

Partitioning a power set into union-free classes

Martin Aigner

Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, FRG

Dwight Duffus*

Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

Daniel J. Kleitman**

The Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02193, USA

Received 17 October 1988

Abstract

Aigner, M., D. Duffus and D.J. Kleitman, Partitioning a power set into union-free classes, *Discrete Mathematics* 88 (1991) 113–119.

Two problems involving union-free colorings of the set of all subsets of an n -set are considered, with bounds obtained for minimum colorings.

1. Introduction

We consider two problems involving ‘union-free’ colorings of 2^n , the set of subsets of the n -set $[n]$. The first is due to Abbott and Hanson [1]: for any integer n let $f(n)$ be the minimum number of colors necessary to color 2^n so that each color class is (pairwise) union-free. That is, no class has three distinct sets A , B , and C such that $A \cup B = C$.

The second function, suggested by Kleitman, is defined in a similar manner: for any integer n let $g(n)$ be the minimum number of colors necessary to color 2^n so that each color class is (completely) union-free. That is, for all k no class has distinct sets A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k such that

$$A_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i.$$

Here is what we know about f and g .

* Supported in part by ONR Contract N00014-85-K-0769.

** Supported in part by NSF Grant DMS: 86-06225 and AF Contract OSR 86-0078.

Theorem 1.

$$0.35n \approx \frac{\ln 2}{2}n \leq f(n) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1.$$

Theorem 2.

$$\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq g(n) \leq n - O(n^{1/3}).$$

The new results are verified below, the lower bounds of f and g in Section 3 and the upper bound of g in Section 2. We also go over what has been known about bounds for f , giving Abbott and Hanson's upper bound [1], a lovely argument due to Erdős and Shelah [4] yielding a lower bound of about $n/4$, and an improvement due to Aigner and Grieser [2].

2. Upper bounds for $f(n)$ and $g(n)$

Abbott and Hanson [1] observed that there is an upper bound for $f(n)$ given by a partition defined via cardinality.

Consider this partition of 2^n : for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$

$$\mathcal{C}_i \text{ contains all } (2i+1)2^k - 1 \text{ element sets (for all } k \geq 0).$$

This is pairwise union-free and verifies the upper bound for $f(n)$. It is also the correct value for small values of n and we know of no n for which this bound is not equal to $f(n)$.

Turning to $g(n)$, let us take union-free to mean completely so for the rest of this section. To establish the upper bound in Theorem 2 we need some notation.

Let \mathcal{F}_i be the set of i -subsets of $[n]$. The idea is to choose distinct colors c_j for all the sets in \mathcal{F}_{n-j} ($j = 0, \dots, n-k-1$) and to color the remaining levels $\mathcal{F}_k, \dots, \mathcal{F}_0$ with c_0, \dots, c_{n-k-1} without creating unions and with k as large as possible. We shall show that $k \approx (3n)^{1/3}$ works.

We shall specify that color class \mathcal{C}_j contains some sets from \mathcal{F}_l and \mathcal{F}_{l-1} for some $l \leq k$ as well as all of \mathcal{F}_{n-j} . This is done so each of the l -sets contains 1, no $(l-1)$ -set contains 1, and the union of these l - and $(l-1)$ -sets contains at most $n-j-1$ elements. It then follows that \mathcal{C}_j is union-free.

Let $X_1 | X_2 | \dots | X_{t+1}$ be a partition of $[n]$ into blocks of consecutive integers. For $i \leq t$, some of the X_i 's may be primed; for instance,

$$\{1, 2\}' | \{3, 4, 5\} | \{6, 7, 8\}' | \{9, 10\}.$$

By i : $X_1 | X_2 | \dots | X_{t+1}$ denote the family of all i -sets which contain at least one element from every unprimed X_j and have empty intersection with every primed X_j ($j \leq t$). On the last block X_{t+1} there are no restrictions. So, $\{1, 2\}' | \{3, 4, 5\} | \{6, 7, 8\}' | \{9, 10\}$ is the set consisting of 3-sets

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 3, 4, 5 & 3, 4, 9 & 3, 4, 10 & 3, 5, 9 & 3, 5, 10 & \\ 4, 5, 9 & 4, 5, 10 & 3, 9, 10 & 4, 9, 10 & 5, 9, 10. & \end{array}$$

Since the X_i 's are sets of consecutive integers, given in order, we may substitute $|X_i|$ for X_i ; our example becomes 3: 2', 3, 3', 2.

Lemma. Let l_1, \dots, l_{i+1} and m_1, \dots, m_i be nonnegative integers with $\sum l_j = \sum m_j = n - 1$. Then A_1^i, \dots, A_{i+1}^i (B_1^i, \dots, B_i^i) defined below form a partition of all the sets in \mathcal{F}_i which do not contain 1 (respectively, which contain 1):

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^i &= i: (l_1 + 1)', n - l_1 - 1 \\ A_2^i &= i: 1', l_1, l_2', n - l_1 - l_2 - 1 \\ &\dots \\ A_j^i &= i: 1', l_1, \dots, l_{j-1}, l_j', n - \sum_{h=1}^j l_h - 1 \\ &\dots \\ A_{i+1}^i &= i: 1', l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i, l_{i+1} \\ B_1^i &= i: 1, m_1', n - m_1 - 1 \\ B_2^i &= i: 1, m_1, m_2', n - m_1 - m_2 - 1 \\ &\dots \\ B_j^i &= i: 1, m_1, \dots, m_{j-1}, m_j', n - \sum_{h=1}^j m_h - 1 \\ &\dots \\ B_i^i &= i: 1, m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let A be an i -set not containing 1 and let us partition $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ with intervals

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= [2, l_1 + 1], \dots, X_j = \left[\sum_{h=1}^{j-1} l_h + 2, \sum_{h=1}^j l_h + 1 \right], \dots, \\ X_{i+1} &= \left[\sum_{h=1}^i l_h + 2, n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then with s the maximum index with $A \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$, it is clear that $s \leq i$, $A \in A_{s+1}^i$, and $A \notin A_j^i$ for $j \neq s + 1$.

Proceed similarly to show that the B_i 's partition the family of i -sets which contain 1; the proof of the Lemma is complete. \square

In obtaining the upper bound of $g(n)$ we use these observations about the partitions of the Lemma:

$$\left| \bigcup_{A \in A_j^i} A \right| = n - l_j - 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, i), \quad (1)$$

$$\left| \bigcup_{A \in A_{i+1}^i} A \right| = \sum_{j=1}^i l_j, \quad (2)$$

$$\left| \bigcup_{B \in B_j^i} B \right| = n - m_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, i-1), \quad (1')$$

$$\left| \bigcup_{B \in B_i^i} B \right| = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_j + 1. \quad (2')$$

Call the colors $0, 1, \dots, n - k - 1$ and group them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & 0, \\ 0: & \quad 1, \dots, k, \\ 1: & \quad k + 1, \dots, 2k - 1, \\ & \dots \\ i: & \quad ik - \binom{i}{2} + 1, \dots, (i+1)k - \binom{i+1}{2}, \\ & \dots \\ k-2: & \quad (k-2)k - \binom{k-2}{2} + 1, \dots, (k-1)k - \binom{k-1}{2}, \\ k-1: & \quad \text{remaining colors.} \end{aligned}$$

where we assume that

$$(k-1)k - \binom{k-1}{2} = \frac{k^2 + k - 2}{2} \leq n - k - 1.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we define sequences $l_1^{k-i}, \dots, l_{k-i+1}^{k-i}$ and $m_1^{k-i}, \dots, m_{k-i}^{k-i}$ as in the lemma:

$$l_j^{k-i} = (i-1)k - \binom{i-1}{2} + 1 + j \quad (j = 1, \dots, k-i),$$

$$l_{k-i+1}^{k-i} = n - 1 - \sum_{h=1}^{k-i} l_h^{k-i},$$

$$m_j^{k-i} = ik - \binom{i}{2} + 1 + j \quad (j = 1, \dots, k-i-1),$$

$$m_{k-i}^{k-i} = n - 1 - \sum_{h=1}^{k-i-1} m_h^{k-i}.$$

Color $\mathcal{F}_k, \dots, \mathcal{F}_1$ in this manner. The k -sets in $[2, n]$ are colored 0 and the sets in B_j^k are colored j ($j = 1, \dots, k$), where the partition of the k -sets containing 1 arises from

$$m_1 = 2, m_2 = 3, \dots, m_{k-1} = k, \quad \text{and} \quad m_k = n - 1 - \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} m_h.$$

The family of 0-colored sets is union-free; so far the j -colored families are as well, this following from (1') and (2') for the B_j^k s.

In \mathcal{F}_{k-i} ($i \geq 1$), color the sets in A_j^{k-i} with $i(i-1)k - \binom{i-1}{2} + j$ and those in B_j^{k-i} with $ik - \binom{i}{2} + j$. To see that these color classes are union-free, consider any color other than 0, say

$$c_{ij} = ik - \binom{i}{2} + j \quad (0 \leq i \leq k-2, 1 \leq j \leq k-i).$$

In this class there are the sets of

$$\mathcal{F}_{n-c_{ij}}, \quad A_j^{k-i-1}, \quad B_j^{k-i}.$$

Let $j < k-i$. The sets in A_j^{k-i-1} contain a total of $n - l_j^{k-i-1} - 1 = n - c_{ij} - 2$ elements (by (1)), while those in B_j^{k-i} contain $n - m_j^{k-i} = n - c_{ij} - 1$ elements (by (1')). From the definition of the l 's and m 's, the elements in these two unions are the same apart from 1, which appears in all the sets in B_j^{k-i} and in none of the sets in A_j^{k-i-1} . Thus, the new use of color c_{ij} results in no forbidden union. Let $j = k-i$. Applying (2) and (2') the sets in A_{k-i}^{k-i-1} and B_{k-i}^{k-i} contain a total of

$$\left(ik - \binom{i}{2} + 2 \right) + \cdots + \left(ik - \binom{i}{2} + (k-i) \right)$$

and

$$\left(ik - \binom{i}{2} + 2 \right) + \cdots + \left(ik - \binom{i}{2} + (k-i) \right) + 1$$

elements respectively. Again, these elements are the same, apart from 1, so no union is created if

$$n - (i+1)k - \binom{i+2}{2} \geq 2 + (k-i-1) \left(ik - \binom{i}{2} + 1 \right) + \binom{k-i}{2}. \quad (3)$$

By an easy manipulation (3) is equivalent to

$$f(i) = k^2(2i+1) - k(3i^2 + i - 3) + (i^3 - 3i + 2) \leq 2n. \quad (4)$$

By considering the maximum of $f(i)$, it is easily seen that (4) holds if

$$k^3/3(k-i) + k/3(k-i) + (k-i) + 1 \leq n \quad (i=1, \dots, k-i). \quad (5)$$

Inequality (5) is valid if

$$k^3/3 + k^2/3 + k + 1 \leq n. \quad (6)$$

Finally, (6) is satisfied with $k \leq cn^{1/3}$, $c = 3^{1/3}$. This completes the proof concerning the upper bound of g .

3. Lower bounds for $f(n)$ and $g(n)$

Concerning $f(n)$, Kleitman [5] showed that for some constant c , no union-free class can contain more than $c(2^n/\sqrt{n})$ subsets of $[n]$. From this Abbott and

Hanson [1] observed that

$$f(n) \geq c\sqrt{n}.$$

The lower bound was improved to $\lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 1$ with this argument [4]. For convenience, assume that n is even. Now, consider only intervals $[i, j] = \{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$ where $i \leq n/2 < j$. Let \mathcal{A} be a union-free class of such intervals and define a bipartite graph with vertex sets $\{1, 2, \dots, n/2\}$ and $\{n/2+1, n/2+2, \dots, n\}$ with i adjacent to j if and only if the set $[i, j] \in \mathcal{A}$. As \mathcal{A} is union-free, there is no 3-element path in the graph with vertices $i < i' \leq n/2 < j' < j$ and edges $j' \sim i \sim j \sim i'$. In particular, the graph has no cycles and, hence, at most $n-1$ edges. Thus, a union-free class has at most $n-1$ such intervals. As there are $n^2/4$ of these intervals, $f(n) \geq \lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 1$.

The next contribution bounding $f(n)$ below is a result of Aigner and Grieser [2]: for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $0.29n \leq f(n)$. This is obtained by investigating hook-free colorings of rectangular arrays.

Here we provide the improvement of the lower bound given in Theorem 1. To begin the proof, let $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ be a partition of 2^n such that for distinct A, B, C in any \mathcal{C}_i , $A \cup B \neq C$. In showing that $s \geq (\ln 2)/2 \approx 0.35n$, we make use of the dual form of the Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem [3]: for $k \geq n/2$ and \mathcal{A} a family of k -subsets of $[n]$ such that $B \cup C \neq [n]$ for all B and C in \mathcal{A} , $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k}$.

Let $k \geq n/2$ and consider all maximal chains in the lattice 2^n . Let y_k^i be the proportion of chains which intersect \mathcal{C}_i in some k -set and let x_k^i be the proportion of chains which intersect \mathcal{C}_i in a k -set and do not intersect \mathcal{C}_i in any set B where $n/2 \leq |B| < k$. We claim that for all i and all $k \geq n/2$,

$$\sum_i y_k^i = 1, \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_k x_k^i \leq 1, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{n}{2k} y_k^i \leq x_k^i. \tag{9}$$

(7) and (8) are easy; here is a proof of (9). Let A be a k -set in \mathcal{C}_i . For each maximal chain containing A containing some $B \in \mathcal{C}_i$ such that $B \subset A$ and $|B| \geq n/2$, choose the $n/2$ -subset C of B on that chain. How many such C 's can there be? As \mathcal{C}_i is union-free, the hypotheses of the Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem apply to the family of C 's, showing that there at most

$$\binom{k-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

Therefore, the proportion of $n/2$ -subsets of A which are contained in a member

of \mathcal{C}_i which is a proper subset of A is at most

$$\frac{\binom{k-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}{\binom{k}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} = 1 - \frac{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{k} \leq 1 - \frac{n}{2k}.$$

Thus, the proportion of maximal chains hitting \mathcal{C}_i at level k and again between levels k and $n/2$ is at most $1 - n/2k$. So the proportion of chains hitting \mathcal{C}_i at level k and not again in a level at or above $n/2$ is at least $(n/2k)y_k^i$, finishing the proof of (9). From (7), (8), and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=n/2}^n \frac{1}{k} &= \sum_{k=n/2}^n \frac{n}{2k} = \sum_{k=n/2}^n \frac{n}{2k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s y_k^i \right) \\ &= \sum_i \left(\sum_k \frac{n}{2k} y_k^i \right) \leq \sum_i \left(\sum_k x_k^i \right) \leq \sum_i \mathbf{1} = s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, asymptotically,

$$\frac{n}{2} (\ln n - \ln n/2) = \frac{\ln 2}{2} n \leq s.$$

Concerning the lower bound of $g(n)$ given in Theorem 2, we show that

$$g(n-2) + 1 \leq g(n).$$

As $g(1) = 1$ and $g(2) = 2$, it will follow that $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq g(n)$. Suppose that 2^n has been colored in a completely union-free manner and that $[n]$ has received color a . Then there is some $j \in [n]$ such that no set containing j , except $[n]$, is colored a . Choose any $i \in [n]$ other than j and consider the interval $[\{j\}, [n] - \{i\}]$ in 2^n . This is isomorphic to 2^{n-2} and inherits a union-free coloring without color a . Thus, $g(n-2) + 1 \leq g(n)$. \square (Theorem 1 and 2).

References

- [1] H.L. Abbott and D. Hanson, A problem of Schur and its generalizations, *Acta Arith.* 20 (1972) 175–187.
- [2] M. Aigner and D. Grieser, Hook-free colorings and a problem of Hanson, *Combinatorica* 8 (2) (1988) 143–148.
- [3] P. Erdős, Chao Ko and R. Rado, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 12 (1961) 313–320.
- [4] P. Erdős and S. Shelah, On problems of Moser and Hanson, *Graph Theory and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Math. 303 (1972) 75–79.
- [5] D.J. Kleitman, On a combinatorial problem of Erdős, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1966) 139–141.