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#### Abstract

Seymour conjectured for a fixed integer $k \geq 2$ that if $G$ is a graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq k n /(k+1)$, then $G$ contains the $k$ th power $C_{n}^{k}$ of a Hamiltonian cycle $C_{n}$ of $G$, and this minimum degree condition is sharp. Earlier the $k=2$ case was conjectured by Pósa. This was verified by Komlós et al. [4]. For $s \geq 3$, a graph is $K_{1, s}-$ free if it does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1, s}$. Such graphs will be referred to as generalized clawfree graphs. Minimum degree conditions that imply that a generalized claw-free graph $G$ of sufficiently large order $n$ contains a $k$ th power of a Hamiltonian cycle will be proved. More specifically, it will be shown that for any $\epsilon>0$ and for $n$ sufficiently large, any $K_{1, s}-$ free graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq(1 / 2+\epsilon) n$ contains a $C_{n}^{k}$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider only graphs without loops or multiple edges. We let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the sets of vertices and edges of $G$, respectively. The order of $G$, usually denoted by $n$, is $|V(G)|$ and the size of $G$ is $|E(G)|$. For any vertex $v$ in $G$, let $N(v)$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to $v$ and $N[v]=N(v) \cup v$. The degree $d(v)$ of a vertex $v$ is $|N(v)|$, and we let $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ denote the minimum and maximum degrees of a vertex in $G$, respectively. Given subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}, E\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ will denote the edges between $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. The notation will generally follow that in Chartrand and Lesniak [1].

Let $G$ and $H$ be graphs. We say that $G$ is $H$-free if $H$ is not an induced subgraph of $G$. More specifically, we are interested in $K_{1, s}$-free graphs for $s \geq 3$, which we will call generalized claw-free graphs. We are interested in determining the minimum degree $\delta(G)$ in a $K_{1, s}$ free graph $G$ of order $n$ which implies that the $k$ th power $C_{n}^{k}$ of a Hamiltonian cycle is present in $G$.

Seymour [7] conjectured for a fixed integer $k \geq 2$ that if $G$ is a graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq k n /(k+1)$, then $G$ contains the $k$ th power $C_{n}^{k}$ of a Hamiltonian cycle $C_{n}$ of $G$, and this minimum degree condition is sharp. The special case $k=2$ was conjectured earlier by Pósa [6]. This was verified by Komlós et al. [4].

Theorem 1 ([4]). For a fixed integer $k \geq 2$, any graph $G$ of sufficiently large order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq k n /(k+1)$ contains a $C_{n}^{k}$. Also, the minimum degree condition is sharp.

The following result for generalized claw-free graphs will be proved.
Theorem 2. Let $k \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$ be fixed integers. For any given $\epsilon>0$ there is a constant $c=c(k, s, \epsilon)$ such that if $G$ is a $K_{1, s}-$ free graph of order $n \geq c$ with $\delta(G) \geq(1 / 2+\epsilon) n$, then $G$ contains a $C_{n}^{k}$.
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## 2. Examples

The complete $t$-partite graph with partite sets of order $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{t}$ will be denoted by $K_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{t}}$. For a fixed positive integer $k \geq 2$ and $n$ divisible by $k+1$, the slightly unbalanced complete multipartite graph $G=$ $K_{n /(k+1)+1, n /(k+1)-1, n /(k+1), \ldots, n /(k+1)}$ does not contain a $C_{n}^{k}$ and $\delta(G)=k n /(k+1)-1$. This verifies that the result of Komlós et al. [4] is sharp.

A graph being generalized claw-free places additional restrictions on the graph, and so possibly a lower minimum degree condition will imply the existence of powers of a Hamiltonian cycle. For example, the complete multipartite graph has many induced generalized claws.

For a fixed $k \geq 2$ consider the graph $G=K_{2 k-1}+\left(K_{(n-2 k+1) / 2} \cup K_{(n-2 k+1) / 2}\right)$ for $n$ odd. The graph $G$ is $K_{1,3}$-free (claw-free) and $\delta(G)=(n+2 k-3) / 2$. There is no $C_{n}^{k}$ in $G$, since the vertex cut that separates two (nonadjacent) vertices of a $C_{n}^{k}$ contains at least $2 k$ vertices. Thus, at least $\delta(G) \geq n / 2+c$ will be needed to imply the existence of a power of a Hamiltonian cycle.

## 3. Proof

Before giving the proof of Theorem 2, some notation and critical results must be presented. In a series of two papers [2,3], results on cycles and factorizations in claw-free graphs and in generalized claw-free graphs with minimum degree conditions were proved. In each case a minimum degree condition of approximately $n / 2$ in a graph of order $n$ is sufficient to give a factorization into complete graphs. If a graph $G$ of order $n$ contains the $k$ th power $C_{n}^{k}$ of a Hamiltonian cycle, it certainly contains a factorization of complete graphs $K_{k+1}$ if $n$ is divisible by $k+1$.

Theorem 3 ([2]). If $G$ is a claw-free graph of sufficiently large order $n=3 k$ with $\delta(G) \geq n / 2$, then $G$ contains $k$ disjoint triangles.
Theorem 4 ([3]). Let $m \geq 4$ and $s \geq 3$. If $G$ is a $K_{1, s}$-free graph of sufficiently large order $n=r m$, then there is a $c=c(s, m)$ such that if $\delta(G) \geq n / 2+c$, then $G$ contains $r$ disjoint copies of $K_{m}$.

Given a graph $H$, the extremal number $\operatorname{ext}(n, H)$ is the maximal number of edges in a graph of order $n$ that does not contain $H$ as a subgraph. The following result of Kővari et al. gives a bound on the extremal number ext ( $n, K_{p, q}$ ) for the complete bipartite graph $K_{p, q}$.

Theorem 5 ([5]). Let $p \leq q$ be positive integers. Then, there exists $a c^{\prime}=c^{\prime}(p, q)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{ext}\left(n, K_{p, q}\right) \leq c^{\prime}(p, q) n^{2-1 / p}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Select an integer $m \geq 6 k$ and $m$ sufficiently large. We will first consider the case where $n$ is divisible by $m$. By Theorem 4, there are $r=n / m$ vertex disjoint copies of $K_{m}$ in $G$ if $n \geq c=c(s, k, m)$. Label these $r$ copies of $K_{m}$ as $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{r}$.

Claim. For each $H_{i}$, there are at least $\lceil r / 2\rceil$ different $H_{j}$ with $j \neq i$ such that $\left|E\left(H_{i}, H_{j}\right)\right|>c^{\prime \prime}(2 k, 2 k) m^{2-1 / 2 k}=c^{\prime}(2 k, 2 k)$ $(2 m)^{2-1 / 2 k}$. Therefore, by Theorem 5 there is a complete bipartite graph $K_{2 k, 2 k}$ between the vertices of $H_{i}$ and $H_{j}$.
Proof of Claim. Without loss of generality consider the graph $H_{1}$, and assume that the claim is not true. We can assume that between $H_{1}$ and each of the $H_{j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq d$ with $d \leq\lceil r / 2\rceil$ there are at least $c^{\prime}(2 k, 2 k) m^{2-1 / 2 k}$ edges, but this is not true for those $H_{j}$ with $j>d$. This implies

$$
m(1 / 2+\epsilon) n-m^{2}<\left|E\left(H_{1}, G-H_{1}\right)\right| \leq(d-1) m^{2}+(r-d) c^{\prime}(2 k, 2 k) m^{2-1 / 2 k}
$$

since there are at most $m^{2}$ edges between $H_{1}$ and $H_{j}$ for $j \leq d$ and at most $c^{\prime}(2 k, 2 k) m^{2-1 / 2 k}$ edges for the remaining $H_{j}$ for $j>d$. However, this implies

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)}{1-\frac{c^{\prime}}{m^{1 / 2 k}}}+\frac{\left(\epsilon-\frac{c^{\prime}}{m^{1 / 2 k}}\right)\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)}{1-\frac{c^{\prime}}{m^{1 / 2 k}}}<d
$$

Thus, for $m$ sufficiently large and $n=m r$, clearly $d>\lceil r / 2\rceil=\lceil n / 2 m\rceil$.
Now, form a new graph $F$ in which the vertices of the graph $F$ are the graphs $H_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$, and there is an edge between an $H_{i}$ and an $H_{j}$ if there are more than $c^{\prime}(2 k, 2 k) m^{2-1 / 2 k}$ edges in $G$ between $H_{i}$ and $H_{j}$. Thus, the graph $F$ has $r=n / m$ vertices, and by the claim, $\delta(F) \geq r / 2$. Thus, $H$ is a Hamiltonian graph by Dirac's Theorem.

The complete graphs $\left\{H_{i}:(1 \leq i \leq r)\right\}$ can be placed in cycle order, say $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{r}, H_{1}\right)$, such that there is a complete bipartite graph $K_{2 k, 2 k}$ between consecutive complete graphs $H_{j}$ and $H_{j+1}$. Thus, between consecutive complete graphs $H_{j}$ and $H_{j+1}$, vertex disjoint complete bipartite graphs $K_{k, k}$ can be selected. Therefore, a Hamiltonian cycle $C_{n}$ can be chosen in $G$ by using the order of the graphs $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{r}, H_{1}\right)$ and an arbitrary ordering of the vertices in each $H_{i}$ except
that the first $k$ vertices are part of the $K_{k, k}$ with $H_{i-1}$ and the last $k$ vertices are part of the $K_{k, k}$ with $H_{i+1}$. This results in a $k$ th power of a Hamiltonian cycle $C_{n}^{k}$.

The previous calculations were done under the assumption that $m$ divides $n$. If this is not true, then it is easily seen that one of the complete graphs $H_{i}$ can be selected to have $m+t$ vertices for some $1 \leq t<m$, and the same argument applies to the collection of $\left\{H_{i}:(1 \leq i \leq r)\right\}$. this follows since only the constant in the bound on the extremal result for bipartite graphs would change with the change in the size of one $H_{i}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 4. Questions

The most natural open question is the following:
Question 1. What is the sharp minimum degree condition that implies that a $K_{1, s}$-free graph of order $n$ contains the $k$ th power $C_{n}^{k}$ of a Hamiltonian cycle?

It would be of interest to determine whether the weaker question could be answered.
Question 2. Is there a minimum degree condition of the form $\delta(G) \geq n / 2+o(n)$, or more specifically a condition of the form $\delta(G) \geq n / 2+c$, that implies that a $K_{1, s}$-free graph of order $n$ contains the $k$ th power $C_{n}^{k}$ of a Hamiltonian cycle?
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