

## Math 346, HW6 Solution

### 4.2.1 (e)

The dual of the linear program is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & 20y_1 + 40y_2 + 60y_3 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & 8y_2 \geq 1 \\ & 2y_1 + y_3 = -7 \\ & 5y_1 - 3y_2 + 4y_3 \geq 3 \\ & y_1, y_2 \text{ unrestricted, } y_3 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

### 4.2.3

In the linear programming problem of Example 4.2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize} \quad & 6x_1 + x_2 + 4x_3 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & 3x_1 + 7x_2 + x_3 \leq 15 \\ & x_1 - 2x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 20 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

the dual is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize} \quad & 15y_1 + 20y_2 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & 3y_1 + y_2 \geq 6 \\ & 7y_1 - 2y_2 \geq 1 \\ & y_1 + 3y_2 \geq 4 \\ & y_1, y_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(a) To show that the dual is bounded from below, note that  $15y_1 + 20y_2 \geq 3y_1 + y_2 \geq 6$ , the first inequality is because both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are nonnegative, the second inequality comes from the first constraint.

(b) (the sketching skipped) the optimal solution is  $(y_1, y_2) = (7/4, 3/4)$ , the optimum value of LP is  $165/4$ .

(c) We use the simplex algorithm in the tableau form. Note that the slack variables can serve as initial basis. We also convert it into the minimization of  $-6x_1 - x_2 - 4x_3$ .

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|ccccc|c} & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \\ \hline x_4 & 3 & 7 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 15 \\ x_5 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 20 \\ \hline & -6 & -1 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|ccccc|c} & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \\ \hline x_1 & 1 & 7/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 5 \\ x_5 & 0 & -13/3 & 8/3 & -1/3 & 1 & 15 \\ \hline & 0 & 13 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 30 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|ccccc|c} & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \\ \hline x_1 & 1 & 23/8 & 0 & 3/8 & -1/8 & 25/8 \\ x_3 & 0 & -13/8 & 1 & -1/8 & 3/8 & 45/8 \\ \hline & 0 & 39/4 & 0 & 7/4 & 3/4 & 165/4 \end{array} \right]$$

So the optimal value (for the maximization problem) is also  $165/4$ , attained by  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (25/8, 0, 45/8)$ .

(d) Note that the coefficients of  $x_4$  and  $x_5$  in the last row of the final tableau gives the optimal solution of the dual. (Please see the proof of Theorem 4.4.2 on pages 140–142 why this is always true).

#### 4.4.1

From the weak duality, we know that if  $x_0$  is a feasible solution to the maximization problem and  $y_0$  is a feasible solution to its dual, then  $c^T x_0 \leq b^T y_0$ . So suppose the dual minimization problem is feasible, then for all feasible  $x_0$ , the maximization problem is bounded from above by  $b^T y_0$ , which contradicts its unboundedness. Therefore if the maximization problem is not bounded from above, then the dual is infeasible. Similarly one can show the second part of the statement.

#### 4.4.2

The primal LP is not feasible, because if  $x_1 - x_2 \leq 1$  and  $-x_1 + x_2 \leq -2$ , then we have  $2 \leq x_1 - x_2 \leq 1$ , then  $2 \leq 1$ , contradiction.

Similarly the dual LP is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & y_1 - 2y_2 \\ \text{subject to} & y_1 - y_2 \geq 1 \\ & -y_1 + y_2 \geq 0 \\ & y_1, y_2 \geq 0. \end{array}$$

Then a feasible solution must have  $1 \leq y_1 - y_2 \leq 0$ , which gives  $1 \leq 0$ , again contradiction. So both the primal and the dual LPs are infeasible.

#### 4.5.2

(a) The dual LP is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & y_1 + y_2 + 3y_3 \\ \text{subject to} & y_1 + y_3 \geq 2 \\ & y_2 + y_3 \geq 2 \\ & y_1 + y_2 + 2y_3 \geq 0 \\ & y_1 - y_2 \geq 0 \\ & y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0. \end{array}$$

(b) It is easy to check that  $X^* = (1, 1, 0, 0)$  satisfies all the constraints in the primal (the first two are binding), and  $Y^* = (1, 1, 1)$  satisfies all the constraints of the dual (first, second and fourth constraint are binding).

(c) Note that  $X_j^*$  is strictly positive for  $j = 1, 2$ , and the first and second constraint of the dual problem is binding (meaning that the slack is equal to zero).

(d)  $Y^*$  is not an optimal solution, for example taking  $Y = (2, 2, 0)$ , it is feasible to the dual, and the value of the objective function is 4 which is smaller than 5 that  $(1, 1, 1)$  gives.

(e) This does not contradict the complementary slackness theorem, for the reason that  $Y_3^* = 1 > 0$  and the third constraint in the primal is also non-binding.