

## LOCALLY SEMICOMPLETE DIGRAPHS WITH A FACTOR COMPOSED OF $k$ CYCLES

RONALD J. GOULD<sup>†</sup> AND YUBAO GUO<sup>‡</sup>

ABSTRACT. A digraph is locally semicomplete if for every vertex  $x$ , the set of in-neighbors as well as the set of out-neighbors of  $x$  induce semicomplete digraphs. Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -connected locally semicomplete digraph with  $k \geq 3$  and  $\bar{g}$  denote the length of a longest induced cycle of  $D$ . It is shown that if  $D$  has at least  $7(k-1)\bar{g}$  vertices, then  $D$  has a factor composed of  $k$  cycles; furthermore, if  $D$  is semicomplete and with at least  $5k+1$  vertices, then  $D$  has a factor composed of  $k$  cycles and one of the cycles is of length at most 5. Our results generalize those of [3] for tournaments to locally semicomplete digraphs.

### 1. Introduction

A subdigraph of a digraph  $D$  is called a *factor* if it contains all vertices of  $D$ . If a factor of  $D$  is composed of  $k$  vertex-disjoint cycles and each of the  $k$  cycles is of length at least 3, then we say that it is a  *$k$ -cycles-factor* or a *factor composed of  $k$  cycles*. Two cycles in a 2-cycles-factor of  $D$  are called *complementary cycles* in  $D$ .

Reid [8] proved that every 2-connected tournament on  $n \geq 6$  vertices contains two complementary cycles of lengths 3 and  $n-3$  respectively, unless it is isomorphic to a tournament on 7 vertices which contains no transitive subtournament on 4 vertices. With this statement as the basic

---

Received July 8, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C20, 05C38.

Key words and phrases: cycle, factor, strong connectivity, locally semicomplete digraph.

<sup>†</sup>Research partially supported under O.N.R. grant # N00014-97-1-0499.

<sup>‡</sup>The author is supported by a grant from “Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft” as an associate member of “Graduiertenkolleg: Analyse und Konstruktion in der Mathematik” at RWTH Aachen. This work was done when the author visited Emory University in 1998.

step, Song [9] proved by induction that with the same exception, every 2-connected tournament on  $n \geq 6$  vertices contains complementary cycles of all lengths  $k$  and  $n - k$  for  $k = 3, 4, \dots, n - 3$ .

For the general case, Bollobás posed the following problem:

**PROBLEM 1.1.** *If  $k$  is a positive integer, what is the least integer  $f(k)$  so that all but a finite number of  $f(k)$ -connected tournaments have a  $k$ -cycles-factor ?*

Recently, Chen, Gould and Li [3] proved that every  $k$ -connected tournament with at least  $8k$  vertices has a  $k$ -cycles-factor.

In 1990, Bang-Jensen [1] introduced a very interesting generalization of tournaments – the class of locally semicomplete digraphs. A digraph is *semicomplete* if for any two distinct vertices, there is at least one arc between them. A digraph is *locally semicomplete* if for every vertex  $x$ , the set of in-neighbors as well as the set of out-neighbors of  $x$  induce semicomplete digraphs. A locally semicomplete digraph without a cycle of length 2 is called a *local tournament*.

It is clear that the class of locally semicomplete digraphs is a superclass of that of tournaments. The results about complementary cycles in 2-connected tournaments have been completely generalized to locally semicomplete digraphs in [5] and [6], respectively.

In [4], a similar problem to Problem 1.1 was posted for locally semicomplete digraphs, and another problem, similar to that of Song [9] for tournaments, is the following:

**PROBLEM 1.2 ([4]).** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer. What is the least integer  $h(k)$  such that all but a finite number of  $h(k)$ -connected locally semicomplete digraphs  $D$  have a factor composed of  $k$  cycles of lengths  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  respectively, where  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  are any  $k$  integers each of which is not less than the length of a longest induced cycle of  $D$  ?*

Problem 1.2 has been completely solved in [6] for  $k = 2$  and it was shown that  $h(2) = 2$ . As yet we have not seen any results about the general case for Problem 1.2. In this paper, we solve Problem 1.2 completely for a special case, when a locally semicomplete digraph is round-decomposable (see Corollary 4.2), and confirm the existence of a  $k$ -cycles-factor in some  $k$ -connected locally semicomplete digraphs. In particular, we show that every  $k$ -connected ( $k \geq 2$ ) semicomplete digraph with at least  $5k + 1$  vertices has a factor composed of  $k$  cycles such that one of which is of length at most 5. Our results generalize and improve that of [3] for tournaments (see Corollary 4.8).

## 2. Terminology and preliminaries

We denote by  $V(D)$  and  $E(D)$  the vertex set and the arc set of a digraph  $D$ , respectively. The subdigraph of  $D$  induced by a subset  $A$  of  $V(D)$  is denoted by  $D\langle A \rangle$ . In addition,  $D - A = D(V(D) - A)$ .

If  $xy$  is an arc of  $D$ , then we say that  $x$  *dominates*  $y$ . More generally, if  $A$  and  $B$  are two disjoint subdigraphs of  $D$  such that every vertex of  $A$  dominates every vertex of  $B$ , then we say that  $A$  *dominates*  $B$ , denoted by  $A \rightarrow B$ . In addition, if  $A \rightarrow B$ , but there is no arc from  $B$  to  $A$ , then we say that  $A$  *strictly dominates*  $B$ , denoted by  $A \Rightarrow B$ .

The *outset* of a vertex  $x \in V(D)$  is the set  $N^+(x) = \{y \mid xy \in E(D)\}$ . Similarly,  $N^-(x) = \{y \mid yx \in E(D)\}$  is the *inset* of  $x$ . More generally, for a subdigraph  $A$  of  $D$ , we define its *outset* by  $N^+(A) = \bigcup_{x \in V(A)} N^+(x) - A$  and its *inset* by  $N^-(A) = \bigcup_{x \in V(A)} N^-(x) - A$ . Every vertex of  $N^+(A)$  is called an *out-neighbor* of  $A$  and every vertex of  $N^-(A)$  is an *in-neighbor* of  $A$ .

The numbers  $d^+(x) = |N^+(x)|$  and  $d^-(x) = |N^-(x)|$  are called *out-degree* and *indegree* of  $x \in V(D)$ , respectively. If  $d^+(x) = d^-(x) = r$  holds for every vertex  $x$  of  $D$ , then we say that  $D$  is *r-regular*.

Paths and cycles in a digraph are always assumed to be directed. A cycle of length  $\ell$  is called an  $\ell$ -cycle. A digraph is said to be *connected*, if its underlying graph is connected.

A *strong component*  $H$  of  $D$  is a maximal subdigraph such that for any two vertices  $x, y \in V(H)$ , the subdigraph  $H$  contains a path from  $x$  to  $y$  and a path from  $y$  to  $x$ . The digraph  $D$  is *strong* or *strongly connected*, if it has only one strong component, and  $D$  is *k-connected* if  $|V(D)| \geq k+1$  and for any set  $A$  of at most  $k-1$  vertices, the subdigraph  $D - A$  is strong.

If  $D$  is strong and  $S$  is a subset of  $V(D)$  such that  $D - S$  is not strong, then we say that  $S$  is a *separating set* of  $D$ . A separating set  $S$  of  $D$  is *minimal* if for any proper subset  $S'$  of  $S$ , the subdigraph  $D - S'$  is strong.

Let  $R$  be a digraph on  $r$  vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r$  and let  $L_1, \dots, L_r$  be a collection of digraphs. Then  $R[L_1, \dots, L_r]$  is the new digraph obtained from  $R$  by replacing each vertex  $v_i$  of  $R$  with  $L_i$  and adding an arc from every vertex of  $L_i$  to every vertex of  $L_j$  if and only if  $v_i v_j$  is an arc of  $R$  ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$ ). Note that if we have  $D = R[L_1, \dots, L_r]$ , then  $R, L_1, \dots, L_r$  are subdigraphs of  $D$ .

A digraph on  $n$  vertices is *round* if we can label its vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$  so that for each  $i$ ,  $N^+(v_i) = \{v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{i+d^+(v_i)}\}$  and  $N^-(v_i) = \{v_{i-d^-(v_i)}, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$  (modulo  $n$ ).

A locally semicomplete digraph  $D$  is *round-decomposable* if there exists a round local tournament  $R$  on  $r \geq 2$  vertices such that  $D = R[S_1, \dots, S_r]$ , where each  $S_i$  is a strong semicomplete subdigraph or a single vertex of  $D$ . We call  $R[S_1, \dots, S_r]$  a *round decomposition* of  $D$ .

### 3. Structure of locally semicomplete digraphs

We begin with the structure of non-strong locally semicomplete digraphs.

**THEOREM 3.1** ([1]). *Let  $D$  be a connected locally semicomplete digraph that is not strong. Then the following holds:*

- (a) *If  $A$  and  $B$  are two strong components of  $D$ , then either there is no arc between them or  $A \Rightarrow B$  or  $B \Rightarrow A$ .*
- (b) *If  $A$  and  $B$  are two strong components of  $D$  such that  $A$  dominates  $B$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are both semicomplete digraphs.*
- (c) *The strong components of  $D$  can be ordered in a unique way  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_p$  such that there are no arcs from  $D_j$  to  $D_i$  for  $j > i$ , and  $D_i$  dominates  $D_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$ .*

The unique sequence  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_p$  of the strong components of  $D$  in Theorem 3.1 (c) is called the *strong decomposition* of  $D$  with *initial component*  $D_1$  and *terminal component*  $D_p$ .

**THEOREM 3.2** ([5]). *Let  $D$  be a connected locally semicomplete digraph that is not strong and let  $D_1, \dots, D_p$  be the strong decomposition of  $D$ . Then  $D$  can be decomposed in  $r \geq 2$  subdigraphs  $D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_r$  as follows:*

$$D'_1 = D_p, \quad \lambda_1 = p,$$

$$\lambda_{i+1} = \min\{j \mid N^+(D_j) \cap V(D'_i) \neq \emptyset\},$$

and

$$D'_{i+1} = D(V(D_{\lambda_{i+1}}) \cup V(D_{\lambda_{i+1}+1}) \cup \dots \cup V(D_{\lambda_i-1})).$$

Furthermore, the subdigraphs  $D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_r$  satisfy the following:

- (a)  $D'_i$  consists of some strong components of  $D$  and it is semicomplete for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ;
- (b)  $D'_{i+1}$  dominates the initial component of  $D'_i$  and there exists no arc from  $D'_i$  to  $D'_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ ;

- (c) if  $r \geq 3$ , then there is no arc between  $D'_i$  and  $D'_j$  for  $i, j$  satisfying  $|j - i| \geq 2$ .

The unique sequence  $D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_r$  defined in Theorem 3.2 is called the *semicomplete decomposition* of  $D$ .

The following classification of locally semicomplete digraphs was given in [2].

**THEOREM 3.3** ([2]). *Let  $D$  be a connected locally semicomplete digraph. Then exactly one of the following possibilities holds.*

- (a)  $D$  is round-decomposable and it has a unique round decomposition  $R[D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\alpha]$ , where  $R$  is a round local tournament on  $\alpha \geq 2$  vertices and  $D_i$  is a strong semicomplete digraph for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$ ;
- (b)  $D$  is not round-decomposable and not semicomplete.
- (c)  $D$  is a semicomplete digraph which is not round-decomposable.

**PROPOSITION 3.4** ([2]). *Let  $R[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_\alpha]$  be a round decomposition of a strong locally semicomplete digraph  $D$ . Then, for every minimal separating set  $S$  of  $D$ , there are two integers  $i$  and  $k \geq 0$  such that  $S = V(H_i) \cup \dots \cup V(H_{i+k})$ .*

The following lemma is a partial result of Lemma 3.5 from [2] for locally semicomplete digraphs that are not round-decomposable.

**LEMMA 3.5.** *If a strong locally semicomplete digraph  $D$  is not semicomplete and not round-decomposable, then there exists a minimal separating set  $S \subset V(D)$  such that  $D - S$  is not semicomplete. Furthermore, if  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_p$  is the strong decomposition and  $D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_r$  is the semicomplete decomposition of  $D - S$ , then  $r = 3$ ,  $D \langle S \rangle$  is semicomplete and we have  $D_p \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow D_1$ .*

**DEFINITION 3.6.** Let  $D$  be a strongly connected locally semicomplete digraph. The *quasi-girth*  $g(D)$  (or  $g$  if no confusion can arise) of  $D$  is defined as follows: If  $D$  is round-decomposable and it has a round decomposition  $D = R[D_1, D_2, \dots, D_\alpha]$ , then  $g(D)$  is the length of a shortest cycle in  $R$ ; if  $D$  is not round-decomposable, then  $g(D) = 3$ .

We denote the length of a longest induced cycle of  $D$  by  $\bar{g}(D)$ , or  $\bar{g}$  if no confusion can arise.

**REMARK 3.7.** It is not difficult to check that  $\bar{g} \leq 2g + 1$  holds and every shortest cycle through a given vertex is of length at most  $\bar{g} + 1$  for every strongly connected locally semicomplete digraph.

LEMMA 3.8 ([4]). *Let  $D$  be a strongly connected locally semicomplete digraph that is not round-decomposable. Then every induced cycle of  $D$  has a length at most 4, i.e.,  $\bar{g}(D) \leq 4$ .*

We end this section with the well-known theorem of Moon.

THEOREM 3.9 ([7]). *Every vertex of a strongly connected semicomplete digraph on  $n \geq 3$  vertices is in a  $t$ -cycle for  $t = 3, 4, \dots, n$ .*

### 4. Main results

We confirm at first the existence of a  $k$ -cycles-factor in locally semicomplete digraphs that are round-decomposable.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $D$  be a round-decomposable,  $k$ -connected locally semicomplete digraph with  $n \geq 2(k - 1)g$  vertices. Then  $D$  contains a  $g$ -cycle  $C$  such that  $D - V(C)$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $R[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_\alpha]$  be a round decomposition of  $D$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the set of all  $g$ -cycles in  $R$  and for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , put

$$f(C) := \left| \left\{ S \mid \begin{array}{l} S \text{ is a minimum separating set} \\ \text{of } D \text{ with } |S - V(C)| \leq k - 2 \end{array} \right\} \right|.$$

We choose an element  $C_1 = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_g v_1$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $f(C_1) = \min\{f(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . If  $f(C_1) = 0$ , then  $D - V(C_1)$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected and we are done. So, we assume that  $f(C_1) \geq 1$ . Let  $S_1$  be a minimum separating set of  $D$  such that  $|S_1 - V(C_1)| \leq k - 2$ . Since  $D$  is  $k$ -connected,  $S_1$  contains at least 2 vertices of  $C_1$ . By Proposition 3.4, we may assume without loss of generality that  $S_1 = V(H_1) \cup V(H_2) \cup \cdots \cup V(H_t)$ . Of course,  $H_\alpha \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow H_{t+1}$  and  $D\langle S_1 \rangle$  is semicomplete. Since  $C_1$  is an induced cycle in  $R$ ,  $S_1$  contains exactly two vertices from  $C_1$  which are adjacent in  $C_1$ . This implies that  $D$  is not  $(k + 1)$ -connected, and hence, every minimum separating set of  $D$  contains exactly  $k$  vertices.

Let  $v_i \in V(H_{\beta_i})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$  and assume without loss of generality that  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are in  $S_1$ , this means that  $1 \leq \beta_1 < \beta_2 \leq t$ .

It is clear that there is an integer  $\beta'_2 > \beta_2$  such that

$$\sum_{j=\beta_1+1}^{\beta'_2-1} |V(H_j)| \leq k - 1, \quad \text{but} \quad \sum_{j=\beta_1+1}^{\beta'_2} |V(H_j)| \geq k.$$

Since  $D$  is  $k$ -connected, we have  $v_1 \rightarrow H_{\beta'_2}$  and  $\beta'_2 < \beta_3$ . Let  $v'_2$  be a vertex of  $H_{\beta'_2}$ . Clearly,  $v'_2 \rightarrow v_3$ . So, the new cycle  $C_2 = v_1 v'_2 v_3 \cdots v_g v_1$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $v_1$  and  $v'_2$  can not belong to a common minimum separating set of  $D$ , it is easy to see that if  $D$  has no minimum separating set containing the two vertices  $v'_2$  and  $v_3$ , then  $f(C_2) < f(C_1)$ , a contradiction to the choice of  $C_1$ . Hence, there is a minimum separating set  $S_2$  with  $\{v'_2, v_3\} \subseteq S_2$ . It follows that  $|V(H_{\beta'_2})| \leq k - 1$ .

In general, if we have a  $g$ -cycle  $C_i = v_1 v'_2 \cdots v'_i v_{i+1} \cdots v_g v_1$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $v'_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  must belong to a common minimum separating set of  $D$ , then we can consider another  $g$ -cycle  $C_{i+1} = v_1 v'_2 \cdots v'_i v'_{i+1} v_{i+2} \cdots v_g v_1$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $v'_{i+1} \in H_{\beta'_{i+1}}$ , where  $\beta'_{i+1}$  is an integer satisfying

$$\sum_{j=\beta'_i+1}^{\beta'_{i+1}-1} |V(H_j)| \leq k - 1, \quad |V(H_{\beta'_{i+1}})| \leq k - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=\beta'_{i+1}}^{\beta'_{i+1}} |V(H_j)| \geq k.$$

Finally, we consider  $C_g$  from  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\beta'_1 = \beta_1$ . It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=\beta'_1+1}^{\beta'_g} |V(H_\ell)| &= \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=\beta'_i+1}^{\beta'_{i+1}-1} |V(H_j)| \right) + |V(H_{\beta'_{i+1}})| \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} [(k - 1) + (k - 1)] = 2(k - 1)(g - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $D$  has at least  $2(k - 1)g$  vertices, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=\beta'_g+1}^{\alpha} |V(H_j)| + \sum_{i=1}^{\beta'_1} |V(H_i)| &= |V(D)| - \sum_{\ell=\beta'_1+1}^{\beta'_g} |V(H_\ell)| \\ &\geq 2(k - 1)g - 2(k - 1)(g - 1) \\ &= 2(k - 1) \geq k \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \geq 2$ . It follows that there is no minimum separating set of  $D$  which contains both  $v'_g$  and  $v_1$ . Therefore,  $f(C_g) = 0$ , a contradiction. □

Note that a 2-regular, round local tournament with  $n = 2(m + 1) - 1$  vertices is 2-connected, but it has no cycle whose removal leaves a strongly connected digraph, since a shortest cycle in it is of length  $m + 1$ . So, the condition in Theorem 4.1 is best possible in some sense. As an immediate consequence we have the following result:

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $D$  be a round-decomposable,  $k$ -connected locally semicomplete digraph on  $n \geq 2(k - 1)\bar{g}$  vertices. Then, for any  $k$*

integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq \bar{g}$  with  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = n$ ,  $D$  has a factor composed of  $k$  cycles  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$  such that  $C_i$  is of length  $n_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R_1[H_1^1, H_2^1, \dots, H_{\alpha_1}^1]$  be a round decomposition of  $D_1 = D$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $R_1$  contains a  $g(D_1)$ -cycle  $C'_1$  such that  $D_2 = D_1 - V(C'_1)$  is  $(k-1)$ -connected. Clearly,  $D_2$  is round-decomposable.

Let  $R_2[H_1^2, H_2^2, \dots, H_{\alpha_2}^2]$  be a round decomposition of  $D_2$ . It is easy to see that  $g(D_2) \leq \bar{g}(D_1)$ . By Theorem 4.1 again, we get a  $g(D_2)$ -cycle  $C'_2$  such that  $D_2 - V(C'_2)$  is  $(k-2)$ -connected. Note that  $C'_2$  is a cycle in  $R_1$ .

Successively, we obtain  $k$  cycles  $C'_1, C'_2, \dots, C'_k$ , each of which is a cycle in  $R_1$  and of length at most  $\bar{g}(D)$ . Since every vertex in  $D$  has at least one positive and one negative neighbor in  $C'_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , any  $n_i - |V(C'_i)|$  vertices in  $D - (V(C'_1) \cup \dots \cup V(C'_k))$  can be inserted into  $C'_i$  to form an  $n_i$ -cycle  $C_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Thus,  $D$  contains a required factor.  $\square$

In the following, we confirm the existence of a  $k$ -cycles-factor in locally semicomplete digraphs that are not round-decomposable.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -connected locally semicomplete digraph with  $n \geq 20(k-1)$  vertices that is not round-decomposable. If  $D$  is not semicomplete, then it has a factor composed of  $k$  cycles, and at least  $(k-2)$  of them are of length at most 4.*

*Proof.* Since  $D$  is not semicomplete, it has the properties as described in Lemma 3.5. Let  $S$  be a minimal separating set of  $D$  such that  $D-S$  is not semicomplete, and let  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_p$  be the strong decomposition and  $D'_1, D'_2, D'_3$  be the semicomplete decomposition of  $D-S$ , respectively. We denote the initial component of  $D'_2$  by  $D_\lambda$ .

Since  $D'_2$  also contains a minimal separating set  $S'$  such that  $D-S'$  is not semicomplete, we may assume that  $S$  has been chosen such that  $|S| \leq |V(D'_2)|$ . In addition, we assume that  $|V(D_1)| \geq |V(D_p)|$  (otherwise, we consider the converse digraph of  $D$ , which is obtained by replacing every arc  $xy$  of  $D$  with  $yx$ ).

**Claim (\*)**  $D$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint cycles  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ , each of which is of length at least 3, and  $C_1, C_2$  satisfy one of the following conditions:

- 1)  $V(C_1) \cap V(D'_i) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ;
- 2)  $V(C_1) \cap V(D'_i) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 2, 3$ ,  $V(C_1) \cap V(D'_1) = \emptyset$ , and  $V(C_2) \cap V(D'_j) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $V(C_2) \cap V(D'_3) = \emptyset$ .



*Proof.* At first, we consider the case when  $|V(D_1)| \geq k$ . Since  $D$  is  $k$ -connected, there are  $k$  vertex-disjoint paths from  $D_1$  to  $S$ . Because of  $S \Rightarrow D_1$ , such  $k$  paths and the arcs from  $S$  to  $D_1$  constitute  $k$  vertex-disjoint cycles  $C'_1, C'_2, \dots, C'_k$ , each of which is of length at least 3. If none of them contains a vertex from  $D'_1$ , then it is easy to see that we can insert a vertex of  $D'_1$  into  $C'_1$ . So, we may assume without loss of generality that  $C'_1$  contains a vertex from  $D'_1$ . Clearly,  $C'_1, C'_2, \dots, C'_k$  are  $k$  required cycles with respect to 1).

Now we consider the case when  $|V(D_1)| < k$ . Since  $D_1 \Rightarrow D_\lambda \Rightarrow D_p \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow D_1$ ,  $D$  contains a cycle  $C'_1 = d_3d_2d_1sd_3$  with  $s \in S$  and  $d_i \in V(D'_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $C'_2, \dots, C'_\alpha$  be a maximal selection of cycles of length at least 3 such that  $C'_1, C'_2, \dots, C'_\alpha$  are vertex-disjoint.

If  $\alpha \geq k$ , then  $C'_1, C'_2, \dots, C'_k$  are the required cycles with respect to 1).

Suppose now that  $\alpha < k$ . Since  $D'_2$  and  $D'_3$  both are semicomplete, the two subdigraphs

$$D''_3 = D'_3 - (V(C'_1) \cup V(C'_2) \cup V(C'_3) \cup \dots \cup V(C'_\alpha))$$

and

$$D''_2 = D'_2 - (V(C'_1) \cup V(C'_2) \cup V(C'_3) \cup \dots \cup V(C'_\alpha))$$

are semicomplete and do not contain any cycle of length more than 2. Since  $n \geq 20(k - 1)$ ,  $|V(D_1)| < k$ ,  $|V(D_p)| < k$ ,  $|S| \leq |V(D'_2)|$  and by Lemma 3.8,  $|V(C'_i)| \leq 4$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$ , we have  $|V(D''_\ell)| \geq 2k + 2$  for  $\ell = 2$  or  $\ell = 3$ . It is easy to see that  $D''_\ell$  contains  $2k$  vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  such that  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \Rightarrow \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ . Since  $D$  is  $k$ -connected, there are  $k$  vertex-disjoint paths from  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$  to the set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ , say  $P_i$  from  $y_i$  to  $x_{m_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , where  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  is a permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, k$ . Thus, every path  $P_i$  together with the arc  $x_{m_i}y_i$  forms a cycle, denoted by  $C''_i$ , which is of length at least 3, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

If there is a cycle, say  $C''_1$ , that contains vertices not only from  $D'_1$ , but also from  $D'_3$ , then we see that  $C''_1, C''_2, \dots, C''_k$  are the required cycles with respect to 1).

If at most one of  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ , say  $P_1$  if there is one, contains some vertices of  $C'_1$ , then  $C'_1, C''_2, C''_3, \dots, C''_k$  are  $k$  required cycles with respect to 1).

So, we assume without loss of generality that  $V(P_i) \cap V(C'_1) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \gamma$ , but  $V(P_j) \cap V(C'_1) = \emptyset$  for  $j > \gamma$ , where  $\gamma \geq 2$ , and furthermore,  $V(P_i) \cap V(D'_1) = \emptyset$  or  $V(P_i) \cap V(D'_3) = \emptyset$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Since  $C'_1$  is of length 4, we have  $\gamma \leq 4$ .

If  $\ell = 3$ , then, by the assumption above, we have  $V(P_i) \cap V(D'_1) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Since  $P_1$  must contain some vertices from  $D'_2$  and  $S$ , every vertex of  $D'_1$  can be inserted into  $C''_1$  to form a cycle that satisfies Condition 1).

Let  $\ell = 2$ . Suppose that there is a path, say  $P_1$ , containing  $d_3$ . Then  $P_1$  contains at least one vertex from  $S$ . If there is another path, say  $P_2$ , containing  $d_1$ , then  $C''_1$  and  $C''_2$  satisfy Condition 2); if  $d_1$  does not belong to any paths  $P_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , then  $d_1$  can be inserted into  $C''_1$  and we get a cycle satisfying Condition 1). Suppose now that none of  $\{P_1, \dots, P_\gamma\}$  contains  $d_3$ , but there is one, say  $P_1$ , containing  $s$ , then it is easy to see that  $d_3$  can be inserted into  $P_1$ , and we are done as above. In the remaining case, we see that there is a path, say  $P_2$ , containing  $d_1$ . Since  $P_2$  contains at least one vertex from  $S$ , it is easy to check that  $d_3$  can be inserted into  $C''_2$  and we get a cycle satisfying Condition 1).  $\square$

Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$  be  $k$  vertex-disjoint cycles, which have the properties as described in Claim (\*) above and whose total length is minimal. By Lemma 3.8, we have  $3 \leq |V(C_i)| \leq 4$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$  with respect to 1) and for  $i = 3, 4, \dots, k$  with respect to 2).

Let  $Q = D - (V(C_1) \cup V(C_2) \cup \dots \cup V(C_k))$  and  $Q_i = Q \cap D'_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $Q_4 = Q \cap D\langle S \rangle$ . Note that if  $Q_i$  is not empty, then it is semicomplete, and hence, has a hamiltonian path for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

If  $C_1$  satisfies Condition 1) in Claim (\*), i.e. it contains vertices from  $D'_1$  and from  $D'_3$ , then it is easy to see that every vertex of  $Q$  has a positive and a negative neighbor in  $C_1$ . It follows that  $D\langle V(C_1) \cup V(Q) \rangle$  is strong, and hence, it has a hamiltonian cycle, say  $C'$ , by Theorem 3.9. Obviously,  $C', C_2, C_3, \dots, C_k$  constitute the required factor of  $D$ .

Suppose now that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  satisfy Condition 2) in Claim (\*). Assume that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have been chosen such that no vertex of  $Q$  can be inserted into  $C_1$  or into  $C_2$ .

Denote  $C_1 = a_1 a_2 \dots a_\mu a_1$  and  $C_2 = b_1 b_2 \dots b_\nu b_1$  and assume that  $a_1 \in V(D'_3)$  and  $a_2, \dots, a_i \in V(D'_2)$  and  $a_{i+1} \in S$ ;  $b_1 \in V(D'_1)$  and  $b_2, \dots, b_j \in S$  and  $b_{j+1} \in V(D'_2)$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\{a_2, \dots, a_i\} \rightarrow b_1 \rightarrow a_{i+1}$  and  $b_j \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow b_{j+1}$ .

It is a simple matter to check that  $Q_1 = \emptyset$  and  $Q_3 = \emptyset$ . We next show that if  $Q \neq \emptyset$ , then  $D\langle V(C_1) \cup V(C_2) \cup V(Q) \rangle$  has two complementary cycles.

Assume that  $V(Q_2) \neq \emptyset$  and  $V(Q_4) \neq \emptyset$ . It is easy to check that  $Q_4 \Rightarrow C_1 \Rightarrow Q_2 \Rightarrow C_2 \Rightarrow Q_4$ . Hence,  $a_1 b_{j+1} \dots b_\nu b_1 a_{i+1} \dots a_\mu a_1$  and  $a_2 \dots a_i Q_2 b_2 \dots b_j Q_4 a_2$  are two complementary cycles of  $D\langle V(C_1) \cup V(C_2) \cup V(Q) \rangle$ .

Assume now that  $Q = Q_4$  (the proof for  $Q = Q_2$  is analogous). It is clear that  $C_2 \Rightarrow Q \Rightarrow C_1$ , and hence,  $D\langle V(C_1) \rangle$  and  $D\langle V(C_2) \rangle$  are both semicomplete.

If  $i \geq 3$ , then  $a_1 \rightarrow a_3$ , and hence  $a_1a_3a_4 \cdots a_\mu a_1$  and  $a_2b_1b_2 \cdots b_\nu Q_4a_2$  are two required cycles. So, we assume that  $i = 2$ .

If  $\mu \geq 4$ , then in the case when  $a_2 \rightarrow a_4$ , we have  $C_2 \rightarrow a_3$ , and hence,  $a_1b_{j+1} \cdots b_\nu a_3 \cdots a_\mu a_1$  and  $a_2b_1 \cdots b_j Q_4a_2$  are two required cycles; in the other case when  $a_4 \rightarrow a_2$ , the 3-cycle  $a_2a_3a_4a_2$  and  $a_1C_2Q_4a_5 \cdots a_\mu a_1$  are two required cycles.

Therefore,  $\mu = 3$ . Similarly, it can be shown that  $\nu = 3$ .

Since  $Q$  contains more than two vertices, we see that  $a_1b_3qa_3a_1$  and  $a_2b_1b_2(Q - q)a_2$  are two required cycles, where  $q$  is a vertex of  $Q$ .

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

Now we consider semicomplete digraphs.

LEMMA 4.4. *Every  $k$ -connected semicomplete digraph  $D$  with  $n \geq 5k - 2$  vertices contains at least  $k$  vertex-disjoint 3-cycles.*

*Proof.* We prove the statement by induction on  $k$ . By Theorem 3.9, every strongly connected semicomplete digraph on at least 3 vertices contains a 3-cycle. Assume that  $k \geq 2$ . Since  $D$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected, it contains  $k - 1$  vertex-disjoint 3-cycles  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k-1}$ . Let  $H$  be the subdigraph induced by the vertices not in the 3-cycles. If  $H$  contains a 3-cycle, then we are done. So, we may assume that if  $H$  contains some cycles, then they are 2-cycles. Note that  $|V(H)| \geq n - 3(k - 1) \geq 2k + 1$  because  $n \geq 5k - 2$ . Let  $P = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m$  be a hamiltonian path of  $H$ ,  $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  and  $B = \{x_{m-k+1}, x_{m-k+2}, \dots, x_m\}$ . Clearly, we have  $A \Rightarrow B$ . Since  $D$  is  $k$ -connected, there exist  $k$  vertex-disjoint paths from  $B$  to  $A$ , and each is of length at least 3. Obviously, these paths plus the arcs from  $A$  to  $B$  form  $k$  vertex-disjoint cycles, and hence,  $D$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint 3-cycles. □

THEOREM 4.5. *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -connected semicomplete digraph. If  $D$  contains  $k + 1$  vertex-disjoint 3-cycles, then  $D$  has a factor composed of  $k$  cycles, and at least  $k - 2$  of them are 3-cycles.*

*Proof.* Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k, C$  be  $k + 1$  vertex-disjoint 3-cycles in  $D$ ,  $F = \{C_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  and  $H = D - \cup_{i=1}^k V(C_i)$ . Note that  $C$  is a 3-cycle in  $H$ . Let  $H_1, \dots, H_q$  be the strong decomposition of  $H$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $N^+(H_q) \cap V(C_i) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$ , and  $C_j \Rightarrow H_q$  for  $j > \alpha$ . If there is an arc from  $C_j$  to  $H_1$  for some  $j \leq \alpha$ , then we see that  $D\langle V(C_j) \cup V(H) \rangle$  is strong and we are done by Theorem

3.9. So, we may assume that  $H_1 \Rightarrow C_i$  for all  $i \leq \alpha$ . Let  $F_1 = \cup_{i=1}^{\alpha} C_i$ . It is clear that for every  $i > \alpha$ , either  $H_1 \Rightarrow C_i$  or  $C_i$  has at least one positive neighbor in  $H_1$ . Without loss of generality let  $F_2 = \cup_{i=\alpha+1}^{\beta} C_i$  and  $F_3 = \cup_{j=\beta+1}^k C_j$  such that  $H_1 \Rightarrow C_i$  for each  $i \in \{\alpha+1, \dots, \beta\}$  (if  $\beta \geq \alpha+1$ ) and  $N^-(H_1) \cap V(C_j) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $j > \beta$ . From the connectivity assumption, we conclude that

$$(1) \quad |V(F_1)| \geq k \quad \text{and} \quad |V(F_3)| \geq k.$$

Since  $D$  is  $k$ -connected, there are  $k$  vertex-disjoint paths from  $F_1$  to  $F_3$ . We denote  $k$  such shortest paths by  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k$ , and denote the start vertex (end vertex, respectively) of  $P_i$  by  $x_i$  (by  $y_i$ , respectively) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

In the following, we assume, to the contrary, that  $D$  does not contain  $k$  cycles which have the properties as described in the theorem. We consider the following cases:

*Case 1.* Suppose  $q = 1$ .

It is clear that  $F_3 \Rightarrow H \Rightarrow F_1$ , and moreover, either  $C_i \Rightarrow C_j$  or  $C_j \Rightarrow C_i$  when  $i \neq j$ . Note that  $F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $P_i$  is of length 1 for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $P_1$  is an arc from  $C_1$  to  $C_k$ , i.e.,  $x_1 \in V(C_1)$  and  $y_1 \in V(C_k)$ . It follows that  $C_1 \Rightarrow C_k$ . So, we have  $H \Rightarrow C_1 \Rightarrow C_k \Rightarrow H$ . Let  $z$  be a vertex of  $H$ . Then  $C' = x_1 y_1 z x_1$  is a 3-cycle. Since  $H - z$  has a hamiltonian path,  $D\langle V(H) \cup V(C_1) \cup V(C_k) \rangle - V(C')$  is strong, and hence, it has a hamiltonian cycle, say  $C''$ . Now we see that  $C', C_2, C_3, C_4, \dots, C_{k-1}, C''$  are  $k$  cycles, which have the properties as described in the theorem, a contradiction.

*Case 2.* Suppose  $q = 2$ .

Assume without loss of generality that  $C$  is contained in  $H_1$ . If there is an arc from  $F_1$  to  $F_3$ , for example, from  $C_1$  to  $C_k$ , then we see that  $D\langle V(C_1) \cup V(C_k) \cup V(H_2) \rangle$  is strong. Thus, a hamiltonian cycle of  $H_1$  and a hamiltonian cycle of  $D\langle V(C_1) \cup V(C_k) \cup V(H_2) \rangle$  together with  $C_2, C_3, \dots, C_{k-1}$  yield a contradiction.

Thus, we only need consider the situation that  $F_3 \Rightarrow F_1$ . It follows that  $P_i$  contains at least one vertex of  $F_2$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . This and (1) imply that  $|V(F_1)| = |V(F_2)| = |V(F_3)| = k$ , and therefore,  $P_i$  is of length exactly 2 and  $P_i$  plus the arc from  $y_i$  to  $x_i$  forms a 3-cycle, say  $C'_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Since  $V(F_1) = N^+(H_2)$  and  $V(F_3) = N^-(H_1)$ , the subdigraph  $D\langle V(P_1) \cup H \rangle$  is strong, and hence,  $C'_2, C'_3, \dots, C'_k$  and a hamiltonian cycle of  $D\langle V(P_1) \cup H \rangle$  yield a contradiction.

*Case 3.* Suppose  $q \geq 3$  and  $|V(H_\ell)| \geq 3$  for some  $\ell$  with  $1 < \ell < q$ .

Assume without loss of generality that  $C$  is contained in  $H_\ell$ .

If there is a path (for example,  $P_1$  from  $C_1$  to  $C_k$ ) that contains neither a vertex from  $F_2$  nor a vertex from  $C$ , then  $C_2, C_3, \dots, C_{k-1}, C$  and a hamiltonian cycle of  $D\langle V(C_1) \cup V(C_k) \cup V(H - V(C)) \rangle$  yield a contradiction.

Therefore,  $P_i$  contains at least one vertex from  $F_2 \cup C$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . This implies that  $F_3 \Rightarrow F_1$  and  $|V(F_2)| \in \{k, k - 3\}$ . Let  $C'_i$  denote the cycle formed by  $P_i$  and the arc  $y_i x_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and assume without loss of generality that  $|V(C'_1)| \leq |V(C'_2)| \leq \dots \leq |V(C'_k)|$ . Obviously,  $|V(C'_1)| \geq 3$  holds. Let  $t = \max\{i \mid C'_i \text{ is a 3-cycle}\}$  if  $|V(C'_1)| = 3$ , otherwise,  $t = 0$ .

*Subcase 3.1.* Suppose  $|V(F_2)| = k$ .

It is clear that  $|V(F_1)| = |V(F_2)| = |V(F_3)| = k$ ,  $V(F_1) = N^+(H_q)$  and  $V(F_3) = N^-(H_1)$ .

Suppose that  $t \geq k - 2$ . Then, all vertices in  $F_2 \cup H$ , which do not belong to any cycle  $C'_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , can be inserted into  $C'_k$  to form a new cycle, and this new cycle with  $C'_1, C'_2, \dots, C'_{k-2}, C'_{k-1}$  together yield a contradiction.

Suppose now that  $t \leq k - 3$ . Since the subdigraph  $D\langle \{y_{t+1}, y_{t+2}, \dots, y_k\} \rangle$  is semicomplete, it has a hamiltonian path. Assume without loss of generality that  $P' = y_{t+1}y_{t+2} \dots y_k$  is such a path. Let  $C''_i$  be the unique 3-cycle containing  $x_i$  in  $C'_i$  for  $i = t + 2, t + 3, \dots, k$ . Since  $D\langle V(P_{t+1}) \cup V(P') \cup V(H_1) \cup V(H_q) \rangle$  is strong, it has a hamiltonian cycle, denoted by  $C''$ . It is not difficult to see that every vertex in  $H_2 \cup H_3 \cup \dots \cup H_{q-1} \cup F_2$  that does not belong to any cycles of  $\{C'_1, \dots, C'_t, C'', C''_{t+2}, \dots, C''_k\}$  can be inserted into the cycle  $C''$  to form a new cycle. This cycle with  $C'_1, \dots, C'_t, C''_{t+2}, \dots, C''_k$  yield a contradiction.

*Subcase 3.2.* Suppose  $|V(F_2)| = k - 3$ .

Assume without loss of generality that  $\alpha = k/3 + 1$ , i.e.,  $|V(F_1)| = k + 3$  and  $|V(F_3)| = k$ . Note that

$$(2) \quad V(F_3) = N^-(H_1) \quad \text{and} \quad |N^+(H_q) \cap V(F_1)| \geq k.$$

Because of  $|V(F_2)| + |V(C)| = k$ , every path  $P_i$  contains exactly one vertex of  $F_2 \cup C$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

**Claim.** There is an integer  $j$  such that  $D' = D - (\cup_{i \neq j} V(P_i))$  is strong.

*Proof.* Let  $x, x', x''$  be the three vertices in  $F_1$ , which do not belong to any path  $P_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Suppose that the subdigraph  $D\langle\{x, x', x''\}\rangle$  contains a hamiltonian path, say  $x \rightarrow x' \rightarrow x''$ , such that  $x''$  has a positive neighbor in  $P_j$  for some  $j$ . Clearly,  $D\langle\{x, x', x''\} \cup V(P_j)\rangle$  is strong. By (2), we have that  $N^+(H_q) \cap \{x, x', x'', x_j\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $y_j \in N^-(H_1)$ , hence, the subdigraph  $D'$  is strong.

Suppose now that  $D\langle\{x, x', x''\}\rangle$  does not contain any hamiltonian path whose end-vertex has a positive neighbor in  $P_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Then, it is easy to check that the three vertices  $x, x', x''$  must belong to a common 3-cycle in  $F_1$ . Assume without loss of generality that this 3-cycle is  $C_1$ . Note that  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} = V(C_2) \cup \dots \cup V(C_\alpha)$ . By the definition of  $F_1$ , we may assume that  $x_1 \in N^+(H_q)$ . Clearly,  $D\langle V(D - \cup_{i=2}^k V(P_i)) - \{x, x', x''\} \rangle$  is strong, but now,  $D\langle V(D - \cup_{i=2}^k V(P_i)) \rangle$  must be strong, since  $C_1$  has at least  $k$  positive neighbors.  $\square$

In the following proof, one can see that we may assume without loss of generality that  $j = 1$ , i.e.  $D\langle V(D - \cup_{i=2}^k V(P_i)) \rangle$  is strong. Since  $D\langle V(D') \cup W \rangle$  is strong for every subset  $W$  of  $V(F_2) \cup (\cup_{i=2}^{q-1} V(H_i))$ , we only need find  $k-1$  vertex-disjoint cycles in  $D - V(D') = D\langle \cup_{i=2}^k V(P_i) \rangle$  such that they contain all vertices of  $\{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k\} \cup \{y_2, \dots, y_k\}$  and at least  $k-2$  of them are 3-cycles.

If  $t \geq k-1$ , then we are done. So, suppose now that  $t \leq k-2$ . Let  $t' = \max\{1, t\}$ . Since  $D\langle\{y_{t'+1}, y_{t'+2}, \dots, y_k\}\rangle$  is semicomplete, it has a hamiltonian path, say  $P'$  and assume without loss of generality that  $P'$  starts at  $y_{t'+1}$  and ends at  $y_k$ . So,  $C''_{t'+1} = x_{t'+1}P'_{t'+1}y_{t'+1}P'y_kx_{t'+1}$  is a cycle. Let  $C'_i$  be the 3-cycle in  $C_i$  which contains  $x_i$  for  $i \geq t'+2$ . Now we see that  $C'_i$  for  $i$  satisfying  $2 \leq i \leq t'$  (if  $t' \geq 2$ ) and  $C''_i$  for  $i = t'+1, t'+2, \dots, k$  are  $k-1$  required cycles.

*Case 4.* Suppose  $q \geq 3$  and  $|V(H_i)| \leq 2$  for all  $i$  satisfying  $1 < i < q$ .

Since the 3-cycle  $C$  is in  $H$ , one of  $H_1$  and  $H_q$  contains a 3-cycle. Assume without loss of generality that  $|V(H_q)| \geq |V(H_1)|$  and  $C$  is contained in  $H_q$ .

*Subcase 4.1.* Suppose  $|V(H_q)| \geq 4$ .

If  $F_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then we can change  $C$  and  $C_\beta$  and we are done by Case 3.

Suppose now that  $F_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $Q$  be the terminal component of  $H_q - V(C)$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $x_1 \in V(C_1)$  and  $y_1 \in V(C_k)$ . If  $Q$  has at least one positive neighbor in  $C_1$ , then  $D\langle V(C_1) \cup$

$V(C_k) \cup V(H) - V(C)$  is strong and  $C, C_2, C_3, \dots, C_{k-1}$  are  $k - 1$  cycles of length 3, and hence, we are done. If  $C_1 \Rightarrow Q$ , then, by changing  $C$  and  $C_1$ , we are done by Case 3 again.

*Subcase 4.2.* Suppose  $|V(H_q)| = 3$ .

Suppose that there is an arc from  $C_i$  to  $H_j$  for some  $i \leq \beta$  and some  $j \leq q - 1$ . Because  $H_1 \Rightarrow C_i$ , the subdigraph  $D\langle V(H) \cup V(C_i) \rangle - V(C)$  is not strong, and furthermore, either its terminal component has at least four vertices or one of its internal components contains  $C_i$ . But, in both these cases we are done by Subcase 4.1 or Case 3, respectively.

Suppose now that  $H_i \Rightarrow C_j$  for every  $i \leq q - 1$  and every  $j \leq \beta$ . If  $D$  has an arc  $xy$  from  $F_1$  to  $F_3$  (without loss of generality that  $x \in V(C_1)$  and  $y \in V(C_k)$ ), then  $D\langle V(C_1) \cup V(C_k) \cup V(H) \rangle - V(C)$  is strong and  $C, C_2, C_3, \dots, C_{k-1}$  are  $k - 1$  cycles of length 3, a contradiction. If  $F_3 \Rightarrow F_1$ , then it is not difficult to check that  $V(P_i) \cap V(F_2) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . This and (1) imply that  $|V(F_i)| = k$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Therefore,  $C'_j = x_j P_j y_j x_j$  is a 3-cycle for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Note that  $N^+(C) = V(F_1)$  and  $N^-(H_1) = V(F_3)$ . Since  $D\langle V(C'_1) \cup V(H) \rangle$  is strong and  $C'_2, C'_3, \dots, C'_k$  are 3-cycles, we get a contradiction.

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -connected semicomplete digraph on  $n \geq 5k + 1$  vertices. Then  $D$  has a factor composed of  $k$  cycles such that one of them is of length at most 5.*

*Proof.* Since  $D$  is  $k$ -connected, it contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint 3-cycles, say  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$ , by Lemma 4.4. Let  $F = \cup_{i=1}^k C_i$  and  $H = D - V(F)$ . If  $H$  contains a 3-cycle, then we are done by Theorem 4.5. So, we assume that every strongly connected component of  $H$  contains at most two vertices. Let  $h_1 h_2 \dots h_m$  be a hamiltonian path of  $H$ . Because of  $n \geq 5k + 1$ , we have  $m \geq 2k + 1$ . Let  $A = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k\}$  and  $B = \{h_{m-k+1}, h_{m-k+2}, \dots, h_m\}$ . Then, we have  $A \Rightarrow B$ .

By the connectivity assumption for  $D$ , there are  $k$  vertex-disjoint paths from  $B$  to  $A$ . Let  $P_i = v_1^i v_2^i \dots v_{m_i}^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , be  $k$  such shortest paths and assume without loss of generality that  $v_2^i, v_{m_i-1}^i \notin V(H)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Clearly,  $m_i \geq 3$  and  $C'_i = v_1^i v_2^i v_3^i v_1^i$  and  $C''_i = v_{m_i}^i v_{m_i-2}^i v_{m_i-1}^i v_{m_i}^i$  are 3-cycles in  $D\langle V(P_i) \rangle$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . If there is a  $P_i$  with  $m_i \geq 6$ , then  $C'_i$  and  $C''_i$  are vertex-disjoint. It follows that  $D$  contains at least  $k + 1$  vertex-disjoint 3-cycles, and hence, we are done by Theorem 4.5. Therefore, we only need consider the case when  $3 \leq m_i \leq 5$  for  $i =$

$1, 2, \dots, k$ . By the same argument, we may assume that  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k$  go through all 3-cycles in  $F$ . Denote  $P = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ .

Suppose that there is a path  $P_i$  with  $|V(P_i) \cap V(H)| \geq 3$ . Then it is easy to check that  $v_2^i \in V(C_\mu)$ ,  $v_3^i = h_j$ ,  $v_4^i \in V(C_\nu)$  for some  $\mu, \nu \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and some  $j$  satisfying  $k+1 \leq j \leq m-k$ . We call such  $P_i$  a W-path.

Assume that  $\mu = \nu$ . We show that  $D\langle V(C_\mu) \cup V(H) \rangle$  contains two vertex-disjoint 3-cycles, i.e.,  $D$  contains  $k+1$  vertex-disjoint 3-cycles, and hence, we are done by Theorem 4.5. Let  $v$  be another vertex of  $C_\mu$ . Obviously,  $C_\mu = v_2^i v v_4^i v_2^i$ . If  $v \rightarrow v_1^i$ , then  $v_1^i v_2^i v v_1^i$  and  $C_i''$  are two 3-cycles in  $D\langle V(C_\mu) \cup V(H) \rangle$ ; if  $v_5^i \rightarrow v$ , then  $v_5^i v v_4^i v_5^i$  and  $C_i'$  are two 3-cycles in  $D\langle V(C_\mu) \cup V(H) \rangle$ ; in the remaining case when  $v_1^i \rightarrow v \rightarrow v_5^i$ , we see that  $v_1^i v v_5^i v_1^i$  and  $v_2^i v_3^i v_4^i v_2^i$  are two 3-cycles in  $D\langle V(C_\mu) \cup V(H) \rangle$ .

Assume now that every W-path goes through two different 3-cycles in  $F$ . If there are two W-paths  $P_\alpha, P_\beta \in P$  such that  $v_i^\alpha, v_j^\beta$  are in a common cycle  $C_\mu$  for  $i, j \in \{2, 4\}$ , then  $v_{i-1}^\alpha v_i^\alpha v_{i+1}^\alpha v_{i-1}^\alpha$  and  $v_{j-1}^\beta v_j^\beta v_{j+1}^\beta v_{j-1}^\beta$  are two vertex-disjoint cycles in  $D\langle V(C_\mu) \cup V(H) \rangle$ , and we are done by Theorem 4.5 again. Therefore, there exist at most  $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$  W-paths in  $P$ . It follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^k |V(P_i)| \leq 5k + \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ .

In the following we show that if  $\cup_{i=1}^k V(P_i)$  does not contain all vertices of  $F$ , then we can find  $k$  vertex-disjoint cycles  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$  satisfying the following conditions:

- a)  $|V(Q_i) \cap A| = 1$  and  $|V(Q_i) \cap B| = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;
- b)  $\cup_{i=1}^k V(Q_i) = \cup_{j=1}^k V(P_j) \cup V(F)$ .

Suppose that  $C_\ell$  contains a vertex  $v$  that is not in  $\cup_{i=1}^k V(P_i)$  for some  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , and there are two paths (say  $P_\alpha$  and  $P_\beta$ ) going through  $C_\ell$  (assume without loss of generality that  $C_\ell = v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta v v_i^\alpha$ ) such that  $v_{j-1}^\beta \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v_{i+1}^\alpha$  (we call  $C_\ell$  an X-cycle of  $P$ ). Then  $v_1^\alpha v_2^\alpha \cdots v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta v_{j+1}^\beta \cdots v_{m_\beta}^\beta$  and  $v_1^\beta \cdots v_{j-1}^\beta v v_{i+1}^\alpha \cdots v_{m_\alpha}^\alpha$  are two paths containing all vertices of  $P_\alpha \cup P_\beta \cup C_\ell$ . So, it is not difficult to check that there are  $k$  vertex-disjoint paths  $P'_1, P'_2, \dots, P'_k$  which have the following properties:

- (1) each of them starts at a vertex of  $B$  and ends at a vertex of  $A$ ;
- (2) they do not have any X-cycles;
- (3)  $\cup_{j=1}^k V(P_j) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^k V(P'_i) \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^k V(P_j) \cup V(F)$ .

Let  $Q'_i$  be the cycle formed by the path  $P'_i$  and the corresponding arc from  $A$  to  $B$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We show that every vertex in  $F$ , but not in  $\cup_{i=1}^k V(Q'_i)$  can be inserted into  $Q'_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  if  $\cup_{i=1}^k V(P'_i) \neq \cup_{j=1}^k V(P_j) \cup V(F)$ .



Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $C_t$  for some  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  with  $v \notin \cup_{i=1}^k V(P'_i)$ . If only one path  $P'_\gamma$  goes through  $C_t$ , then  $D(V(C_t) \cup V(Q'_\gamma))$  is strong, and hence, it has a hamiltonian cycle. Assume now that there are two paths, say  $P_\alpha$  and  $P_\beta$ , going through  $C_t$ . Since  $C_t$  is not an X-path, it is easy to see that one of  $D(\{v\} \cup V(Q'_\alpha))$  and  $D(\{v\} \cup V(Q'_\beta))$  is strong.

Therefore, all vertices in  $V(F)$ , but not in  $\cup_{i=1}^k V(P'_i)$  can be inserted into some of  $Q'_1, Q'_2, \dots, Q'_k$ . This means that we can find  $k$  vertex-disjoint cycles  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$  satisfying the conditions a) and b) above.

Because of  $|\cup_{i=1}^k V(Q_i)| \leq 5k + \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ , at least one of  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$ , say  $Q_k$ , is of length at most 5. Since  $D(V(Q_1) \cup V(H - \cup_{i=1}^k V(Q_i)))$  is strong, a hamiltonian cycle of it and  $Q_2, Q_3, \dots, Q_k$  form a factor of  $D$ .

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

The next corollary states our main result.

**COROLLARY 4.7.** *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -connected locally semicomplete digraph with  $k \geq 3$  and  $\bar{g}$  denote the length of a longest induced cycle in  $D$ . If  $D$  has at least  $7(k - 1)\bar{g}$  vertices, then it has a factor composed of  $k$  cycles, and at least one of them is of length  $\bar{g}$  or 5.*

*Proof.* We only need to consider the three cases (a), (b) and (c) as described in Theorem 3.3. So, this corollary can be confirmed by Corollary 4.2, Theorem 4.3 and 4.6, respectively. □

Another immediate consequence of Theorem 4.6 is the result of [3]:

**COROLLARY 4.8** ([3]). *Every  $k$ -connected tournament  $T$  with at least  $8k$  vertices contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint cycles that span  $V(T)$ .*

### References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen, *Locally semicomplete digraphs: A generalization of tournaments*, J. Graph Theory **14** (1990), 371–390.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen, Y. Guo, G. Gutin and L. Volkmann, *A classification of locally semicomplete digraphs*, Discrete Math. **167/168** (1997), 101–114.
- [3] G.-T. Chen, R. J. Gould and H. Li, *Partitioning Vertices of a Tournament into Independent Cycles*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **83** (2001), 213–220.
- [4] Y. Guo, *Locally Semicomplete Digraphs*. PhD thesis, RWTH Aachen, Germany. Aachener Beiträge zur Mathematik, Band **13**, Augustinus-Buchhandlung Aachen, 1995.
- [5] Y. Guo and L. Volkmann, *On complementary cycles in locally semicomplete digraphs*, Discrete Math. **135** (1994), 121–127.
- [6] ———, *Locally semicomplete digraphs that are complementary  $m$ -pancyclic*, J. Graph Theory **21** (1996), 121–136.
- [7] J. W. Moon, *On subtournaments of a tournament*, Canad. Math. Bull. **9** (1996), 297–301.

- [8] K. B. Reid, *Two complementary circuits in two-connected tournaments*, Ann. Discrete Math. **27** (1985), 321–334.
- [9] Z.-M. Song, *Complementary cycles of all lengths in tournaments*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **57** (1993), 18–25.

Ronald J. Gould  
Dept. of Math. and CS  
Emory University  
Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

Yubao Guo  
Lehrstuhl C für Mathematik  
RWTH Aachen, 52056 Aachen  
Germany  
*E-mail:* guo@mathc.rwth-aachen.de