

Placing Specified Vertices at Precise Locations on a Hamiltonian Cycle

Ronald J. Gould¹ · Colton Magnant² ·
Pouria Salehi Nowbandegani³

Received: 27 October 2015 / Revised: 4 June 2016 / Published online: 11 January 2017
© Springer Japan 2017

Abstract Sharp minimum degree and degree sum conditions are proven for the existence of a Hamiltonian cycle passing through specified vertices with prescribed distances between them in large graphs.

Keywords Hamiltonian cycle · Distance · Regularity Lemma

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only simple, finite, and undirected graphs. Unless otherwise defined, our notation follows that of [1].

Recently, there have been several results concerning the placement of specified vertices on a Hamiltonian cycle. Kaneko and Yoshimoto proved the following result.

Theorem 1 (Kaneko and Yoshimoto [10]) *Let G be a graph of order n , $d \leq \frac{n}{4}$ a positive integer and A a set of at most $\frac{n}{2d}$ vertices. If $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ then there exists a Hamiltonian cycle in G with the distance, along the cycle, between any pair of vertices of A at least d .*

✉ Colton Magnant
cmagnant@georgiasouthern.edu

Ronald J. Gould
rg@mathcs.emory.edu

Pouria Salehi Nowbandegani
pouria.salehi.nowbandegani@vanderbilt.edu

¹ Department of Mathematics, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, USA

³ Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

Sárközy and Selkow managed to ensure specified distances between almost all the consecutive pairs but did not put the specified vertices in order.

Theorem 2 (Sárközy and Selkow [15]) *There are $\omega, n_0 > 0$ such that if G is a graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta(G) \geq n/2$, d is an arbitrary integer with $3 \leq d \leq \omega n/2$ and S is an arbitrary set of vertices in G with $2 \leq |S| = k \leq \omega n/d$, then for every sequence d_i of integers with $3 \leq d_i \leq d$, $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, there is a Hamiltonian cycle C of G and an ordering of the vertices of S , a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k , such that the vertices of S are visited in this order on C and we have $|dist_C(a_i, a_{i+1}) - d_i| \leq 1$ for all but one i with $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.*

More recently, Faudree et al. placed specified vertices in order but were unable to prescribe the distances exactly.

Theorem 3 (Faudree et al. [7]) *Let $t \geq 3$ be an integer and $\epsilon, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_t$ positive real numbers having $\sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_i = 1$ and $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\frac{\gamma_i^2}{2}\}$. For $n \geq \frac{7t^{12} \times 10^{10}}{\epsilon^6}$, let G be a graph of order n having $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n+t-1}{2}$ or $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ and $\kappa(G) \geq \frac{3t}{2}$. For every $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\} \subseteq V(G)$, there exists a Hamiltonian cycle H containing the vertices of X in order such that $(\gamma_i - \epsilon)n \leq dist_H(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq (\gamma_i + \epsilon)n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Furthermore, the minimum degree and connectivity conditions are sharp.*

In the case where there are only two vertices specified, the following conjecture has been attributed to H. Enomoto.

Conjecture 1 [5] *If G is a graph of order $n \geq 3$ and $\delta(G) \geq n/2 + 1$, then for any $x, y \in V(G)$, there is a Hamiltonian cycle C of G such that $d_C(x, y) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.*

This conjecture was generalized by Faudree and Li.

Conjecture 2 (Faudree and Li [9]) *If G is a graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq n/2 + 1$, then for any integer $2 \leq m \leq n/2$ and for any $x, y \in V(G)$, there is a Hamiltonian cycle C of G such that $dist_C(x, y) = m$.*

The case of Conjecture 2 where $n \geq 6m$ was proven in [8]. Faudree and Gould recently provided a sharp minimum degree condition for the placement of specified vertices at precise locations relative to each other on a Hamiltonian cycle.

Theorem 4 (Faudree and Gould [6]) *Let n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} be a set of $k - 1$ integers each at least 2 and $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a fixed set of k ordered vertices in a graph G of order n . If $\delta(G) \geq (n + 2k - 2)/2$, then there is $N = N(k, n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})$ such that if $n \geq N$, there is a Hamiltonian cycle C of G such that $dist_C(x_i, x_{i+1}) = n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.*

Our first result is very closely related to Theorem 4. Our degree assumption is lower but, since our choices of the lengths n_i must be large, we are not bound by the following sharpness example for Theorem 4, noted in [6]. Let

$$G = \overline{K}_{(n-2k+3)/2} + \left(\frac{n + 2k - 3}{2(2k - 2)} K_{2k-2} \right).$$

Then $\delta(G) = \frac{n+2k-3}{2}$ but if k vertices are all selected from one of the copies of K_{2k-2} , then there does not exist $k - 1$ internally disjoint paths of length 3 between consecutive pairs of these vertices.

Theorem 5 *Given an integer $k \geq 3$, let G be a graph of sufficiently large order n . Then there exists $n_0 = n_0(k, n)$ such that if n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k are a set of k positive integers with $n_i \geq n_0$ for all i , $\sum n_i = n$, and $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n+k}{2}$, then for any k distinct vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k in G , there exists a Hamiltonian cycle such that the length of the path between x_i to x_{i+1} on the Hamiltonian cycle is n_i .*

Theorem 5 includes the best possible bound on $\delta(G)$ when k is even by the following example. Suppose n is large and satisfies appropriate divisibility constraints with respect to k . Consider two complete graphs A and B each of order $\frac{n-(k+1)}{2}$. Let C be the remaining $k + 1$ vertices. If we let $+$ denote the standard graph join of inserting all edges between two disjoint sets, then let $G = (A + C) \cup (C + B)$ where the copies of vertices of C are identified. If all of the vertices x_1, \dots, x_k are chosen from A and each length n_i is chosen to be $\frac{n}{k}$, then certainly at least $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ of the paths between consecutive vertices on the cycle must pass through C into B . These $\frac{k}{2}$ paths through B must contain at least a total of $|B| + |C| = \frac{n+k+1}{2}$ internal vertices, which is more than the desired $\frac{k}{2}(\frac{n}{k} - 1)$, a contradiction. Thus, there is no Hamiltonian cycle with consecutive specified vertices at distance precisely n_i apart. Furthermore, this graph has $\delta(G) = |A| + |C| - 1 = \frac{n-k-1}{2} + k = \frac{n+k-1}{2}$.

Our next result provides a degree sum condition for the same placement of specified vertices on a Hamiltonian cycle.

Theorem 6 *Given an integer $k \geq 3$, let G be a graph of sufficiently large order n . Then there exists $n_0 = n_0(k, n)$ such that if n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k are a set of k positive integers with $n_i \geq n_0$ for all i , $\sum n_i = n$, and $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$, then for any k distinct vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k in G , there exists a Hamiltonian cycle such that the length of the path between x_i to x_{i+1} on the Hamiltonian cycle is n_i .*

Theorem 6 includes the best possible bound on $\sigma_2(G)$ by the following example. Let A be a complete graph on k vertices and B be a complete graph on $n - (3k - 1)$ vertices. Let C be the remaining $2k - 1$ independent vertices. If we again let $G = (A + C) \cup (C + B)$, choose all vertices $x_i \in A$, and choose lengths of paths n_i to be at least 3 each, there is no Hamiltonian cycle with these specified vertices at distance n_i apart since $n_i > 2$ for all i . Furthermore, this graph has $\sigma_2(G) = (|A| - 1) + 2|C| + (|B| - 1) = n + 2k - 3$.

Theorems 5 and 6 are proven in Sect. 4.

Our proof follows the same general outline as the proof in [3]. It utilizes several extremal lemmas based on the structure of the reduced graph provided by the Regularity Lemma. The lemmas deal with the cases where the minimum degree of the graph is small, where the reduced graph has a large independent set and where the connectivity of the reduced graph is small.

2 Preliminaries

Given two sets of vertices A and B , let $E(A, B)$ denote set of edges with one end in A and one end in B and let $e(A, B) = |E(A, B)|$. Define the *density between A and B* to be

$$d(A, B) = \frac{e(A, B)}{|A||B|}.$$

Definition 1 Let $\epsilon > 0$. Given a graph G and two nonempty disjoint vertex sets $A, B \subseteq V$, we say that the pair (A, B) is ϵ -regular if for every $X \subseteq A$ and $Y \subseteq B$ satisfying

$$|X| > \epsilon|A| \quad \text{and} \quad |Y| > \epsilon|B|$$

we have

$$|d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| < \epsilon.$$

We will also use the following one-sided but stronger version of regularity.

Definition 2 Let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$. Given a graph G and two nonempty disjoint vertex sets $A, B \subseteq V$ forming an ϵ -regular pair, we say that the pair (A, B) is (ϵ, δ) -super-regular if for every $X \subseteq A$ and $Y \subseteq B$ satisfying

$$|X| > \epsilon|A| \quad \text{and} \quad |Y| > \epsilon|B|,$$

we have

$$e(X, Y) > \delta|X||Y|,$$

and furthermore $\deg_B(a) > \delta|B|$ for all $a \in A$ and $\deg_A(b) > \delta|A|$ for all $b \in B$.

The following is the degree form of the famous Regularity Lemma of Szemerédi.

Lemma 1 (Regularity lemma—Szemerédi [16]) *For every $\epsilon > 0$ and every positive integer m , there is an $M = M(\epsilon)$ such that if G is any graph and $d \in (0, 1)$ is any real number, then there is a partition of $V(G)$ into $\ell + 1$ clusters V_0, V_1, \dots, V_ℓ , and there is a subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ with the following properties:*

- (1) $m \leq \ell \leq M$,
- (2) $|V_0| \leq \epsilon|V(G)|$,
- (3) $|V_1| = \dots = |V_\ell| = L \leq \epsilon|V(G)|$,
- (4) $\deg_{G'}(v) > \deg_G(v) - (d + \epsilon)|V(G)|$ for all $v \in V(G)$,
- (5) $e(G'[V_i]) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$,
- (6) for all $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ the graph $G'[V_i, V_j]$ is ϵ -regular and has density either 0 or greater than d .

Based on the partition of a graph given by the Regularity Lemma, we define the reduced graph R to be the graph consisting of a vertex for each cluster of the partition and an edge between two vertices when the corresponding two clusters form an ϵ -regular pair with density greater than d . This next lemma allows the creation of a super-regular pair from an ϵ -regular pair by simply removing some vertices.

Lemma 2 ([4, Lemma 7.5.1]) *Let (A, B) be an ϵ -regular pair of density d and let $Y \subseteq B$ have size $|Y| \geq \epsilon|B|$. Then all but at most $\epsilon|A|$ of the vertices in A (each) have at least $(d - \epsilon)|Y|$ neighbors in Y .*

We will use a simple corollary of this result.

Corollary 7 *Let (A, B) be an ϵ -regular pair of density d such that $|A| = |B|$. Then there exist subsets $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ with $|A'| = |B'| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|A|$ such that the pair (A', B') is $(\epsilon, d - 2\epsilon)$ -super-regular.*

Our next fact follows trivially from the definition of super-regular pairs. This fact allows for the creation of short paths between pairs of vertices in super-regular pairs.

Fact 1 *Given an (ϵ, d) -super-regular pair (A, B) and a pair of vertices $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, there exists a path of length at most 3 from a to b in the graph induced on $A \cup B$.*

A special case of the famous Blow-Up Lemma of Komlós, Sárközy, Szemerédi [11], stated in [15], is the following.

Lemma 3 (Sárközy and Selkow [15]) *For every $\delta > 0$, there are $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\delta)$, $n_0 = n_0(\delta) > 0$ such that if $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and $n \geq n_0$, $G = (A, B)$ is an (ϵ, δ) -super-regular pair with $|A| = |B| = n$ and $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, then there is a Hamiltonian path of G from x to y .*

The following theorem gives us a degree sum condition on the reduced graph R based on our assumed degree sum condition on G .

Theorem 8 (Kühn et al. [12]) *Given a constant c , if $\sigma_2(G) \geq cn$, then $\sigma_2(R) > (c - 2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$.*

We will also use the following theorem of Ore.

Theorem 9 (Ore [14]) *If G is 2-connected, then G contains a cycle of length at least $\sigma_2(G)$.*

We will also use the following result of Williamson. Recall that a graph is called *panconnected* if, between every pair of vertices u and v , there is a path of every possible length from $dist(u, v)$ up to $n - 1$. Note that if the diameter of the graph is 2, then $dist(u, v) \leq 2$ so this definition provides all possible lengths of paths.

Theorem 10 (Williamson [17]) *Let G be a graph of order n . If $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$, then G is panconnected with diameter 2.*

3 Proof Outline

Given an integer $k \geq 3$ and desired path segment lengths n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k , we choose constants ϵ and d as follows:

$$0 < \epsilon \ll d \ll \frac{1}{k^2},$$

where $a \ll b$ is used to indicate that a is chosen to be sufficiently small relative to b . Let n be sufficiently large to apply the Regularity Lemma 1 with constant ϵ to get large clusters and let R be the corresponding reduced graph. Note that, when applying Lemma 1, there are at least $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ clusters so $|R| \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.

We use a sequence of lemmas to eliminate extremal cases of the proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $n_k \geq n_i$ for all i . Our first lemma establishes the case of Theorem 6 when $\delta(G)$ is small.

Lemma 4 *If $\delta(G) \leq \frac{n_k}{8}$, then Theorem 6 holds.*

Lemma 4 is proven in Sect. 5. By Lemma 4, we may assume $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n_k}{8} \geq \frac{n}{8k}$ in the proof of Theorem 6. Our next lemmas establish the case where G contains a large independent set. Although the statements are almost identical, the different degree assumptions require slight differences in the proofs.

Lemma 5 *Let $\lambda > 0$ be a sufficiently small real number. If $\alpha(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)n$, then Theorem 5 holds.*

Lemma 6 *Let $\lambda > 0$ be a sufficiently small real number. If $\alpha(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)n$, then Theorem 6 holds.*

Lemmas 5 and 6 are proven in Sect. 6.

Our final lemmas establish the case for small connectivity, that is, when $\kappa(R) \leq 1$. Again the statements look identical but the different assumptions of the theorems require slightly different proofs.

Lemma 7 *If $\kappa(R) \leq 1$, then Theorem 5 holds.*

Lemma 8 *If $\kappa(R) \leq 1$, then Theorem 6 holds.*

Lemmas 7 and 8 are proven in Sect. 7.

Once all these lemmas are in place, we use Ore's Theorem (Theorem 9) to construct a long cycle in the reduced graph. Alternating edges of this cycle are made into super-regular pairs of the graph. This structure is then used to construct the desired paths. The complete proofs of our main results are presented in the following section. Since the non-extremal case, the case when all of the above lemmas are assumed, looks generally the same for both of our main results and the conclusions are the same, we combine both proofs into one.

4 Proof of Theorems 5 and 6

Since the conclusions of both results are identical and the proofs are the same aside from applications of different lemmas, we provide a single proof for both theorems.

Proof By Lemma 7 or 8, we may assume R is 2-connected. By Theorem 8, we know that $\sigma_2(R) \geq (1 - 2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$. Thus, we may apply Theorem 9 to obtain a cycle C within $V(R) \setminus v_0$ of length at least $(1 - 2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$ in R . Define the “garbage set” to include V_0 and those clusters not associated to vertices in C . Without loss of generality, suppose these clusters are V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s where $s \leq (2d + 4\epsilon)|R|$. This means that so far, the garbage set contains at most $(2d + 5\epsilon)n$ vertices.

Color the edges of the cycle C (in R) with red and blue such that no two red edges are adjacent and at most one pair of blue edges is adjacent (in the case when $|C|$ is odd). Apply Corollary 7 on the pairs of clusters in G corresponding to the red edges of C , to obtain super-regular pairs where the two sets of each super-regular pair have the same order. All vertices not in the super-regular pairs are added to the garbage set and redefine the clusters C_i to be the clusters without these garbage vertices. Note that we have added at most ϵn vertices to the garbage set.

If C is odd, let c_0 be the vertex incident to two blue edges, let C_0 be the corresponding cluster and let C_0^+ and C_0^- be the neighboring clusters. Since the pairs (C_0^-, C_0) and (C_0, C_0^+) are both sufficiently large and ϵ -regular, there exists a set of k vertices $T_0 \subseteq C_0$, each with both an edge to C_0^- and an edge to C_0^+ . We will use these vertices as transportation and move all of $C_0 \setminus T_0$ to the garbage set. Since each cluster contains at most ϵn vertices, the garbage set still contains at most $(2d + 7\epsilon)n$ vertices.

Let G_C denote the subgraph of G with vertex set consisting of the set of vertices remaining in clusters associated with C that have not been moved to the garbage set and let D denote the garbage set and with $E(G_C)$ consisting of the edges between consecutive pairs of clusters corresponding to the edges of C . Note that $|D| \leq (2d + 7\epsilon)n$.

By Lemma 4, we may assume $\delta(G) \geq \frac{nk}{8}$ for both proofs. In particular, the vertices in D each have at least $\frac{nk}{8} - (|D| - 1) \gg \epsilon n$ edges to G_C , even in the proof of Theorem 6.

The pairs of clusters corresponding to the red edges of C , those that are now super-regular, will be called *couples* and if A and B are a couple, then each of A and B is called the *spouse* of the other. A path is said to *balance* the couples in G_C if for every couple that the path visits, it uses an equal number of vertices from each spouse in the couple. Note that the removal of a balancing path preserves the fact that the two spouses in every couple have the same order.

For each chosen vertex x_i , if $x_i \notin G_C$, then by Lemma 4, there are two edges from x_i to vertices x'_i and x''_i in G_C . If $x_i \in G_C$, then simply define $x'_i = x''_i = x_i$.

For each index i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, construct a path P_i through G_C from x'_i through every cluster of G_C by going all the way around the clusters corresponding to the cycle C and then continuing around to x'_{i+1} , where indices are taken modulo k . This path is constructed to be balancing except possibly at one end depending on the parity of the path through G_C . If the path is not balancing, we remove a single vertex from the unbalanced pair to make it balanced again, and place that vertex in D . This process adds at most $k \ll |D|$ vertices to D . Using Fact 1, this path can be constructed to use at most 2 vertices from each cluster for each time the path passes through. Since each path goes around the cycle at most twice, each path has length at most $4|R|$. Together

these paths form a cycle with the selected vertices x_i appearing in order. The goal of the rest of the proof is to extend these paths to their desired lengths in a controlled way.

Let (A, B) be a super-regular pair of clusters on C . A balancing path starting in A and ending in B which contains a vertex $v \in D$ is called v -absorbing. The following claim was proven in [3]. □

Claim 1 (Coll et al. [3]) *Avoiding any selected set of at most $1296kd\ell$ clusters and any set of at most $\frac{(2d+7\epsilon)n}{81kd\ell}$ vertices in each of the remaining clusters, there exists a v -absorbing path of order at most 17. Otherwise the desired Hamiltonian cycle already exists.*

We include the proof from [3] for completeness.

Proof Let L' be the order of the smallest cluster in C . Recall that L is the order of each non-garbage cluster of G from Lemma 1 so $L \geq \frac{(1-\epsilon)n}{r}$ and so $L' \geq \frac{(1-\epsilon)^2n}{r} - 2k \geq \frac{(1-2\epsilon)n}{r} - 2k$ to avoid the vertices used in the initial paths.

Fact 2 *If we have created at most $|D| - 1$ such paths, at most $1296kd\ell$ clusters would have order at most $L' - \frac{(2d+7\epsilon)n}{81kd\ell}$.*

Proof Each absorbing path constructed in this claim has order at most 16 (other than the vertex v), so we lose at most 16 vertices from G_C for each vertex of D . Since $|D| \leq (2d + 7\epsilon)n$, the result follows. □

Note that the remaining clusters would have remaining order at least

$$L' - \frac{16(2d + 7\epsilon)n}{1296kd\ell} = L' - \frac{(2d + 7\epsilon)n}{81kd\ell} \geq L' \left(1 - \frac{1}{9k}\right)$$

since $d \gg \epsilon$.

Let $v \in D$ such that there is no absorbing path for v of order at most 17. Since $d(v) \geq \frac{nk}{8} \geq \frac{n}{8k}$, v must have at least $\frac{n}{8k\ell} \geq \frac{L'}{9k} + 2$ edges to at least $\frac{\ell}{8k} \gg 1296kd\ell$ clusters. Let A and B be two clusters which are not already ignored to which v has at least two edges to vertices that are not already in an initial path or an absorbing path.

For convenience, we call two clusters X and Y a *couple* or *spouses* (in relation to each other) if X and Y are consecutive on C and the pair (X, Y) is a super-regular pair.

The following facts are easily proven using the structure we have provided and the lemmas proven before.

Fact 3 *A and B are not a couple.*

Otherwise letting $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ be available neighbors of v , the path avb is a v -absorbing path.

Let A' and B' denote the spouses of A and B , respectively, let $a', b' \in R$ correspond to A' and B' , respectively. Similarly for another couple (P, Q) of clusters, let p and q be the corresponding vertices of R . Define the following sets of clusters:

- $X_A := \{\text{couples } (P, Q) \text{ of clusters such that } pa' \text{ and } qa' \text{ are edges in } R\}$,
- $X_B := \{\text{couples } (P, Q) \text{ of clusters such that } pb' \text{ and } qb' \text{ are edges in } R\}$, and
- $X_{AB} := \{\text{couples } (P, Q) \text{ of clusters such that one of } p \text{ or } q \text{ has an edge to both } a' \text{ and } b' \text{ in } R\}$. In particular, let X'_{AB} denote the clusters (either P or Q) in X_{AB} that are not the neighbors of A' and B' .

Since we are considering two neighbors of v in A (and two neighbors of v in B), say v_1 and v_2 , if X_A (or similarly X_B) contains even a single couple (P, Q) , then we can absorb v using a path of the form $v_1 v v_2 - A' - P - Q - A'$. Thus, we may actually assume $X_A = X_B = \emptyset$.

Our next fact follows from the fact that $\sigma_2(R) \geq (1 - 2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$.

Fact 4 *There are at most $(2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$ clusters in C which are not in X_{AB} .*

If there is an edge xy between two (not already used) vertices in clusters in X'_{AB} , then there is a v -absorbing path of the form $v_1 v v_2 - A' - (X_{AB} \setminus X'_{AB}) - xy - (X_{AB} \setminus X'_{AB}) - A'$. Thus, the graph induced on the vertices in clusters in X'_{AB} contains no edges. By Lemma 5 or 6, we have the desired Hamiltonian cycle. This completes the proof of Claim 1. \square

By Claim 1, since $|D| \leq (2d + 7\epsilon)n$, we can construct an absorbing path for each vertex $v \in D$ where these paths are all disjoint. Let P^v be an absorbing path for v with ends of P^v in clusters C_i and C_{i+1} . Suppose uw is the edge of P_k from C_i to C_{i+1} . Then using Fact 1, we can replace the edge uw with the path P^v with the addition of at most 4 extra vertices at either end. Note that absorbing a vertex $v \in D$ into a path P_i using the absorbing path will always change the parity of the length of P_i .

For each path P_i that is not already completed and not the correct parity, absorb a single vertex from D into P_i . This will change the parity of the path. At this point, every path has the correct parity and has length at most $4|R| + 17 \leq 4M + 17$ where M is a function of ϵ provided by Lemma 1.

By the same process, all remaining vertices of D can be absorbed into P_k . This makes $|P_k|$ larger but since $|D| \leq (2d + 7\epsilon)n$ and each absorbing path P^v for $v \in D$ has order at most 17, we get $|P_k| \leq 4|M| + 17(2d + 7\epsilon)n < n_k$.

The following lemma, stated in [13], is an easy exercise using the definition of (ϵ, δ) -super-regular pairs and Lemma 3.

Lemma 9 [13] *Let U and V be two clusters forming a balanced (ϵ, δ) -super-regular pair with $|U| = |V| = L$. Then for every pair of vertices $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, there exist paths of all odd lengths ℓ between u and v satisfying either*

- (a) $3 \leq \ell \leq \delta L$ or
- (b) $(1 - \delta)L \leq \ell \leq L$.

For each i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $r_i = n_i - |P_i|$ be the number of vertices that each path P_i currently lacks from its desired order. Note that since each path P_i already has the correct parity, r_i must be even for all i . Without loss of generality, suppose the numbers r_i are in increasing order. Recall that each path P_i already uses at least one vertex from every cluster in G_C so we may apply Lemma 9 to any couple of clusters to absorb all of the vertices from the clusters into the path P_i . Similarly by Lemma 9,

if L is the order of a cluster in the couple, we may absorb any even number of vertices between 2 and $\delta L - 1$ from the couple of clusters into the path P_i . Note that in this last case, if at most $\frac{\delta L}{2}$ vertices are used up and removed from each cluster in the couple, then what remains of this couple of clusters is $(\epsilon, \delta/2)$ -super-regular.

Let $o = \lfloor \frac{|C|}{2} \rfloor$ and for $1 \leq j \leq o$, let L_j denote the number of vertices remaining unused in each spouse of the j th couple. Without loss of generality, suppose $L_j \leq L_{j+1}$ for all j and further suppose we recalculate, reorder and relabel these numbers each time a path construction is completed.

Now let i be the smallest index of a path P_i such that $|P_i| < n_1$. If $r_i \geq 2L_1$, then using Lemma 9, absorb all vertices in the first couple of clusters into P_i , relabel the couples, and then repeat. If $r_i < 2L_1$, then using Lemma 9, absorb two vertices from each couple of remaining clusters until either the path P_i is completed (order n_i) or we have used two vertices from all remaining couples, and then repeat. Note that at most a total of $k \in n$ vertices will be absorbed in this way, so no remaining cluster will lose more than $\frac{\delta L_j}{2}$ vertices in this process. Finally P_k will simply absorb all remaining vertices. □

5 Proof of Lemma 4

Recall that Lemma 4 claims Theorem 6 holds when $\delta(G) \leq \frac{nk}{8}$.

Proof Let G be a graph satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 6. Let $a \in V(G)$ with $|N(a)| = \delta(G) \leq \frac{nk}{8}$, and partition $V(G)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= G \setminus (a \cup N(a)) \\
 A &= \left\{ v \in a \cup N(a) : |N(v) \cap V(B)| < \frac{1}{8}(n + k - \delta(G) - 1) \right\} \\
 C &= \left\{ v \in a \cup N(a) : |N(v) \cap V(B)| \geq \frac{1}{8}(n + k - \delta(G) - 1) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that, since $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$, the set A induces a complete graph. Furthermore, the set B has order $n - 1 - \delta(G)$, and A is nonempty since $a \in A$. Since $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$ and a has no edges to B , each vertex in B has degree at least $n + 2k - 2 - \delta(G)$ which means $\delta(G[B]) \geq n + 2k - 3 - 2\delta(G)$. Also note that since $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$, it is easy to see that $\kappa(G) \geq 2k$. First, a claim about subsets of B . □

Claim 2 Every subset of B of order at least $\frac{3nk}{8}$ is panconnected with diameter 2.

Proof With $|B| = n - \delta(G) - 1$ and $\delta(G[B]) \geq n + 2k - 2 - 2\delta(G)$, we see that $\delta(G[B]) \geq |B| - \delta(G) \geq |B| - \frac{nk}{8}$. Therefore, for any subset $B' \subseteq B$ with $|B'| \geq \frac{3nk}{8}$, we have $\delta(G[B']) \geq |B'| - \frac{nk}{8} > \frac{|B'|+2}{2}$. By Theorem 10, we see that B' is panconnected. □

Consider k selected vertices $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subseteq V(G)$. Let X_A denote the (possibly empty) set $X \cap A$. First assume that $|X_A| = t \geq 1$. Since $\kappa(G) \geq 2k \geq 2t$,

we will show that there exists a set of $2t$ disjoint paths \mathcal{P}_A starting at the vertices of X_A , each vertex of X_A beginning two paths, with these paths ending in B , avoiding all other vertices of X . Such a set of paths is achieved by first choosing a system of distinct representatives, say a vertex $a_x \in N(x)$ for each vertex $x \in X_A$, letting A_X denote the set $X \cap A$ along with all the representatives, and then applying Menger’s Theorem [1] on $G \setminus (X \setminus A)$ to find disjoint paths from A_X to B .

If there are any vertices remaining in $A \setminus X_A$ that have not been used on the $2t$ paths, we redefine one of the paths to include these vertices, using Menger’s Theorem and the fact that A is complete. If $t = 0$, then simply use Menger’s Theorem to find two paths from A to B and use all of A to patch these two together into a single path including all of A .

As vertices of B are selected and used on various paths, we continuously call the set of vertices in B that have not already been prescribed or otherwise mentioned the *remaining* vertices in B . For example, so far, the set $B \setminus (X \cup V(\mathcal{P}_A))$ is all the remaining vertices of B . Our goal is to maintain at least $\frac{3n_k}{8} + 1$ remaining vertices to be able to apply Claim 2 as needed within these remaining vertices.

Let $C \setminus X = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s\}$. Since $|C| \leq \delta(G) \leq \frac{n_k}{8}$ and $\deg_B(c_i) \geq \frac{1}{8}(n + k - \delta(G) - 1)$ for each $c_i \in C \setminus X$ (or $x_i \in X \cap C$), we can choose a set of two distinct neighbors in $B \setminus (X \cup V(\mathcal{P}_A))$, say b_i and b'_i . For each vertex $x_i \in X \cap C$, select these two neighboring vertices to serve as *proxies* for x_i . These proxy vertices will represent x_i when constructing paths because a path from b'_i to b_{i+1} trivially extends to a path from x_i to x_{i+1} .

Now consider the vertices of $C \setminus X$. By Claim 2, there exists a path, through the remaining vertices of B , with at most one intermediate vertex, say d_i , from b'_i to b_{i+1} for each i . Since $|C| \leq \frac{n_k}{8}$, such short paths can be iteratively constructed for all i with $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ to create a single path P_C starting and ending in B , at b_1 and b_s , to be exact, and containing all vertices of $C \setminus X$ with $|P_C| < 4|C| \leq \frac{n_k}{2}$.

We may now construct paths within B to build the desired Hamiltonian cycle. Each path P_i for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ can be constructed in any order by starting at x_i (or a corresponding proxy vertex) and ending at x_{i+1} (or a corresponding proxy vertex) using Claim 2 within the remaining vertices of B so that the path P_i has precisely the desired length n_i . Finally, there are at least

$$\begin{aligned} |B| - \left| B \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V(P_i) \right) \right| &= |B \cap V(\mathcal{P}_A)| - |B \cap V(P_C)| \\ &\geq (n - 1 - \delta(G)) - (k + 1) - (3|C|) \\ &\geq \frac{3n_k}{8} + 2 \end{aligned}$$

remaining vertices in B . With these and Claim 2, we construct a short path with at most one internal vertex from an end of P_C to x_k (or a corresponding proxy vertex) and a path containing all remaining vertices of B from x_1 (or a corresponding proxy vertex) to the other end of P_C . This completes the construction of the desired Hamiltonian cycle and thereby completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

6 Proof of Lemmas 5 and 6

Recall that Lemmas 5 and 6 say that for a positive integer k , a small $\lambda = \lambda(k) > 0$, and a graph G of order $n \geq n(\lambda)$, if either $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n+k}{2}$ or $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$, and $\alpha(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)n$, then the conclusions of Theorems 5 and 6 hold respectively.

Proof Let A be a maximum independent set of G , and let $B = V(G) \setminus A$. By the assumptions on $\sigma_2(G)$ or $\delta(G)$, we have $|B| \geq \frac{n+k}{2}$. This implies that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)n \leq |A| \leq \frac{n - k}{2}$$

and

$$\frac{n + k}{2} \leq |B| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda\right)n.$$

□

Claim 3 *Let B' be the set of vertices in B each with at least $(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{16k})n$ edges to A . Then $|B'| \geq \frac{n}{2}(1 - 16k\lambda)$.*

Proof Each vertex in A (except possibly one if we are using the degree sum condition) has at least $\frac{n+k}{2}$ neighbors in B , which means there are at least $(|A| - 1) \cdot \frac{n+k}{2}$ edges between A and B . On the other hand, there are fewer than $|B'| |A| + (|B \setminus B'|) (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{16k})n$ edges out of B . Since $|A| \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \lambda)n$ and $|B| \leq (\frac{1}{2} + \lambda)n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|B'| \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)n + \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda\right)n - |B'|\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{16k}\right)n \\ &\geq \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)n - 1\right) \frac{1}{2}(n + k - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} &|B'|n \left(\frac{1}{16k} - \lambda\right) + n^2 \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{32k} - \frac{\lambda}{16k}\right) \\ &\geq n \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda k}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\right) - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{|B'|}{16k} \geq n \left(\frac{1}{32k} + \frac{\lambda}{16k} - \lambda\right)$$

which means that $|B'| \geq \frac{n}{2}(1 - 16k\lambda)$ as desired. □

A bipartite graph $U \cup V$ is said to be *bipanconnected* if for every pair of vertices $x, y \in U \cup V$, there exist (x, y) -paths of all possible lengths at least $dist(x, t)$ of

appropriate parity in $U \cup V$. That is, for every pair of vertices $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, there exist (x, y) -paths of every possible odd length at least $dist(x, y)$, and for every pair of vertices $x, y \in U$ (and V), there exist (x, y) -paths of every even length at least $dist(x, y)$. Also observe that the sets U and V need not be balanced, so the longest possible length is only $2 \min\{|U|, |V|\}$.

Lemma 10 (Coll et al. [2]) *If $G[U \cup V]$ is a balanced bipartite graph of order $2m$ with $\delta(G[U \cup V]) \geq \frac{3m}{4}$, then $G[U \cup V]$ is bipanconnected.*

Our next claim shows that any reasonably large subsets of B' induce bipanconnected subgraphs when paired with any corresponding subset of A .

Claim 4 *For all $m \geq \frac{n}{4k}$, if $U \subseteq A$ and $V \subseteq B'$ with $|U|, |V| \geq m$, then $U \cup V$ induces a bipanconnected subgraph of G .*

Proof of Claim 4 For $m \geq \frac{n}{4k}$, let U and V be subsets of A and B' respectively with $|U|, |V| \geq m$. Each vertex in U has at least $|V| - 2\lambda n > \frac{3|V|}{4}$ neighbors in V . Each vertex in B' misses at most $\frac{n}{16k}$ vertices in A so each vertex in V has degree at least $|U| - \frac{n}{16k} \geq \frac{3|U|}{4}$ into U . It follows from Lemma 10 (simply removing vertices from the larger set if the sets are not balanced) that $G[U \cup V]$ is bipanconnected. \square

Let $D = B \setminus B'$ so

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &\leq \max\{|B|\} - \min\{|B'|\} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda\right)n - \frac{n}{2}(1 - 16k\lambda) \\ &= (16k + 1)\lambda n. \end{aligned}$$

Let M be a maximum matching between D and A and let D' be the vertices of D that are not covered by edges of M . In particular, vertices in D' must have many edges to B , and therefore behave as if they are in A in the sense that they have almost all possible edges to B' . Let τ be the minimum number of edges in $B \setminus D'$ that must necessarily be used in order to ensure all path segments of the desired Hamiltonian cycle can be constructed as desired. Without concern for the placement of or specified vertices, τ would be $|B \setminus D'| - |A \cup D'|$. In order to obtain the correct distances between specified vertices, we must also consider the parity of the desired paths. More precisely, if we let $o(S)$ denote the number of desired path segments that must start and end at vertices in the set S , then we get

$$\tau = |B \setminus D'| - |A \cup D'| - o(B \setminus D') + o(A \cup D').$$

By definition, there are no edges from D' to $A \setminus V(M)$. This means that if we pick two vertices u and v in $A \setminus V(M)$, we get $d(u) + d(v) \leq 2|B \setminus D'|$ but when we assume $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$, this means

$$|B| - |A| - 2k + 2 \geq 2|D'|,$$

which implies that $\tau \geq k - 2 > 0$. When we are assuming $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n+k}{2}$, we know that $\deg(u) \leq |B \setminus D'|$ so

$$|B| + |A| + k \leq 2|B \setminus D'|,$$

which implies that $\tau \geq 0$.

Let D^* denote those vertices in $D \setminus D'$ that can be covered by a path (or a set of at most k paths if vertices of X are involved) that starts in A and ends in B' and uses an equal number of vertices from $B \setminus D'$ and $A \cup D'$. Choose such a path (or set of paths) that uses the smallest number of vertices outside D . Let D_0 be the remaining vertices in $D \setminus (D' \cup D^*)$. If we choose a vertex $u \in A$ with no neighbors to $D_0 \cup D'$ (note that such a vertex must exist by the definitions of these sets) and $v \in D_0$, we get

$$n + k \leq \deg(u) + \deg(v) \leq |B \setminus (D' \cup D_0)| + |B \setminus D'| - 1$$

which reduces down to $|D_0| \leq \tau$. Thus, we may assume that τ is rather large and it suffices to show that we are always able to use τ edges within B in constructing the desired path segments.

By definition, each vertex of $D \setminus D'$ can use either 1 or 2 edges within B in constructions. If τ is larger than $|D \setminus D'|$, the degree sum condition, applied to vertices in B , provides many edges within B , easily enough to find τ edges that can be used. Finally, applying Claim 4, we may construct each desired path starting at the corresponding selected vertex, with the prescribed length. We construct these paths in order from shortest to longest so that, when constructing the final path (of desired order at least $\frac{n}{k}$), there will certainly be enough vertices remaining to apply Claim 4. This completes the proofs of Lemmas 5 and 6. □

7 Proof of Lemmas 7 and 8

Assume $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$. We begin with a result ensuring that low connectivity in the reduced graph R results in at most two components after removal of a minimum cut set, which is an easy corollary of the corresponding lemma in [3].

Corollary 11 *Let $\epsilon, d > 0$ be small real numbers and k be a positive integer. If G is a graph with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$ and reduced graph R with connectivity at most 1, then R consists of only two components after removal of a minimum cut set.*

Fact 5 *Given small real numbers $\epsilon, d > 0$ and a positive integer k , let G be a graph of order $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \geq n(\epsilon, d, k)$ with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$ and $\delta(G) \geq \frac{nk}{8}$. Let G' be the subgraph of G from Lemma 1 and let E' be the set of edges that were removed from G to obtain G' . We replace the smallest matching M possible (from E' back into G') to recover the condition that $\kappa(G') \geq 2k$. Since the reduced R graph of G' is assumed to have connectivity at most 1, let $D \subset V(G')$ be the cluster corresponding to a cut vertex of R . (If R contains no cut vertices, then $D = \emptyset$.) Let V_0 be the garbage cluster of G' resulting from Lemma 1, and let C be a minimum cut set of G' . By Lemma 1, each vertex of R corresponds to a cluster in G' of order $L \leq \epsilon n$. Since there is a cut*

set C^* with $C^* \subseteq D \cup V_0 \cup V(M)$, we have $2k \leq |C| \leq |D| + |V_0| + 2k \leq 3\epsilon n$. By Corollary 11, we may define A and B to be the two components of $G' \setminus C$ and write $G' = A \cup C \cup B$. It immediately follows from $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$ that $\sigma_2(G') \geq n + 2k - 2 - 2(d + 3\epsilon)n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G'[A]) &> |A| - |C| - 2(d + 3\epsilon)n \geq |A| - 2(d + 4\epsilon)n, \\ \delta(G'[B]) &> |B| - |C| - 2(d + 3\epsilon)n \geq |B| - 2(d + 4\epsilon)n. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

From the assumption that $\delta(G) \geq \frac{nk}{8} \geq \frac{n}{2k}$ (Lemma 4), we know $|A|, |B| \geq \frac{nk}{8} - |C| - 2(d + 3\epsilon)n > \frac{n}{8(k+1)}$.

While panconnected sets give paths of arbitrary length, only the endpoints are specified. Hence, to create disjoint paths of arbitrary length, we must create sets using vertices that are not part of an already existing desired path. Fortunately, even small subsets of A and B induce panconnected graphs.

Lemma 11 *Let ϵ, d, k , and $G' = A \cup C \cup B$ be defined as in Fact 5. Then the induced graph on any subgraph of A or B of order at least $4(d + 4\epsilon)n$ is panconnected.*

Proof We see from (1) that $\delta(G'[A]) > |A| - 2(d + 4\epsilon)n$. Then for all $U \subseteq A$ of order at least $4(d + 4\epsilon)n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G'[U]) &\geq |U| - 2(d + 4\epsilon)n + 1 \\ &\geq \frac{|U| + 2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 10, the graph $G'[U]$ is panconnected. A symmetric argument shows that if $U \subseteq B$ has order at least $4(d + 4\epsilon)n$, then $G'[U]$ is panconnected. \square

With this information, we prove the following lemma which completes the proof of Lemma 8.

Lemma 12 *Given small real numbers $\epsilon, d > 0$ and a positive integer k , let G be a graph of order $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \geq n(\epsilon, d, k)$ with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + 2k - 2$ and $\delta(G) \geq \frac{nk}{8}$. If $\kappa(R) \leq 1$, then the conclusion of Theorem 6 holds.*

Proof Suppose $\kappa(R) \leq 1$, and let $G' = A \cup C \cup B$ as in Fact 5. As noted before (1), we know $2k \leq |C| \leq 3\epsilon n$. As noted after (1), we know $|A|, |B| > \frac{n}{8(k+1)}$. Since C is a minimum cut set, for each vertex $c \in C$, we may reserve two unique neighbors $a_c \in A \setminus X$ and $b_c \in B \setminus X$. Call $A_C = \{a_c \in A \setminus X \mid c \in C\}$ (symmetrically $B_C = \{b_c \in B \setminus X \mid c \in C\}$) the set of proxy vertices in A (symmetrically B). Then we have

$$|C| = |A_C| = |B_C|.$$

Given vertices x and y , let an x - y -path be a path containing x and y as endpoints. Namely, each desired path P_i in G' is an x_i - x_{i+1} -path.

Our strategy is as follows, first we suppose that $G'[A]$ and $G'[B]$ are complete and create “shadows” of our desired paths with some simple properties. These shadows

represent where we would put our desired paths if $G'[A]$ and $G'[B]$ were really complete. Then we use Lemma 11 to create the desired paths, based on the shadows, in $G'[A]$ and $G'[B]$.

First suppose that $G'[A]$ and $G'[B]$ are complete. If $|C|$ is even, build paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k (of the Hamiltonian cycle) such that each time a path visits a vertex in C , the path passes from $A \setminus A_C$, to A_C , to C , to B_C and then to $B \setminus B_C$ (or the opposite direction) and furthermore, all except at most one path segment of P_i in $G'[A]$ and one path segment of P_i in $G'[B]$ have length 2 for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $|C|$ is odd, we first move one vertex of $C \setminus X \neq \emptyset$ to either A or B (this vertex must have many edges to at least one of A or B) and then create the Hamiltonian cycle as above. Let P_i^A and P_i^B denote the segments $P_i \cap G'[A]$ and $P_i \cap G'[B]$ respectively.

Arrange the set of path segments $\{P_1^A, \dots, P_t^A\}$ of the shadows in nondecreasing order, $|P_1^A| \leq \dots \leq |P_t^A|$, and suppose P_i^A is a (v_i, v_i') -path where $v_i, v_i' \in A$. By construction we have $2 \leq |P_i^A|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Back in the original graph G' , our goal is to construct path segments with same lengths and end vertices as P_i^A for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Since $|A| > \frac{n}{8(k+1)} > 4(d + 4\epsilon)n$, using Lemma 11, we can build a v_1-v_1' -path of order $|P_1^A|$. We inductively construct the remaining path segments in $G'[A]$ with the following claim. Here we let A^* denote the vertices in $A \setminus A_C$ that have not already been used on a path segment. □

Claim 5 *After constructing P_1^A, \dots, P_{t-1}^A , there are at least $4(d + 4\epsilon)n$ vertices remaining in A^* to apply Lemma 11 and create P_t^A .*

Proof of Claim 5 By construction, at most k paths of P_i^A have length greater than 2. Thus, we get $|P_t^A| \geq \frac{|A| - 2(t-k)}{k}$. Also, $t \leq |C| + k$ because each path segment in A connects two vertices in $X \cup A_C$. Therefore, $|P_t^A| \geq \frac{|A| - 2(|C|)}{k}$ and since $A \geq \frac{n}{8(k+1)}$, we have $\frac{|A| - 2(|C|)}{k} \geq \frac{n}{8k(k+1)} - \frac{2(|C|)}{k} > 4(d + 4\epsilon)n$ as desired. □

By Claim 5, we can create all desired paths in $G'[A]$ based on their shadows. Similarly, we can create the desired paths in $G'[B]$. Using corresponding vertices of C to connect the constructed paths yields the desired Hamiltonian cycle.

By same argument as proof of Lemma 8 we can prove Lemma 7. The only additional case occurs when $\kappa(G) < 2k$. In this case, the sets A and B are almost complete and we can create the desired Hamiltonian cycle trivially using Lemma 11 and an analogue to Lemma 12 within A and B .

References

1. Chartrand, G., Lesniak, L., Zhang, P.: Graphs & Digraphs, 5th edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2011)
2. Coll, V., Halperin, A., Magnant, C.: Graph linkage with prescribed lengths (submitted)
3. Coll, V., Halperin, A., Magnant, C., Salehi Nowbandegani, P.: Enomoto and Ota's conjecture holds for large graphs (manuscript)
4. Diestel, R.: Graph theory. In: Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 173, 4th edn. Springer, Heidelberg (2010)
5. Enomoto, H.: Personal communication
6. Faudree, R.J., Gould, R.J.: Precise location of vertices on Hamiltonian cycles. Discrete Math. **313**(23), 2772–2777 (2013)

7. Faudree, R.J., Gould, R.J., Jacobson, M.S., Magnant, C.: Distributing vertices on Hamiltonian cycles. *J. Graph Theory* **69**(1), 28–45 (2012)
8. Faudree, R.J., Lehel, J., Yoshimoto, K.: Note on locating pairs of vertices on Hamiltonian cycles. *Graphs Combin.* **30**(4), 887–894 (2014)
9. Faudree, R.J., Li, H.: Locating pairs of vertices on Hamiltonian cycles. *Discrete Math.* **312**(17), 2700–2706 (2012)
10. Kaneko, A., Yoshimoto, K.: On a Hamiltonian cycle in which specified vertices are uniformly distributed. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **81**(1), 100–109 (2001)
11. Komlós, J., Sárközy, G.N., Szemerédi, E.: Blow-up lemma. *Combinatorica* **17**(1), 109–123 (1997)
12. Kühn, D., Osthus, D., Treglown, A.: An Ore-type theorem for perfect packings in graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **23**(3), 1335–1355 (2009)
13. Magnant, C., Salehi Nowbandegani, P.: Note on lengths of cycles containing a specified edge (manuscript)
14. Ore, O.: Note on Hamilton circuits. *Am. Math. Mon.* **67**, 55 (1960)
15. Sárközy, G.N., Selkow, S.: Distributing vertices along a Hamiltonian cycle in Dirac graphs. *Discrete Math.* **308**(23), 5757–5770 (2008)
16. Szemerédi, E.: Regular partitions of graphs. In: *Problèmes combinatoires et théorie des graphes (Colloquium International CNRS, University of Orsay, Orsay, 1976)*, vol. 260. Colloquium International, CNRS, pp. 399–401. CNRS, Paris (1978)
17. Williamson, J.E.: Panconnected graphs. II. *Period. Math. Hung.* **8**(2), 105–116 (1977)