## ENHANCING OZONE PREDICTION USING ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL JUSTICE DATA\*

# ANTONIO GAMBOA<sup>†</sup>, LUCRETIA GANT <sup>‡</sup>, TIMOTHY GANT <sup>§</sup>, ANDREA GLOVER<sup>¶</sup>, AND JULIANNA LONG<sup>∥</sup>

5 Abstract. Preliminary results suggest a potential correlation between the ground-level ozone 6 and socially vulnerable neighborhoods. By including the Center for Disease Control and Preven-7 tion/Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry's (CDC/ATSDR) Environmental Justice 8 Index (EJI) as an additional principal component in the model we are able to produce a more ac-9 curate and socially informative distribution of ground-level ozone. Our prediction function is an 10 effective tool to investigate and guide policies aimed to improve social justice.

11 This research project demonstrates that using bi-variate splines over a triangulation and an adap-12 tive principal component selection is an effective method for daily ozone level predictions in a city. 13 We demonstrate this model using the previous days' ozone concentration together with the EJI, a 14 prediction was made for the ozone levels around Atlanta for a day.

15 **Key words.** Ground Level Ozone, Ozone, Tropospheric Ozone, Environmental Justice In-16 dex,Social Vulnerability Index, Health Vulnerability Index, Adaptative Principal Component Analy-17 sis, Auto-regression

**1. Introduction.** Ground-level ozone (O3), is a greenhouse gas that is highly reactive. High levels of ozone in the tropospheric layer of the atmosphere can cause respiratory issues and environmental damage.

21 Ozone is formed in the troposphere by photochemical reactions between oxides of

22 nitrogen (NOx ) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of sunlight.

The combined effects of ozone and its precursors exacerbate adverse health outcomes and mortality. Therefore, it is essential to identify sources, monitor concentrations,

<sup>25</sup> and develop forecasting systems for O3. Current monitoring systems are limited and

<sup>26</sup> provide an incomplete story about the presence of O3. The ability to precisely measure

and forecast ozone concentrations within a city is necessary to better study ozone's
adverse health effects.

Marginalized and vulnerable communities often experience higher rates of adverse health outcomes, including respiratory diseases which are known to be aggravated by

31 elevated ozone exposure. An improved method of measuring and forecasting O3 will

enable researchers to identify which communities are disproportionately affected byozone pollution.

34 This study aims to utilize the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) data on hourly

35 O3 to model ozone levels, and the CDC/ATSDR Environmental Justice Index (EJI)

<sup>36</sup> which ranks census tracts in the United States according to variables that measure

37 that environmental burden, social vulnerability and health vunerability within com-

<sup>38</sup> munities. It will then identify correlations between elevated ozone and environmental

39 justice and social vulnerability.

40 41

1 2

By measuring and understanding the distribution of O3 and its health impacts,

- <sup>‡</sup>Dekalb County Schools, Atlanta, GA (lucretia\_gant@dekalbschoolsga.org).
- <sup>§</sup>Dekalb County Schools, Atlanta, GA (timothy\_gant@dekalbschoolsga.org).

1

<sup>\*</sup>Submitted to the editors DATE.

Funding: This work was funded by US National Science Foundation award DMS-2051019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Garey High School, Pomona, CA (antonio.gamboa@pusd.org).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>Druid Hills High School, Atlanta, GA (andrea\_glover@dekalbschoolsga.org).

Stone Ridge School, Bethesda, MD (jlong@stoneridgeschool.org).

42 we can identify communities that are disproportionately affected by high levels of O3.

43 Addressing the unequal burden of O3 exposure promotes social justice by ensuring

that everyone receive an equal and fair opportunity to live in a healthy environment.

45 The goal of this study is to contribute to social justice by addressing and rectifying

46 the impacts of O3 faced by marginalized and vulnerable populations.

2. Background Information. What is Environmental Justice? Environmental 47 justice is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of 48 race, color, national origin, or income to develop, implement, and enforce environmen-49tal laws, regulations, and policies. This goal will be achieved when everyone enjoys the same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards, and equal access to the decision making process to live, learn, and work in a healthy environment. Environmental justice issues are often divided into issues of "procedural justice" and 53 issues of "distributive justice" (Kuehn, 2000). Procedural justice seeks the equitable 54involvement of all people in environmental decision-making, with a focus on addressing unequal power structures. Distributive justice seeks to address place-based dispari-56 ties in exposures to environmental hazards and access to environmental amenities and 57 other resources. Distributive environmental injustice can have profound cumulative 58 impacts on human health and well-being. Addressing these cumulative impacts is a key part of promoting health equity. 60 The Environmental Justice Index (EJI) is a ranking of Census tracts (subdivisions 61 of counties) in every state of the US based on 36 environmental, social and health 62 factors that are grouped into 3 themes/modules. Each Module is an indication of the 63 64 cumulative effects on communities in terms of environmental burden, social vulnera-

<sup>65</sup> bility and health vulnerability. An EJI score is a sum of scores from all three modules

and is based on methods and measures of data from the Center for Disease Control,

67 the US Mine Safety and Health Administration and the Environmental Protection

- 68 Agency.
- 69

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

70

3. Methods Two Different Approaches to Predicting Ozone. Over the 71summer, we will explore how to quantify the uncertainty of two different ways of 72prediction low level ozone values using bivariate splines over triangulations. Here we 74 will assume

- the ozone concentration over  $\mathcal{D}$  is a random surface, X, with known values 75 at N locations. 76
- 77

• We consider the highest ozone concentration in one day for one city to be a functional, f(X), of the ozone concentration surface, X, over  $\mathcal{D}$  on the 78 79 previous day.

80 81

82

• We use the bivariate spline space  $S_d^r(\Delta)$  with smoothness r > 0 and degree d > r over a triangulation,  $\triangle$ , of  $\mathcal{D}$  to approximate X using the given measurement values at the N locations.

To fit the surface to the data, we will use the Penalized Least Squares (PLS) Method. For PLS, we let  $\{(x_i, y_i, f(x_i, y_i)), i = 1, \dots, N\}$  be a scattered data set where N is a relatively large integer. Then the penalized least squares method is to find  $s_f \in \mathcal{S}$ such that for a positive weight  $\rho > 0$ 

$$P_{\rho}(s_f) := \min_{s \in \mathcal{S}} P_{\rho}(s)$$

where

$$P_{\rho}(s) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} |s(x_i, y_i) - f(x_i, y_i)|^2 + \rho E(s)$$

and

$$E(s) := \sum_{T \in \triangle} \int_T \left( s_{xx}^2 + 2s_{xy}^2 + s_{yy}^2 \right) dxdy.$$

We will use the ozone surface splines as the input to a Functional Linear Model. 83 This is similar to a how we think of a linear model except instead of data point we are 84 feeding the model surfaces. We approximate the functional f by a linear functional 85 86  $Y = \langle \alpha, X \rangle + \epsilon$ , where  $E(\epsilon) = 0$  or let  $\alpha$  be the solution of the following:

87 (3.1) 
$$\alpha = \arg\min_{\beta \in H} E\left[(f(X) - \epsilon - \langle \beta, X \rangle)^2\right].$$

where  $H = L_2(\mathcal{D})$  be a standard Hilbert space of all square integrable functions over 88  $\mathcal{D}, \langle f, g \rangle$  denotes the standard inner product of f, g on H, ||f|| the norm of f, (X, Y)89 be a pair of random variables defined on the same probability space  $\Omega$ , with X valued 90 in H and Y = f(X) valued in **R**, and  $\mathcal{X} \subset H$  be a given set of random surface 91 distributions. 92

**3.1.** Autoregressive Process. Similar to [1], to find a solution to the minimization problem (3.1) we want to write the equation in terms of the Covariance and Cross Covariance functions.

Covariance:

$$\Gamma x(s) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{E}(X(s)X(t))x(t)dt, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Cross Covariance:

$$\Delta x = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{E}(X(s)Y)x(s)ds, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Hence we have, 93

- 94  $Y = \langle \alpha, X \rangle + \epsilon$
- 95  $\langle X, x \rangle Y = \langle X, x \rangle \langle \alpha, X \rangle + \langle X, x \rangle \epsilon$
- 96  $\mathcal{E}\left(\langle X, x \rangle Y\right) = \mathcal{E}\left(\langle X, x \rangle \langle \alpha, X \rangle + \langle X, x \rangle \epsilon\right)$
- 97  $\langle \mathcal{E}(XY), x \rangle = \langle \alpha, \mathcal{E}(\langle X, x \rangle X) \rangle + 0$
- 98  $\Delta(x) = \langle \alpha, \Gamma(x) \rangle.$

In practice, we do not observe the continuous random surface  $X_i$  but we only observe the random surface at design points  $s_k \in \mathcal{D}, \ k = 1, \ldots, N$ :

$$\{z_{i,k}, k = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

So we approximate  $X_i$  by a smooth bivariate spline denoted  $S_{X_i}$  and the empirical estimators can be approximated by

$$\widetilde{\Gamma_n}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle S_{X_i}, x \rangle S_{X_i} = \sum_{j=1}^m \widetilde{\lambda_j} \langle \widetilde{v_j}, x \rangle \widetilde{v_j}$$
$$\widetilde{\Delta_n}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle S_{X_i}, x \rangle Y_i,$$

where  $\widetilde{\lambda_j}$  and  $\widetilde{v_j}$  are a pair of eigenvalue and eigenvector of  $\widetilde{\Gamma_n}$  and m is the dimension of the spline space  $S_d^r(\Delta)$ . It then follows that

$$\widetilde{\Delta_n}(x) = \langle \alpha_n, \widetilde{\Gamma_n} x \rangle$$

101 for some  $\alpha_n \in H$ .

4

Assume that the first  $k_n$  largest eigenvalues  $\widetilde{\lambda_j}, j = 1, \cdots, k_n$  are nonzero. Then the principal component regression estimator of  $\alpha_n$  is

104 (3.2) 
$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{PCR} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \frac{\Delta_n(\widetilde{v}_j)}{\widetilde{\lambda}_j} \widetilde{v}_j$$

**Computational Method:** Now we would like to solve  $\hat{A}c = \hat{b}$  for c which will yield a coefficient vector for a spline  $S_{\alpha}$  such that

$$f(X) \approx \langle S_{\alpha}, S_X \rangle.$$

- 105 1. Fit each  $X_i$  with a PLS spline  $S_{X_i}$ .
- 106 2. Use  $S_{X_i}$  to calculate  $\widetilde{\Gamma_n}$  and  $\widetilde{\Delta_n}$ .
- 107 3. Compute the SVD of  $\widetilde{\Gamma_n}$ .
- 108 4. Select appropriate number of non-zero eigenvalues  $k_n$ .
- 109 5. Compute  $\tilde{\alpha}_{PCR}$  in (3.2).

**3.2. Brute Force Approach**. We want to find a solution solving the following minimization problem:

$$\alpha = \arg\min_{\beta \in H} E\left[ (f(X) - \epsilon - \langle \beta, X \rangle)^2 \right].$$

- 110 Since bivariate spline space  $S_d^r(\Delta)$  can be dense in a Hilbert space, H, as  $|\Delta| \to 0$ ,
- 111 we look for  $S_{\alpha} \in S_d^r(\Delta)$  of  $\alpha$  such that

112 (3.3) 
$$S_{\alpha} = \arg \min_{\beta \in S_{d}^{r}(\Delta)} E\left[ (f(X) - \epsilon - \langle \beta, X \rangle)^{2} \right].$$

Let  $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m\}$  be a basis for  $S_d^r(\Delta)$ . We write  $S_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \phi_j$ . Then its coefficient vector  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_m)^T$  satisfies the following relation:

$$A\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{b}$$

with A being a matrix of size  $m \times m$  with entries

$$E(\langle \phi_i, X \rangle \langle \phi_j, X \rangle)$$

113 for  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$  and **b** being a vector of length m with entries  $E(f(X)\langle \phi_j, X\rangle)$  for 114  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

115 We want to consider the empirical estimate of  $S_{\alpha_D}$  based on discrete observations 116 of random surfaces  $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . The empirical estimate  $\widetilde{S_{\alpha_D,n}} \in S_d^r(\Delta)$  is the 117 solution of

118 (3.4) 
$$\widetilde{S_{\alpha_D,n}} = \arg \min_{\beta \in S_d^r(\Delta)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \epsilon_i - \langle \beta, S_{X_i} \rangle)^2.$$

119 In fact the solution of the above minimization is given by

120 (3.5) 
$$\widetilde{S_{\alpha_D,n}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{c_{n,i}}\phi_i$$

with coefficient vector  $\widetilde{\mathbf{c}_n} = (\widetilde{c_{n,i}}, i = 1, \cdots, m)$  satisfying  $\widetilde{A_n} \widetilde{\mathbf{c}_n} = \widetilde{b_n}$ ,

$$\widetilde{A_n} = \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \langle \phi_i, S_{X_\ell} \rangle \langle \phi_j, S_{X_\ell} \rangle \right]_{i,j=1,\cdots,m}$$
$$\widetilde{b_n} = \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n f(X_\ell) \langle \phi_j, S_{X_\ell} \rangle + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \langle \phi_j, \epsilon_\ell S_{X_\ell} \rangle \right]_{j=1,\cdots,m}$$

**3.3. Computational Method.** For a time series over a regional domain we use a bivariate spline to approximate a surface over a bounded region. We call the resulting spline  $S_X$  it is an approximation of a functional random variable X. We also collect the desired quantity at location of interest. This yields another real random variable Y = f(X). Next we compute:

$$\hat{A} = E(\langle \phi_i, S_X \rangle \langle \phi_i, S_X \rangle) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n \langle \phi_i, S_{X_l} \rangle \langle \phi_j, S_{X_l} \rangle$$
$$\hat{b} = E((f(X) - \epsilon) \langle \phi_i, S_X \rangle) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n f(X_l) \langle \phi_j, S_{X_l} \rangle$$

Now we would like to solve  $\hat{A}c = \hat{b}$  for c which will yield a coefficient vector for a spline  $S_{\alpha}$  such that

$$f(X) \approx \langle S_{\alpha}, S_X \rangle.$$

121 Then we implement the two options we have explored for finding the coefficients of

122  $S_{\alpha}$ : Brute Force (3.5), and Principle Component Analysis (3.2).





FIG. 1. Left: Environmental Justice Index for Atlanta, Center:Ozone-based Prediction function for Atlanta using only 03, Right:

124 It should be detailed enough to guide someone who wants to reproduce your study. 125 It should not, however, be structured as a chronology of what you did (for example, 126 "First we tried this, but that didn't work, so then we did this."). If you encountered 127 problems, first discuss the methodology you finally settled on. The main question 128 that readers expect to be answered is

How was this work done?

Here we state our main result as Theorem 3.1; the proof is deferred to AppendixA.

132 THEOREM 3.1  $(LDL^T \text{ Factorization } [?])$ . If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and the 133 principal submatrix A(1:k, 1:k) is nonsingular for k = 1: n - 1, then there exists a 134 unit lower triangular matrix L and a diagonal matrix

135 
$$D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$$

136 such that  $A = LDL^T$ . The factorization is unique.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tinci-137 dunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque 138ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam 139turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum li-140 gula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. 141 Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt 142143purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate 144 145metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

146 Our analysis leads to the algorithm in Algorithm 3.1.

### Algorithm 3.1 Build tree

Define  $P := T := \{\{1\}, \dots, \{d\}\}$ while #P > 1 do Choose  $C' \in \mathcal{C}_p(P)$  with  $C' := \operatorname{argmin}_{C \in \mathcal{C}_p(P)} \varrho(C)$ Find an optimal partition tree  $T_{C'}$ Update  $P := (P \setminus C') \cup \{\bigcup_{t \in T} t : \tau \in T_{C'} \setminus \mathcal{L}(T_{C'})\}$ end while return T

**4. Main Results.** \*\*\*Our team has enhanced a day predictive function for the concentration of ground level ozone. The computational model was written using

129

#### ENHANCING OZONE PREDICTION USING ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL JUSTICE DATA

<sup>149</sup> @Matlab software. The predictive model includes a learning dataset comprised of

150thirty previous known daily hour ozone concentration. It also includes an adaptive Eigen value selection utilized for the prediction based on percent variance of the known 151values. We then added a principle component consisting of the EJI in order decrease 152the mean percent error. To validate our model we defined a quadrant geolocation 153of Atlanta's vicinity. The coordinates utilized were the following: latMax = -84.05; 154latMin = -85.05; longMax = 34.02; and longMin = 33.40. In addition, we selected the 1552016 EPA hourly and daily Ozone dataset to be used as our learning model. The 156rationale behind selecting the 2016 dataset included two major determinants. The 157 first determinant was that the EPA dataset for 2016 contains a larger number of 158ozone sensor stations than a more current year dataset. The second determinant was 159160 that the CDC-EJI index from the 2020 census census tract was based on Ozone data collected from 2014-2016. To correlate the ozone data with that of EJI an additional 161 dataset from the US Census Bureau \*\*\*TIGER/Line Shapefiles\*\*\* (is this correct?Is 162anyone aware of this being done versus the EJI merged dataset?)\*\*\* was utilized. 163This dataset allowed matching the coordinates within our Atlanta quadrant and the 164latitude/longitude relationships to the US census bureau census tract EJI areas.\*\*\* 165

166

168

167 The main question that readers expect to be answered is

What were the results? What do those results mean ?

169 Try to think about what your reader wants to know about your results. Here are 170 some questions to guide you:

- What, specifically, did you learn from comparing these algorithms or data structures?
- What do your results say about the problem or question you were investigating?
- Was your hypothesis confirmed or disproved?
- Are the results what you expected?
- If you obtained anomalies or other unexpected results, can you explain them? If not, how could you set about in the future to identify what caused them?
- How do your results compare to past findings? Are they consistent? Different? Why?
- How would you respond to objections or questions that other researchers might have about your methods, results, or interpretations?

**5. Experiments.** The computational experiments should be reported in a repro ducible way, which would require that programs, data and results are made available
 and documented.

186 For our experiments, we used the TOAR surface ozone database, which is a publicly available global database of surface-level ozone and related metrics which 187 188 can be found at https://toar-data.org/surface-data/. TOAR does not collect ozone data, but aggregates measurements taken by a variety of organizations world wide. 189 The MATLAB code used in our experiments to access the TOAR data can be found in 190 191appendix IDK. The TOAR database can be queried using the REST interface. This allows the user to set parameters on the desired data such as latitude and longitude 192 193 limits, sampling frequency and type of data. In order to access ozone data, is essential to set the variable\_id flag to 5. Other variable\_ids will return other ozone-related 194 values such as particulate matter, volatile organic compound, and NOx levels. As of 195 June 2023, TOAR has not implemented a method for limiting your search by date. 196197 Querying the TOAR database occurs in two stages. First the search query will return a list of the time-series files that meet your parameters. Second, the user must download each time-series file. When downloading the time-series files, it is helpful to use the

<sup>200</sup> 'AllOK' flag which means that the data has been verified by both the organization <sup>201</sup> that collected the data and TOAR.

We pre-process the data by filling in gaps using spline interpolation. Only gaps 12 hours or smaller are filled (Apendix A). Then we reduce the dataset to only the dates of interest (Apendix B). Finally, we reformat the table into a matrix with latitude, longitude, and ozone columns(Apendix C).

For the EJI experiment we used merged datasets from CDC/ from the Environmental Justice Index

To evaluate the efficacy and accuracy of the model, we chose one location (Emory University) and one day (July 10, 2016), to compare the actual measurement and the predictions made with and without EJI. Figure 2 shows a comparison between the actual measurement and two predictions for each hour of the day. Here we see that the inclusion of the EJI values increased the accuracy of our predictive model. Table 1.



FIG. 2. Example figure using external image files.

TABLE 1

Table 1 shows additional supporting evidence.

Example table.		
Species	Mean	Std. Dev.
1	3.4	1.2
2	5.4	0.6
3	7.4	2.4
4	9.4	1.8

In reviewing the analyses, it is notable that the first hour of each day is always predicted to have no ozone. Additionally, the shape of the hourly prediction always closely resembles the shape of the true data from the previous day. It would be interesting to run an experiment testing if our predictions are any improvement over simply assuming each day will have the same ozone levels as the day before it.

6. Conclusions. The conclusion answers the readers' question: "So what?" It should give your readers points to "take home" from your paper. It should state clearly what your results demonstrate about the hypothesis you were testing in the paper. It should also generalize your findings, perhaps suggesting how others can use them in future research. All generalizations should be supported by your data,

#### ENHANCING OZONE PREDICTION USING ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL JUSTICE DAT

however; the discussion should have proved these points, so that when the reader gets to the conclusion, the statements are logical and seem self- evident. No new evidence

should be introduced in the conclusion.
Future work: state your ideas of what you estimate could be done in the future in
order to further improve on the addressed problem. You may also state further problem areas you identified to be researched in the future. This section is not mandatory,

but may be useful for others in identifying interesting new research problems.

Appendix A. An example appendix. Aenean tincidunt laoreet dui. Ve-232 stibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; 233234Integer ipsum lectus, fermentum ac, malesuada in, eleifend ut, lorem. Vivamus ipsum turpis, elementum vel, hendrerit ut, semper at, metus. Vivamus sapien tortor, elei-235236 fend id, dapibus in, egestas et, pede. Pellentesque faucibus. Praesent lorem neque, dignissim in, facilisis nec, hendrerit vel, odio. Nam at diam ac neque aliquet viverra. 237Morbi dapibus ligula sagittis magna. In lobortis. Donec aliquet ultricies libero. Nunc 238 239 dictum vulputate purus. Morbi varius. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In tempor. Phasellus commodo porttitor magna. Curabitur vehicula 240 odio vel dolor. 241

LEMMA A.1. Test Lemma.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the National Science Foundation (NSF) DMS-2051019, The Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report (TOAR), The Emory Math and Computer Science Departments and Dr. Bree Ettinger, online resources (url is commented out in  $LAT_EX$ ) for their help in putting together this manuscript template.

248

#### REFERENCES

[1] B. E. S GUILLAS, MJ LAI, Bivariate splines for ozone concentration forecasting, Environmetrics,
 250 23 (2012), pp. 317–328.