which implies $V(\mathbb{R})$ is compact.

- (ii) This follows from the fact that if $y \in \Sigma F^2$, then $\frac{1}{1+y} \in H(F)$. \square

Remark. For any ring R' the natural mapping $R' \rightarrow R'_{1 + \Sigma R'^2}$ induces a homomorphism $\text{Sper}(R'_{1 + \Sigma R'^2}) \rightarrow \text{Sper } R'$. From this fact we see immediately that

$$H(R') = R' \cap H(R'_{1 + \Sigma R'^2})$$

(with the obvious interpretation of the right side).

Theorem 5.4. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(i) \quad T_n^+(R) = R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2n},$$

$$(ii) \quad T_n^+(R) = (H(R)^* \cap T(R)) \cdot (T^+(R))^n.$$

Proof. (i) The inclusion $R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2n} \subseteq T_n^+(R)$ is true for any ring R . Given $a \in T_n^+$, it follows from 4.1 (iii) that $at = 1 + t'$ for $t, t' \in \Sigma R^{2n}$. Since $1 + t'$ is a unit in R , so are a and t . Thus $a = (1 + t')t^{2n-1} \cdot (t^{-1})^{2n} \in R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2n}$.

(ii) From 4.5 we have $H(R)^* \cap T(R) \subseteq T_n^+(R)$. Then 4.9 (i) yields $(T^+)^n \subseteq T_n^+$. Hence $(H^* \cap T) \cdot (T^+)^n \subseteq T_n^+$. Now set $a = \Sigma x_i^{2n} \in T_n^+$. Then $a \in R^*$ by (i). Because every maximal ideal in R is real, this shows $\Sigma x_i^2 \in R^*$. Consider $b = \frac{\Sigma x_i^{2n}}{(\Sigma x_i^2)^n}$. For each real prime ideal \wp we have $b + \wp, b^{-1} + \wp \in H(k(\wp))^*$, which implies $b \in H^* \cap T$. Clearly

$$\Sigma x_i^2 \in R^* \cap \Sigma R^2 = T^+,$$

then it follows that $b \in (H^* \cap T) \cdot (T^+)^n$. \square

Example. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]_{1 + \Sigma \mathbb{Z}[X]^2}$. Then $\frac{1 + X^2}{2 + X^2}$ is an element of $H(R)^* \cap T(R)$, hence, using 5.4, we have $\frac{1 + X^2}{2 + X^2} \in \bigcap_n \Sigma R^{2n}$. Thus we have improved the results $\frac{1 + X^2}{2 + X^2} \in \bigcap_n \Sigma \mathbb{Q}(X)^{2n}$ from [B2].

For a ring A , let $\text{quot}(A)$ be the total quotient ring A_N , where N is the multiplicative semigroup of non-zero-divisors of A . Clearly $A \hookrightarrow \text{quot}(A)$.

Proposition 5.5. (i) $H(R) \subseteq R \subseteq \text{quot}(H(R))$.

(ii) $1 + \Sigma H(R)^2 \subseteq H(R)^*$.

Proof. (i) For any $x \in R$ we have $1 + x^2 \in R^*$. Further, we see that

$$\frac{1}{1 + x^2}, \frac{x}{1 + x^2} \in H(R).$$

Hence $x = \left(\frac{x}{1 + x^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 + x^2} \right)^{-1} \in \text{quot}(H(R))$.

(ii) follows from the fact that $(1 + q)^{-1} \in H(R)$ for every $q \in \Sigma R^2$. \square

Remark. By 5.5 (ii) and 5.1, every maximal ideal of $H(R)$ is real. In general, a prime ideal of $H(R)$ need not be real, as the next example will show. However we always have

Lemma 5.6. Given $\wp \in \text{Spec } H(R)$ and $\Sigma x_i^2 \in \wp$ such that $\Sigma x_i^2 \in R^*$. Then each $x_i \in \wp$. In this case $x_i = \left(\frac{x_i}{\Sigma x_j^2} \right) \cdot \Sigma x_j^2$ and $\frac{x_i}{\Sigma x_j^2} \in H(R)$.

Proof. Easy. \square

Example. Set $R = \mathbb{R}[X, Y]_{1 + \Sigma \mathbb{R}[X, Y]^2}$. Then $\wp = \left(\frac{X^2 + Y^2}{1 + X^2 + Y^2} \right)$ is a non-real prime ideal of $H(R) = \{f \in R \mid f \text{ bounded on } \mathbb{R}^2\}$.

Proposition 5.7. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(i) $H(R) = \{r \in R \mid k \pm r \in \Sigma R^{2n} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$,

(ii) $H(R) = \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \Sigma R^{2n}} \right]$.

Proof. (i) is a consequence of 4.3 (i) and 5.4.

(ii) We first note that

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \Sigma R^{2n}} \right] \subseteq H(R).$$

Given $a \in H(R)$, by (i) we can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k + a = 1 + x$, where $x \in \Sigma R^{2n}$. Clearly $1 + x \in H$, hence $m - (1 + x) = 1 + y$ for suitable $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in \Sigma R^{2n}$. We have

$$\frac{1 + y}{1 + x} \in R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2n},$$

thus writing $a = -k + m \left(1 + \frac{1 + y}{1 + x} \right)^{-1}$ we have $a \in \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \Sigma R^{2n}} \right]$. \square

In the first section, we considered the mapping

$$\phi: H(R) \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

where $X = \text{Hom}((H(R), Q^+), (\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+))$, $Q^+ = H(R) \cap T^+$. There is a mapping

$$X \xleftarrow{i} \text{Hom}(H(R), \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Max Sper } H(R),$$

where i is the inclusion mapping, $\text{Hom}(H(R), \mathbb{R})$ is given the subspace topology of the Tychonoff-Product space \mathbb{R}^H , and j is defined by:

$$\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

That $\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_+) \in \text{Max Sper } H(R)$ is shown in [KS], Kor. 5, p. 134 or [BG 2], 2.9.

Proposition 5.8. (i) The mappings $X \xrightarrow{i} \text{Hom}(H(R), \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Max Sper } H(R)$ are homeomorphisms.

$$(ii) H(R)^* \cap \Sigma R^2 = \Phi^{-1}(C_+^*(X, \mathbb{R})).$$

$$(iii) H(R)^* \cap \Sigma R^2 = \left\{ r \frac{s+q}{t+q} \mid r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*, q \in \Sigma R^{2n} \right\} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. See [M], 3.4. In [KS], Kor. 5, p. 314, or [BG 2], 2.9, it is also shown that j is a bijection. \square

For a topological space Y , let $B(Y)$ be the group of clopen subsets of Y relative to the symmetric difference. As in [B2] we set $\mathbb{R}_- = \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid r < 0\}$ and we get:

Corollary 5.9. The mapping $\varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_-)$ induces an isomorphism

$$H(R)^* \Big/_{H(R)^* \cap \Sigma R^2} \cong B(X).$$

In the case of $R = K$, K a field, X has a natural interpretation as the space $M(K)$ of real places $\lambda: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$. This is the basis for the fact that $H(K)$ is a Prüfer ring with quotient field K . In [M], Marshall has a corresponding interpretation in the case of rings with $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$. It is not proven in [M] that if R is a ring with $1 + \Sigma R^2 \subseteq R^*$, then the real holomorphy ring $H(R)$ is a Prüfer ring of R . We would like to prove this, thus we need the concept of M. Griffin [G] of Prüfer rings of A as overrings R of A in the total quotient ring $\text{quot}(A)$. (In our situation we have $H(R) \subseteq R \subseteq \text{quot}(H(R))$, see 5.5.)

Definition. Suppose A is a subring of R and $\wp \in \text{Spec } A$.

(i) Set $A_{[\wp]} := \{r \in R \mid rs \in A \text{ for some } s \in A \setminus \wp\}$ and $\wp^* := \{r \in R \mid rs \in \wp \text{ for some } s \in A \setminus \wp\}$.

(ii) As in [G], A is called a *Prüfer ring of R* , if for each maximal ideal \wp of A the pair $(A_{[\wp]}, \wp^*)$ is a Manis valuation ring of R , i.e., the pair satisfies: for all $x \in R \setminus A_{[\wp]}$ there is a $y \in \wp^*$ with $xy \in A_{[\wp]} \setminus \wp^*$.

Theorem 5.10. Every overring of $H(R)$ in R is a Prüfer ring in R .

Proof. Given a ring B such that $H(R) \subseteq B \subseteq R$ and $\wp \in \text{Spec } B$. Set $H = H(R)$, $A = B_{[\wp]}$, and $\wp_0 = \wp \cap H$. For each $r \in R$ the following are in $H(R) \subseteq B \subseteq A$:

$$\frac{1}{1+r^2}, \quad \frac{r^2}{1+r^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{1+r^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r}{1+r^2}.$$

Now given $r \in R \setminus A$, from the definition of $B_{[\wp]}$ it follows that $rs \notin H$ for each $s \in H \setminus \wp_0$. Since $r/(1+r^2), 1/(1+r^2) \in H$ it follows that $\frac{1}{1+r^2} \in \wp_0 \subseteq \wp^*$. Then clearly

$$\frac{r^2}{1+r^2} \in A \setminus \wp^*, \quad \text{thus} \quad \frac{r^2}{1+r^2} = r \cdot \frac{r}{1+r^2}$$

and it remains to show that $\frac{r}{1+r^2} \in \wp^*$. We have $\left(\frac{r}{1+r^2}\right)^2 = \frac{r^2}{1+r^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1+r^2} \in \wp^*$, from which follows $\frac{r}{1+r^2} \in \wp^*$. \square

Remark. 5.10 can also be proven using [Po], 1.7.

Corollary 5.11. *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and B a ring with $1 + \Sigma B^{2n} \subseteq B^*$. If A is a subring of B with $1/(1+x) \in A$ for every $x \in \Sigma B^{2n}$, then A is a Prüfer ring of B .*

Proof. It follows from 5.1 that $1 + \Sigma B^2 \subseteq B^*$, and from 5.7 (ii) that $H(B) \subseteq A$. Now apply 5.10. \square

Remarks. (i) For $R = C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ we saw that $H(R) = C^b(Y, \mathbb{R})$. Griffin notes that C^b is a Prüfer ring of C , see [G], p. 417.

(ii) In 5.8 we defined for the given space X a homeomorphism $X \cong \text{Hom}(H(R), \mathbb{R})$. If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(H(R), \mathbb{R})$ and $\wp = \ker \varphi$, then clearly φ induces $\varphi^* : H(R)_{[\wp]} \rightarrow R$ with $\ker \varphi^* = \wp^*$. Then, since $(H(R)_{[\wp]}, \wp^*)$ is a Manis valuation pair, we can interpret φ^* as a place $\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$. This is the basis of Marshall's interpretation of X as a space of places.

Prüfer rings can be characterized by the property that finitely generated ideals are invertible, see [G].

Definition. Suppose H is a subring of R .

(i) An H -module $\alpha \subseteq R$ is a *fractional ideal* (with respect to H) if $\alpha R = R$.

(ii) $\text{Inv}(H, R) = \{\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is a fractional ideal and } \alpha\beta = H \text{ for some fractional ideal } \beta\}$, the group of invertible fractional ideals.

(iii) $R^* \equiv \{aH \mid a \in R^*\}$ is the subgroup of fractional principal ideals of $\text{Inv}(H, R)$. The factor group

$$\text{Cl}(H, R) := \text{Inv}(H, R) / R^*$$

is the *class group* of (H, R) (of H for short).

Remark. Usually when defining fractional ideal, one requires $y\alpha \subseteq H$ for some $y \in H \cap R^*$. But in our case of finitely generated H -modules this always holds, see 5.12 below. With the usual proof one shows that every invertible fractional ideal is a finitely generated H -module.

Proposition 5.12. *Set $H = H(R)$. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following are equivalent for an H -module $(a_1, \dots, a_r) := \Sigma H a_i \subseteq R$:*

$$(i) (a_1, \dots, a_r)R = R,$$

$$(ii) \Sigma a_i^{2^n} \in R^*.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume $b := \Sigma a_i^{2^n} \notin R^*$. Then there is a maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of R with $b \in \mathcal{M}$. Since \mathcal{M} is real, it follows that each a_i lies in \mathcal{M} . Thus $(a_1, \dots, a_r)R \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and hence (i) does not hold.

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (i) \text{ is clear since } \Sigma a_i^{2^n} \in (a_1, \dots, a_r). \quad \square$$

Corollary 5.13. (i) *Every finitely generated fractional ideal is invertible.*

$$(ii) \text{ For any fractional ideal } (a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ we have } (a_1, \dots, a_r)^{2^n} = (\Sigma a_i^{2^n}).$$

Proof. (i) follows from (ii) using 5.12 (ii): Set $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$, then clearly $\Sigma a_i^{2^n} \in \alpha^{2^n}$. The fractional ideal α^{2^n} is generated by the elements $\prod_{i=1}^r a_i^{t_i}$, $t_i \geq 0$, $\Sigma t_i = 2^n$, thus it remains to show that $\prod a_i^{t_i} / \Sigma a_i^{2^n} \in H$. This equation holds for formally real fields, hence it holds in our situation by 1.2 (ii). \square

Remark. For $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$, we have $\alpha^{-1} = \left(\dots, \frac{a_i}{\Sigma a_j^2}, \dots \right)$. Hence $\text{Cl}(H, R)$ is a group of exponent 2.

In the following we consider the connection between the elements of $R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2^n}$ and the invertible fractional ideals.

Proposition 5.14. (i) *Suppose α and β are invertible fractional ideals with $\alpha^t = \beta^t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\alpha = \beta$.*

$$(ii) \text{ If } \Sigma_1^r a_i^{2^n}, \Sigma_1^s b_j^{2^n} \in R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2^n} \text{ and } \Sigma_1^r a_i^{2^n} = \varepsilon \cdot \Sigma_1^s b_j^{2^n}, \text{ where } \varepsilon \in H^*, \text{ then}$$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (b_1, \dots, b_s).$$

Proof. (i) We have $(\alpha\beta^{-1})^t = H$, thus need only show $\alpha^t = H$ implies $\alpha = H$. So suppose $\alpha^t = H$ and given $a \in \alpha$, then $a^t \in H$. Since H is a Prüfer ring in R , by [G], Prop. 6, p. 416, H is integrally closed in R . Hence $a \in H$ and thus $\alpha \subseteq H$. Hence $\alpha = H$.

(ii) Set $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_r)^{2^n}$ and $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_s)^{2^n}$, then $\alpha^{2^n} = (\Sigma a_i^{2^n}) = (\Sigma b_j^{2^n}) = \beta^{2^n}$. Thus $\alpha = \beta$ by (i). \square

Theorem 5.15. *The mapping $\Sigma_1^r a_i^{2^n} \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_r)$, $\Sigma a_i^{2^n} \in R^*$, induces a group isomorphism*

$$R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2^n} /_{(R^*)^{2^n} \cdot (H^* \cap \Sigma R^2)} \cong \text{Cl}(H, R).$$

Proof. We have $(a_1, \dots, a_r)(b_1, \dots, b_s) = (\dots, a_i b_j, \dots)$ and also

$$\Sigma_i a_i^{2^n} \cdot \Sigma_j b_j^{2^n} = \Sigma_{i,j} (a_i b_j)^{2^n},$$

hence there is a group epimorphism $R^* \cap R^{2n} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(H, R)$, where $\Sigma a_i^{2n} \mapsto$ the class of (a_1, \dots, a_r) . Given $(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (a)$, $a \in R^*$. Then $(\Sigma a_i^{2n}) = (a^{2n})$, where $\Sigma a_i^{2n} = \varepsilon \cdot a^{2n}$ with $\varepsilon \in H^*$. Then $\varepsilon \in H^* \cap \Sigma R^{2n} = H^* \cap \Sigma R^2$, the latter by 5.5. If $\Sigma a_i^{2n} \in (H^* \cap R^2) \cdot R^{*2n}$, it follows that $(a_1, \dots, a_r)^{2n} = (\varepsilon \cdot a^{2n}) = (a^{2n}) = (a)^{2n}$, $a \in R^*$, i.e., $(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (a)$. \square

As in [B2] we can use the connection between $R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2n}$ and the fractional ideals to study quantitative problems on sums in R . We need to make use of the idea of Waring-constants $g(K, n)$ as in [B3], p. 887, thus we define:

Definition. Suppose A is any ring and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we set

$$g^*(A, n) = \inf\{l \mid A^* \cap \Sigma A^n = A^* \cap \Sigma_1^l A^n\} \text{ or } \infty$$

the n -th Waring-constants for the units of A .

In our situation we also need

Definition. $\mu(R) = \min\{r \mid \text{each invertible fractional ideal of } H \text{ can be generated by } r \text{ elements}\}$ or ∞ .

Looking at the proof of [B2], 2.11, 2.12, we see that the facts used in the proof – for example, 1.6 (iii) with $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq H$, 5.6 (ii) – also hold in our situation. Thus we get the following results:

Proposition 5.16. (i) $\mu(R) \leq g^*(R, 2n)$ for all n .

(ii) If $\mu = \mu(R) < \infty$, then $R^* \cap \Sigma R^{2n} = (H(R)^* \cap \Sigma R^2) \cdot (R^* \cap \Sigma_1^\mu R^{2n})$.

Theorem 5.17. The following are equivalent:

(i) $g^*(R, 2) < \infty$,

(ii) $g^*(R, 2n) < \infty$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(iii) $g^*(R, 2n) < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $g^* = g^*(R, 2) < \infty$, it follows that

$$g^*(R, 2n) \leq g^*(R, 2) \cdot \binom{2n + g^* + 2}{g^*} \cdot G(2n) \cdot \mu(R),$$

where $G(2n) =$ classical Waring-constant for the representation of sufficiently large natural numbers as sum of $2n$ -th powers.

Remark. In [CDLR] many results on the Pythagoras number $P(R) = g(R, 2)$ are proven. Clearly $g(R, 2) < \infty$ implies $g^*(R, 2) < \infty$ and in the case of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ one has $g(2, \mathbb{Z}[X]) = \infty$ and $g^*(\mathbb{Z}[X], 2) = 1$ (see [CDLR], 4.14).

Theorem 5.18. *Let V be an irreducible real affine variety of dimension d . Then:*

(i)

$$g^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(V), 2n) \leq (2^d)^2 \binom{2n + 2^d + 2}{2^d}.$$

(ii) *If $V(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)^* \cap \Sigma \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)^2 \subseteq \Sigma \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)^{2n}$.*

Proof. (i) We have $g^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(V), 2) \leq 2^d$ [Ma1]. The result now follows from 5.16 and 5.17 since in this case the factor $G(2n)$ can be dropped. \square

(ii) This follows from 5.3 and 5.4. \square

Remark. The statement (ii) in 5.18 is also proven by R. Berr, cf. [Be2], 4.1.

Added in proof. Rings R satisfying $H(R) = R$ are treated in [ABR], p. 164ff.

References

- [ABR] *C. Andradas, L. Bröcker and J.M. Ruiz*, Constructible Sets in Real Geometry, Erg. Math. Grenzgeb. **33**, Springer Verlag, 1996.
- [B1] *E. Becker*, Valuation and real places in the theory of formally real fields, in: Géométrie algébrique réelle et formes quadratiques, Ed. Colliot-Thélène, J. L., Coste, M., Mahé, L. and Roy, M.-F., Lect. Notes Math. **959** (1982).
- [B2] *E. Becker*, The real holomorphy ring and sums of $2n$ -th powers, in: Géométrie algébrique réelle et formes quadratiques, Ed. Colliot-Thélène, J. L., Coste, M., Mahé, L. and Roy, M.-F., Lect. Notes Math. **959** (1982).
- [B3] *E. Becker*, Extended Artin-Schreier theory of fields, Rocky Mount. J. **14** (1984), 881–897.
- [B4] *E. Becker*, On the real spectrum of a ring and its applications to semi-algebraic geometry, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1986), 19–60.
- [BG1] *E. Becker and D. Gondard*, On rings admitting orderings and 2-primary orderings of higher level, manusc. math. **65** (1989), 63–82.
- [BG2] *E. Becker and D. Gondard*, Notes on the space of real places of a formally real field, in: Real Analytic and Algebraic Geometry, Ed. Broglia, F., Galbiati, M., Tognoli, A., Berlin–New York 1995.
- [BS] *E. Becker and N. Schwartz*, Zum Darstellungssatz von Kadison-Dubois, Arch. Math. **39** (1983).
- [Be] *R. Berr*, The intersection theorem for orderings of higher level in rings, manusc. math. **75** (1992), 273–277.
- [Be2] *R. Berr*, On real holomorphy rings, in: Real Analytic and Algebraic Geometry, Ed. Broglia, F., Galbiati, M., Tognoli, A., Berlin–New York 1995.
- [BCR] *J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.-F. Roy*, Géométrie algébrique réelle, Erg. Math. Grenzgeb. **12**, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [Bo] *N. Bourbaki*, Commutative Algebra, Paris 1972.
- [CDLR] *M.D. Choi, Z.D. Dai, T.Y. Lam and B. Reznick*, The Pythagoras number of some affine algebras and local algebras, J. reine angew. Math. **336** (1982), 45–82.
- [G] *M. Griffin*, Valuations and Prüfer rings, Can. J. Math. **26** (1974), 421–429.
- [H] *R. Hartshorne*, Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [J] *J.R. Joly*, Sommes des puissances d -ièmes dans un anneau commutatif, Acta Arith. **17** (1970), 31–114.
- [K] *I. Kaplansky*, Commutative Rings, Allyn and Bacon, Boston 1970.
- [KS] *M. Knebusch and C. Scheiderer*, Einführung in die reelle Algebra, Vieweg-Verlag, 1989.
- [Ku] *E. Kunz*, Introduction to Commutative and Algebraic Geometry, Birkhäuser, 1991.
- [L] *T.Y. Lam*, Introduction to real algebra, Rocky Mount. J. **14** (1984), 767–814.
- [Ma1] *L. Mahé*, Level and Pythagoras number of some geometric rings, Math. Z. **204** (1990), 615–629.